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The Inevitable Policy Response (IPR) seeks to drive better investor action to avoid and manage climate-related policy risks

## While a policy response to climate change is highly likely, financial markets are not adequately prepared

- The need to act on climate is rising up the policy agenda
- Climate action will create substantial shifts in global investment needs, driving down demand for assets that increase emissions, and driving up demand for assets that avoid or reduce them.
- Financial markets today have not adequately prepared for the likely policy response to climate change.

Guidance on likely evolution of climate policy and its impacts on markets is limited

- Future energy scenarios typically provide either business-as-usual trends or idealized futures in which climate action is immediate, gradual, and coordinated.
- While investors agree that the policy response will be delayed, abrupt and disruptive, few scenarios map out the implications of such a future.

The Inevitable Policy Response (IPR) seeks to drive better investor action to avoid and manage these climate-related policy risks

- A high-conviction policy-based forecast of forceful policy response to climate change and implications for energy, agriculture and land use
- Identifies key sources of climate policy-driven opportunity and risk in these sectors
- Prepares investors to better manage exposure to these risks in their portfolios
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## IPR2021 top ten policy forecasts: 1-5

Carbon
pricing 1. option. This could lead the United States to announce a national carbon pricing system as early as 2023, and we forecast by 2025, and signal a strong carbon price path to reach a backstop of $\$ 65$ by 2030.

The European Union's evolving commitments will deliver substantial carbon prices. By 2030, we 2. expect EU policy to backstop an EU ETS carbon price of $\$ 75 / \mathrm{tCO} 2$ to ensure long-term action toward decarbonization in heavy emitting sectors.
3. In India, rapidly evolving Indian policy and prospects for market reforms and pricing has already ended further investment in new coal.

Coal
4. China will end construction of new coal fired power production after 2025, driven by new policies to facilitate its 2060 net zero target and ongoing market liberalisation.

The United States will end all coal-fired power generation by 2030, through a combination of
5. emission performance standards and carbon pricing at the Federal and State levels, combined with market forces.

## IPR2021 top ten policy forecasts: 6-10

Clean power

Zero
emission
vehicles

Industry

Agriculture

Land use
6. The United States will implement a binding and credible $100 \%$ clean power standard for 2040, ending unabated fossil electricity generation.

China, France, Germany, Italy and Korea will end the sale of fossil fuel cars and vans in 2035. Jointly
7. these large markets will accelerate the auto industry transition to electric drive, and precipitate further policy action internationally.

All major industrial economies including the US, Germany, Japan and China will require all new
8. industrial plants, led by steel and cement, to be low-carbon by 2040, through a combination of emissions performance standards and carbon pricing.
9. The US, Canada, Australia and other major agricultural producers will have comprehensive mitigation policy in place by 2025 to reduce emissions from production of crops and livestock.
10. Major tropical forest countries will end deforestation by 2030, with domestic policy responding to 10. international climate finance and corporate supply chain pressures.

Growing awareness and momentum on climate issues makes a near term, forceful policy response more likely


The IPR policy forecast covers 21 major economies accounting for the majority of world economic activity, energy use and CO2 emissions

IPR Policy Forecast country coverage
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The IPR2021 Policy Forecast was informed by a rigorous evidence review and large-scale survey of country climate policy experts


## Policy update

Detailed review of key climate policy developments in all major countries through 2020

## Policy drivers

Careful analysis of the factors likely to drive policy action and their evolution through 2020


Structured forecast update
Detailed reassessment of IPR2019 policy forecast with respect to expert survey, policy update and policy drivers

Revision of policy forecast in line with new evidence and insights
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What drives our forecast (1)


## 

## Track record of policy action

Historical track record of setting long-term targets, developing and implementing decarbonisation strategies and implementing policies to reduce emissions. A track record in these areas is an indicator of sustained policy
commitment to climate action.

Direction and magnitude of citizen attitudes towards climate action, indicating civil society support for new policies


Investment pipeline
Current pipeline of new fossil investments that are planned or under construction. Investment pipeline is an indicator of policy commitment to phase out new fossil investments.


## Consumer preferences

Size and trends in early adopter market for new energy technologies. High consumer demand for
new energy technologies suggests likely
consumer support for policy action, while low
consumer demand suggests likely consumer
resistance.

## What drives our forecast (2)



## $\rightarrow$ <br> Just transition

Likely socio-economic impacts of policy action, and prospects to support communities affected by these impacts. Presence of traditional energy and energy-intensive sectors creates social
challenges for climate action.


## Industrial strategy

Prospects for policy action to support internationally competitive export industries in new energy technologies. Policy action can support domestic industries to achieve success in international markets for climate solutions.


Industrial competitiveness
Relative risks of policy action reducing the competitiveness of traditional energy and energy-intensive sectors. Substantial economic contribution of traditional energy and energyintensive sectors creates political and economic challenges for climate action


## Trade exposure

Trading patterns with countries forecast to take leading action to safeguard competitiveness and prevent carbon leakage. High reliance on leading countries for export markets increases incentives to take action domestically.

Timing: Paris Ratchet process triggers a cumulating policy response by 2025 Growing awareness of climate issues and an international framework for accelerating action, makes a near term, forceful policy response more likely


## Since 2019, major net zero commitments and new climate measures in leading countries have raised prospects for an accelerated policy response

## Net zero targets

At the time of publication of IPR 2019, two G20 jurisdictions had announced net zero targets

## France

## UK

 Nㅜ줍By March 2021, a further eight G20 jurisdictions have announced net zero targets ${ }^{1}$
Canada

## New climate measures

Overall

- Widespread investment in climate solutions under COVID-19 stimulus

Carbon pricing

- China launches National ETS
- Canada sets ambition for $\$ 130$ carbon price in 2030
- Mexico launches pilot ETS
- Vietnam issues preparatory legislation for future ETS


## Power

- UK brings coal phase out forward to 2024
- Germany publishes coal exit law with phase out by 2038
- Biden pledges to achieve $100 \%$ clean power by 2035


## Transport

- France announces objective to decarbonise transport by 2050
- 14 US states sign an MOU to achieve 30\% zero emissions truck sales by 2030

Industry

- UK allocates \$1.1m CCS infrastructure fund to develop two CCS clusters
- France announces objective for $81 \%$ cut in industry emissions by 2050


## Other

- France announces objective to decarbonise buildings by 2050
- UK - 30,000 hectares of tree planting by 2025

Following a wave of announcements in 2020, we forecast the United States, India and Australia will announce their net zero emissions targets by 2023

Announcement timeline for net zero emissions targets


## Leading companies have also increased commitments to net zero emissions and decarbonizing their processes and supply chains

## Bold climate action

- At the time IPR2019 was published, 720 companies had signed up to at least a 2 degrees target with the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
- By March 2021, at the time IPR2021 was published, 1040 companies had signed up to at least a 2 degrees target with the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi), with 542 having had targets approved. $41 \%$ of companies with approved targets were 1.5 degrees aligned


## RACITIIRO

- 1400 businesses have signed up to Race to Zero, a global campaign to rally leadership and support from businesses, cities, regions and investors for a zero carbon recovery


## Major corporate climate announcements since 2019

## Overall

Widespread focus on net zero targets, decreasing energy and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ footprints, electrification of auto fleet, support for carbon pricing

## Renewable energy

- 292 companies across all sectors have committed to RE100, 100\% renewable energy use
- 125 companies across all sectors have committed to EP100, an initiative to increase energy efficiency


## Agriculture

- 7 companies have committed to 'climate smart agriculture' in the food, beverage and agriculture sector

Fleet electrification

- 167 companies have signed up to EV100, committing to electric vehicles in the fleet or supply chain by 2030

Driving action through supply chains

- Nestle, Ikea, Unilever, BT Group have committed to work with their suppliers to halve emissions before 2030

Negative emissions

- Microsoft and other leading tech companies have set the goal of removing all carbon emitted since they were founded

Industry decarbonisation

- 8 companies have committed to SteelZero, a public commitment to procure 100\% Net Zero Steel by 2050


## IPR2021 forecasts higher policy ambition across eight key policy levers

Carbon pricing

- Carbon taxes
- Emissions trading systems
- Border carbon adjustments


## Low-carbon buildings

- Prohibiting regulations for fossil heating systems
- Purchase subsidies for low-carbon heating systems
- Thermal efficiency regulations for new build and retrofit
- Minimum energy performance standards for new appliances

- Prohibiting regulations
- Emissions performance standards
- Electricity market reforms


## Clean industry

- Emissions performance standards for industrial plant
- Subsidy for new or retrofit clean industrial process
'Just Transition' lens to ensure social and political feasibility


## Increase in ambition is expected in eight main policy areas (1)

## Carbon pricing

- All major economies will have carbon pricing schemes covering emissions in power and industry by 2030.
- Policy ambition and backstop signal prices of $\$ 60-85$ by 2030 in leading countries, $\$ 35-50$ elsewhere.
- Beyond 2030, policy ambition continues to put upward pressure on prices, with carbon border adjustment mechanisms driving convergence with leading countries


## Coal phase out

- Policy signals and market reforms make new unabated coal uninventable by 2021-2025 in almost all countries
- Leading countries end all unabated coal generation by 2030
- Other major countries follow by 2040s



## Clean power

- Strong policy framework to end all unabated fossil generation in leading countries by 2040
- Other major countries follow by 2050.

ICE sales bans

- Early sales ban for first mover countries by 2030-2035.
- Other countries follow suit as automotive industry reaches tipping point.
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## Increase in ambition is expected in eight main policy areas (2)

## Low-carbon buildings

- All countries implement new building and product standards targeting an end to the sale of fossil-based appliances, phasing out use of fossil fuels in heating and cooking
- Countries with ambitious net zero targets end the sale of fossil-based appliances by 2035-40
- Other major countries follow by 2050


## Clean industry

- Countries with ambitious net zero targets end the installation of new unabated fossil-based industrial plant by 2040, putting energy-intensive industry on a clear decarbonisation pathway.
- Other major countries are slower to act on new plant due to competitiveness concerns


## Low-emissions agriculture

- New policies drive low-emissions practices for crops and livestock.
- Comprehensive policy in place in leading countries by 2025, and in all countries by 2035.


## Forestry

- Forestry policies include comprehensive protected area designation, payments for ecosystem services, and strong enforcement.
- Early movers end remaining deforestation and encourage large-scale afforestation by 2025
- Remaining countries implement policies to end deforestation and encourage afforestation by 2030, driven by international climate finance and corporate supply chain pressures.

Changes to 2019 policy Forecasts and new policy forecasts

## Overall we forecast substantially higher policy ambition relative to IPR2019

## Revisions to previous forecasts

- Overall, we forecast higher policy ambition, with higher ambition accounting for almost 50\% of forecasts and lower ambition for under 10\%

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of forecasts } \\ \text { ambition }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unchanged |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Higher <br>

ambition\end{array}\right]\)

## New policy areas

## Clean power

- Policy framework to end all unabated fossil generation

Low-carbon buildings

- New building and product standards targeting an end to the sale of fossil-based appliances, phasing out use of fossil fuels in heating and cooking


## Clean industry

- Ending installation of new unabated fossil-based industrial plant, putting energy-intensive industry on a clear decarbonisation pathway.


## Low-emissions agriculture

- New policies driving low-emissions practices for crops and livestock.
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## IPR2021 carbon price policy forecast

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response | Change from IPR2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Survey | IPR2021 |
| Tier 1 | Canada | Signal or backstop of US\$85 by 2030 | $\triangle$ | - |
|  | France |  |  |  |
|  | Germany | US\$75 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | Italy | US\$5 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | UK |  |  |  |
|  | USA | US\$65 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | China |  |  |  |
|  | Australia | US\$60 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | Japan |  |  |  |
|  | Korea |  |  |  |
| Tier 2 | India | US\$50 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | Mexico |  |  |  |
|  | South Africa |  | $\triangle$ | - |
|  | Turkey |  | $\triangle$ | - |
| Tier 3 | Argentina | US\$45 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | Brazil |  |  |  |
|  | Indonesia |  |  |  |
|  | Vietnam |  |  |  |
|  | Nigeria |  |  |  |
|  | Russia | US\$35 by 2030 |  |  |
|  | Saudi Arabia |  |  |  |

- Carbon pricing is forecast to apply to the power and industry sectors
- Relative to IPR2019, expected price signals in leading countries are $\$ 15$ higher in 2030, with comparable increases elsewhere.
- Canada has signaled the intention to apply a >\$100 carbon price by 2030.
- South Africa and Turkey prices upgraded to tier 2. South Africa's ambition driven by net zero target, while Turkey's driven by trading relationship with EU.
- Ambition for US and China in line with IPR2019 though are no longer among the most ambitious countries

Legend $\Delta$ higher ambition $\nabla$ lower ambition • no change

## IPR2021 coal phase-out forecast: ending construction of new unabated coal

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response | Change from IPR2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Survey | IPR2021 |
| Tier 1 | Australia | Actual and anticipated policy signals (bans, EPS, carbon pricing), and market reforms end new coal build from 2020 |  |  |
|  | Canada |  |  |  |
|  | France |  |  |  |
|  | Germany |  |  |  |
|  | India |  | - | $\Delta$ |
|  | Italy |  |  |  |
|  | Korea |  | - | - |
|  | Mexico |  |  |  |
|  | Argentina |  | - | - |
|  | Brazil |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
|  | UK |  |  |  |
|  | USA |  |  |  |
| Tier 2 | China | Policy signals and market reforms targeting$2025$ |  |  |
|  | Japan |  | $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
|  | South Africa |  | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
|  | Turkey |  | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
|  | Vietnam |  | $\nabla$ | - |
| Tier 3 | Russia | Policy and reforms targeting 2030 | $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
|  | Indonesia |  |  |  |
|  | Nigeria |  |  |  |
|  | Saudi Arabia | No coal in use or expected |  |  |
| Legend $\triangle$ higher ambition $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ lower ambition $\bullet$ no change |  |  |  |  |

- Relative to IPR2019, India and Korea upgraded to tier 1.
- A number of countries upgraded to tier 2 in line with expert expectation that policy will strengthen ambition in these countries.
- Japan downgraded from tier 1 to 2 due to challenges phasing out coal.
- Indonesia downgraded from tier 2 to 3 due to substantial coal in pipeline.


## IPR2021 coal phase-out forecast: ending unabated coal generation

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 | France UK <br> Italy | Strong policy signal that coal generation will be made unlawful or unprofitable before 2030 |
|  | Canada USA | Coal to be made unlawful or unprofitable by 2030 |
| Tier 2 | Germany <br> Australia <br> South Africa <br> Mexico | Strong policy signal that coal generation will be made unlawful or unprofitable by 20382040 |
|  | Argentina <br> Brazil <br> Korea |  |
|  | China |  |
|  | Indonesia | Coal to be made unlawful or unprofitable by 2045 |
|  | Nigeria |  |
|  | Russia |  |
|  | Turkey |  |
|  | Vietnam |  |
|  | Saudi Arabia | No coal in use or expected |


| Change from IPR2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Survey | IPR2021 |
| $\triangle$ | $\Delta$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| $\Delta$ | - |
| $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
| - | - |

- France and UK upgraded to before 2030 in line with existing policies; Italy upgraded due to EU pressure, in line with expert expectations
- Germany downgraded to tier 2 in line with 2038 phase-out policy
- Australia and Mexico downgraded to tier 2 in line with survey evidence and limited stated ambition to phase-out coal
- Japan downgraded from 2030 due to challenges phasing out coal
- All tier 3 countries upgraded to tier 2 due to expert expectation that policy would aim for phase-out before 2045 Q


## IPR 2021 clean power forecast

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | France <br> Canada | Policy to deliver 100\% clean power by 2035 |
| Tier 1 | UK <br> USA <br> South Africa | Policy to deliver 100\% clean power by 2040 |
| Tier 2 | Germany <br> Italy <br> Japan <br> Korea <br> Vietnam | Strong policy signal to deliver 100\% clean power by 2045 |
| Tier 3 | Australia <br> Mexico <br> China <br> India <br> Indonesia <br> Russia <br> Turkey <br> Brazil <br> Argentina <br> Nigeria <br> Saudi Arabia | Strong policy signal to deliver $100 \%$ clean power by 2050 |

Countries with strong commitments towards net zero emissions in power and more widely, and/or facing few challenges in decarbonising power

Countries with moderate commitments towards net zero emissions in power and more widely, or facing greater challenges in decarbonising power

Countries with weaker commitments towards net zero emissions in power and more widely

## IPR2021 ICE phase out forecast: light duty vehicles

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UK <br> China | $100 \%$ ZEV sales from 2030 |
|  | France <br> Germany <br> Italy <br> Korea | $100 \%$ ZEV sales from 2035 |
|  | Argentina <br> Australia <br> Canada <br> India <br> Indonesia <br> Japan <br> Mexico <br> South Africa <br> Turkey <br> USA <br> Vietnam | $100 \%$ ZEV sales from 2040 |
|  | Brazil <br> Nigeria <br> Russia <br> Saudi Arabia | 100\% ZEV sales from 2045 |
| Tier 3 |  |  |

## Change from IPR2019 <br> Survey IPR2021 <br> A <br> -

- 
- 

$\Delta$
$\Delta$
-
$\Delta$

- The UK has announced an end to the sale of fossil cars and vans by 2030.
- South Korea upgraded to tier 1, with increase in ambition expected given requirements of 2050 net zero target.
- South Africa and Turkey upgraded to tier 2; ambition in South Africa driven by net zero target, and in Turkey by proximity to EU vehicle market.

Legend $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ higher ambition $\nabla$ lower ambition • no change

## IPR2021 ICE phase out forecast: heavy goods vehicles

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response | Change from IPR2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Survey | IPR2021 |
| Tier 1 | UK | 100\% ZEV sales from 2035 | - | - |
|  | China |  |  |  |
|  | France |  |  |  |
|  | Germany | 100\% |  |  |
|  | Italy | \% |  |  |
|  | Japan |  | - | $\triangle$ |
|  | Korea |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
| Tier 2 | Argentina |  |  |  |
|  | Australia |  |  |  |
|  | Brazil |  |  |  |
|  | Canada |  |  |  |
|  | India |  |  |  |
|  | Indonesia | 100\% ZEV sales from 2045 |  |  |
|  | Mexico |  |  |  |
|  | South Africa |  | - | - |
|  | Turkey |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
|  | USA |  |  |  |
|  | Vietnam |  |  |  |
| Tier 3 | Nigeria |  |  |  |
|  | Russia | 100\% ZEV sales from 2050 |  |  |
|  | Saudi Arabia |  |  |  |

- Ambition on heavy duty vehicles is expected to follow light duty: decarbonisation presents a greater challenges, but technological progress is strongly driven by progress in light duty vehicles, and policy drivers are comparable
- UK and Korea are upgraded to tier 1 in line with light duty vehicles
- Japan upgraded to tier 1 with similar ambition to light duty vehicles, as strong national push for hydrogen favours decarbonisation of heavy vehicles
- South Africa and Turkey upgraded to tier 2

Legend $\Delta$ higher ambition $\nabla$ lower ambition $\bullet$ no change

## IPR2021 low-carbon buildings forecast: zero carbon heating

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UK <br> Germany <br> France <br> Italy <br> Canada <br> South Africa <br> Australia |  |
|  | USA <br> Japan <br> Argentina <br> Korea | 100\% zero carbon heating sales from 2035 |
| Tier 2 | China | 100\% zero carbon heating sales from 2040 |
| Tier 3 | Russia <br> Turkey | 100\% zero carbon heating sales from 2045 2050 |
|  | Mexico <br> India <br> Indonesia <br> Indon <br> Vietnam <br> Brazil <br> Nigeria <br> Saudi Arabia | Space heating not needed in these countries |

Countries with clear or forecast 2050 net zero targets, requiring lowcarbon heating to be phased in from 2035 due to typical heating system lifetimes

2060 net zero target, and existing support schemes

Countries without net zero targets and limited incentives to shift to lowcarbon heating systems

IPR2021 clean industry forecast

Countries with clear or forecast 2050
Germany
France
Italy
USA
Tier 1 Canada
Japan
Korea
South Africa
Australia
Argentina
China
Tier 2
Brazil
India
100\% new zero carbon production facilities from 2050

## Mexico

Indonesia Vietnam
Tier 3
Russia
Turkey
No clear policy to phase-out conventional iron and steel, chemicals and cement production

## Nigeria

Saudi Arabia
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## IPR2021 low-carbon agriculture forecast

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UK <br> Germany |  |
|  | France <br> Italy | Nationwide market incentives to encourage |
| Tier 1 | USA <br> Canada <br> Australia <br> Japan <br> China <br> Korea | farmers to reduce emissions from crop <br> production and livestock from 2025 |
| Tier 2 | Mexico <br> India <br> Vietnam <br> Turkey | Nationwide incentives from 2030 |
|  | Indonesia <br> Russia <br> South Africa <br> Brazil <br> Argentina <br> Nigeria | Nationwide incentives from 2035 |
| Tier 3 |  |  |
|  | Saudi Arabia | Minimal agriculture |

Countries with objectives to reduce emissions from agriculture and moderate barriers to reducing emissions in this sector

Countries with objectives to reduce emissions from agriculture but with strong barriers to reducing emissions in this sector

Countries without objectives to reduce emissions from agriculture

## IPR2021 land use and forestry forecast

| Tier | Country | Forecast Policy Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 | Korea |  |
|  | Canada |  |
|  | France |  |
|  | Germany |  |
|  | Italy |  |
|  | Japan | End net deforestation by 2025 |
|  | UK | Deliver afforestation at scale by 2025 |
|  | USA |  |
|  | China |  |
|  | Turkey |  |
|  | Vietnam |  |
|  | Australia |  |
| Tier 2 | Russia | End net deforestation by 2025 Deliver afforestation at scale by 2030 |
| Tier 3 | Nigeria |  |
|  | India |  |
|  | Argentina | End net deforestation by 2030 |
|  | Brazil | Deliver afforestation at scale by 2030 |
|  | South Africa |  |
|  | Mexico |  |
|  | Indonesia |  |
|  | Saudi Arabia | Minimal potential for forestry |

Countries with low or minimal deforestation and strong climate targets or track record on afforestation

Minimal deforestation but limited climate targets or track record on afforestation

Countries with substantial current deforestation
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