CLIMATE METRICS

OVERVIEW

- This introductory guide provides a brief overview of climate metrics that are currently widely used by investors, based on PRI reporting data and asset managers’ public climate disclosures.
- The purpose of the guide is to highlight current market practice; it does not recommend the climate-related metrics that investors should disclose.
- This guide is for asset owner employees with limited or no prior knowledge of climate metrics.
- In late 2021, the Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) recommended all organisations disclose data across seven cross-industry metrics categories. This guide covers four of them: greenhouse gas emissions, transition risks, physical climate risks and climate-related opportunities.

This guide addresses the following three questions:

- Why are climate metrics used?
- What climate metrics are most widely used?
- How are climate metrics set to evolve?
Climate metrics enable investors to:

- understand and manage climate-related risks, opportunities and impacts associated with their investments;
- track progress against goals, such as reaching net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by a specified date;
- comply with regulatory reporting requirements;
- inform beneficiaries of climate-related risks, opportunities and impacts associated with their investments; and
- conduct climate-focused stewardship, either independently or in collaboration.

PRI resources

- [Climate risk: An investor resource guide](#)
- [An introduction to responsible investment: climate change for asset owners](#)
- [Providers of scenario analysis and climate risk metrics](#)
WHAT CLIMATE METRICS ARE MOST WIDELY USED?

This section of the report covers the following climate metric categories:

- greenhouse gas emissions;
- transition risks;
- physical climate risks;
- climate-related opportunities;
- climate value at risk;
- portfolio alignment; and
- climate stewardship.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS METRICS

WHAT ARE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS METRICS?

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) are gases in the earth’s atmosphere that trap heat, causing climate change. GHGs include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide and other fluorinated gases. Figures on GHG emissions are often expressed in tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e). CO2e is used to compare various GHG emissions based on their global warming potential.1 The TCFD recommends disclosing absolute Scope 1, Scope 2, and Scope 3 GHG emissions, as well as emissions intensity metrics.2

WHAT CLIMATE METRICS ARE MOST WIDELY USED?

WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF RELATIVE GHG EMISSIONS METRICS?

Many PRI signatories report on their GHG emissions on a relative (normalised) basis. They do this by dividing GHG emissions by a common denominator, such as revenue. These normalised metrics facilitate comparisons of investments and portfolios of different sizes. Examples of reported relative metrics include:

- Carbon intensity: volume of carbon emissions per million dollars of revenue, expressed in tons CO2e / USD$M revenue.
- Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI): a portfolio’s exposure to carbon-intensive companies, expressed in tons CO2e / USD$M revenue.
- Carbon footprint: total carbon emissions for a portfolio normalised by the market value of the portfolio, expressed in tons CO2e / USD$M invested.5

 Investors should be mindful that GHG emissions metrics are often based on partial data, because not all assets / entities report accurately and consistently on their emissions, and data providers use a range of methodologies to fill in the gaps.

WHAT ARE ‘AVOIDED EMISSIONS’?

Some investors report on the avoided emissions associated with their portfolios. The term relates to the reduction in GHG emissions that occurs as a result of activity undertaken by an entity or portfolio.

Avoided emissions metrics have caused concern, with some viewing them as a way to water down companies’ and investors’ net-zero targets. There are also concerns regarding the varying methodologies used to calculate avoided emissions metrics.6

However, organisations working to combat climate change, including the World Resources Institute, recognise that avoided emissions metrics, when calculated in a consistent and credible way, can provide insight into the positive impacts that an asset delivers.7

WHAT ARE THE THREE SCOPES OF GHG EMISSIONS?

Disclosures of absolute emissions metrics are often made in line with the GHG Protocol standards, which categorise emissions into three scopes:

- **Scope 1 emissions** are the direct GHG emissions that occur from sources that are controlled or owned by the reporting organisation (e.g., emissions that result from fuel combustion in furnaces and vehicles).
- **Scope 2 emissions** are indirect GHG emissions associated with the purchase and use of electricity, steam, heat, or cooling by the reporting organisation.
- **Scope 3 emissions** result from activities and assets not owned or controlled by the reporting organisation, but that the organisation indirectly impacts in its value chain (e.g., transportation and distribution, purchased goods and services).

---

1. OECD (2001), *Environmental Indicators for Agriculture – Vol. 3: Methods and Results*
3. EPA, *Scope 1 and Scope 2 Inventory Guidance*
4. EPA, *Scope 3 Inventory Guidance*
5. TCFD (2017), *Annex to the Implementing the Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures report*
7. World Resources Institute (2013), *Do We Need a Standard To Calculate “Avoided Emissions”?*
INVESTOR DISCLOSURES


- **Carmignac** discloses the proportion of its portfolio invested in securities that generate revenues from fossil fuels. This metric is also provided for the reference benchmark (see p.7): [https://carmidoc.carmignac.com/SRICA_UK_en.pdf](https://carmidoc.carmignac.com/SRICA_UK_en.pdf)

Exposure to carbon-related assets. The TCFD defines this metric as the amount or percentage of carbon-related assets in the portfolio, expressed in USD$M or percentage of the current portfolio value.8

Net Asset Value (NAV)9 exposure to different energy sources (coal, oil, gas, etc.).

Investors should be mindful that, while there is a degree of convergence, organisations continue to take different approaches to calculating these metrics, which limits the comparability of the data.

INVESTOR DISCLOSURES


**PHYSICAL CLIMATE RISK METRICS**

**WHAT ARE PHYSICAL CLIMATE RISK METRICS?**
A physical climate risk relates to the physical hazards caused by climate change. These hazards can be acute or chronic. Acute hazards consist of severe and extreme events and are location-specific; examples include wildfires and droughts. Chronic hazards relate to long-term incremental changes, such as variation in precipitation levels and ocean acidification.10

Physical risk metrics seek to indicate the vulnerability11 of investments to physical climate hazards. Calculating these metrics involves assessing the geographic location(s) where assets / entities are based12 and their exposure to physical climate hazards. Assets / entities are also assessed on their capacity to effectively manage and respond to physical climate risks.

---

8 TCFD (2021), *Implementing the Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures*, pp.4
9 The NAV of a fund is calculated by dividing the total net value of the fund (the value of all assets minus the value of all liabilities) by the fund’s current total number of shares outstanding. See: CFA, *Chapter 14, Investment Vehicles*, pp.37-8:
10 Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2021), *Climate-related financial risks—measurement methodologies*, p.5
12 Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2021), *Climate-related financial risks—measurement methodologies*, pp.13-14
Physical risk metrics provide an insight into if/how investment managers are monitoring and managing the climate-related physical risks associated with their portfolios. However, investors should be aware of methodological differences, limited data coverage and data quality issues.

**WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF PHYSICAL CLIMATE RISK METRICS?**

Examples of metrics reported by PRI investment manager signatories include:

- % of portfolio exposed to issuers with operations located in geographical areas sensitive to physical risks;
- % of portfolio exposed to issuers in sectors sensitive to physical risks;
- % of properties in real estate portfolios located in areas at high risk of sea level rise and extreme weather events; and
- physical risk score for the portfolio by 2050 under 3°C and 4°C climate change scenarios.

**CLIMATE-RELATED OPPORTUNITY METRICS**

**WHAT ARE CLIMATE-RELATED OPPORTUNITY METRICS?**

Climate-related opportunity metrics measure the proportion of assets, revenues, or other business activities aligned with opportunities associated with the transition to a low-carbon economy. These opportunities can relate to climate change mitigation or adaptation. Climate change mitigation involves avoiding and reducing GHG emissions, while adaptation is the process of adjusting to current or expected climate change and its effects. Climate-related opportunity metrics can be expressed as an amount or as a percentage.

**WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF CLIMATE-RELATED OPPORTUNITY METRICS?**

There are a significant number of different climate-related opportunity metrics reported by PRI signatories. These include:

- % of portfolio companies’ revenue generated from green/clean technology and from other climate solutions;
- % of the portfolio invested in renewable energy assets;
- NAV exposure to renewable energy; and
- % of the portfolio contributing to Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 7: Affordable and Clean Energy and to SDG 13: Climate Action.

A limitation of some of these metrics is that they are not clearly defined. For example, definitions of what constitutes a ‘climate solution’ vary. Metrics reported in relation to recognised frameworks, such as the SDGs, are more transparent and comparable.

**INVESTOR DISCLOSURE**

Charter Hall Group assesses the levels of current and future physical risks that different sections of its real assets portfolio are exposed to under different climate scenarios (see p.5): https://www.charterhall.com.au/docs/librariesprovider2/corporate-documents/annual-reports/sustainability-other-documents/tcfd-final-2021.pdf?sfvrsn=c109db84_4

**INVESTOR DISCLOSURES**

- In its 2020 Responsible Investment Report, APG discloses the percentage of its energy investments that are in renewables. It also provides data on how its energy investment mix has evolved over time.

---

13 TCFD (2021), Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures Guidance on Metrics, Targets, and Transition Plans, p.16
14 NASA, Responding to climate change: mitigation and adaptation
CLIMATE VALUE AT RISK METRICS

WHAT ARE CLIMATE VALUE AT RISK METRICS?
Climate value at risk (VaR) metrics are forward-looking estimates of the loss or gain an asset or portfolio may experience under different climate scenarios, within a given time horizon, at a particular probability (e.g., at a 25% or 50% likelihood).

Scenario analysis can be used to calculate climate VaR metrics. Conducting scenario analysis is a process that involves identifying and assessing the potential implications of a range of plausible future scenarios (e.g., a 2°C, or greater than 2°C, rise in average global temperatures).

Climate VaR metrics are expressed as a percentage and/or as a value in a selected currency. They can be positive or negative, as some investments stand to gain under certain climate scenarios, whilst others will lose value. For example, an investment manager may report that their real estate portfolio has a climate VaR of -2.3% under a 1.5°C scenario, whilst one of their thematic listed equity funds has a climate VaR of +0.5% under the same scenario.

The climate VaR metric expresses an overall estimate of financial impact, encompassing transition risks, opportunities and physical risks. Some investment managers report physical climate VaR as a standalone metric in addition to overall climate VaR.

A key use of climate VaR metrics is to assess the climate-related risks and opportunities facing an asset or portfolio. A limitation of the metric is that estimates are highly sensitive to modelling assumptions.

INVESTOR DISCLOSURES

PORTFOLIO ALIGNMENT METRICS

WHAT ARE PORTFOLIO ALIGNMENT METRICS?
Portfolio alignment metrics express the extent to which an investment or portfolio is aligned with particular goals, for example the Paris Agreement or the SDGs. These metrics can be expressed as a percentage or as a number in degrees Celsius.

There is some overlap between portfolio alignment and climate-related opportunity metrics, but they can be used in different ways. Portfolio alignment metrics can be used to measure the environmental and social impact resulting from investments. Climate-related opportunity metrics can be used to help assess the financial returns that an investment or portfolio is set to generate from the transition to a low-carbon economy.

WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF PORTFOLIO ALIGNMENT METRICS?
Examples of metrics reported by PRI signatories include:

- % of the portfolio aligned with the EU taxonomy;
- % of the portfolio contributing to the SDGs (7 and 13); and
- implied temperature rise (ITR) associated with the portfolio.

Implied temperature rise metrics are forward-looking and attempt to estimate a global temperature rise associated with the GHG emissions of a single entity (e.g., a company) or a selection of entities (e.g., those in an investment portfolio or strategy).

PRI resources

- PRI Awards 2019 case study: Climate VaR integration
- Assessing climate risks in investors’ portfolios: a journey through climate stress-testing
There are varying approaches to calculating ITR metrics. The process generally involves assessing an investee's GHG emissions trajectory, based on its current GHG emissions and carbon reduction targets. The trajectory is assessed for alignment with decarbonisation pathways, such as those developed by the Transition Pathway Initiative, and carbon budgets, to produce an implied temperature rise score for the portfolio holding. Scores for individual holdings can be aggregated at the portfolio level.

ITR metrics can be useful in communicating a portfolio’s exposure to climate-related risks and opportunities. However, challenges include varying calculation methodologies and coverage. The PRI recommends that ITR metrics should not be viewed in isolation but considered alongside a range of additional climate metrics.\(^1\)

**INVESTOR DISCLOSURE**
In its 2020 climate report, Amundi published the implied temperature score for its equity, fixed income and other investment portfolios alongside the implied temperature score of the MSCI World Index (see p.39): https://www.amundi.com/institutional/files/nuxeo/dl/d369d53e-07d2-43e2-a02e-c698076737b

---

**CLIMATE STEWARDSHIP METRICS**

**WHAT ARE CLIMATE STEWARDSHIP METRICS?**
Investors can use their stewardship activity to support the transition to a low-carbon economy. This involves engaging with investee and other relevant entities on climate-related issues. For listed equity investors, it also involves voting on climate-related annual general meeting and extraordinary general meeting items. Climate stewardship metrics capture activity that has been undertaken and results achieved.

**WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF CLIMATE STEWARDSHIP METRICS?**
Quantitative climate-related stewardship metrics reported by PRI investment manager signatories include:

- % of climate-related shareholder proposals supported;
- % of climate-related shareholder proposals opposed;
- % of votes against management on the grounds of climate risk concerns; and
- number of climate-related engagements conducted in the past 12 months as a % of the total.

The PRI recommends that stewardship disclosures should include not only processes but also information on the real-world outcomes that result from engagement and voting activities.

**INVESTOR DISCLOSURE**
In its 2020 ESG report, Neuberger Berman discloses the number of shareholder resolutions on climate change that were supported / opposed in absolute and percentage terms (see p.65). It also provides rationales for some of its key votes (see pp.59-60): https://www.nb.com/handlers/documents.ashx?id=5b10af77-6d0d-451b-84ee-7aebf2fbcf9c

---

\(^{1}\) PRI (2021). *Forward looking climate metrics*. p.5
HOW ARE CLIMATE METRICS SET TO EVOLVE?

Climate metrics will continue to evolve as mandatory reporting requirements develop and additional standards are produced by investor alliances. Recent and ongoing developments of note are listed below.

- The TCFD recently recommended that organisations disclose data across seven cross-industry, climate-related metric categories.\(^{19}\)
- In November 2021, the IFRS Foundation announced the creation of the International Sustainability Standards Board, which would develop a global baseline of sustainability-related disclosure standards designed to help investors assess enterprise value, starting with climate-related disclosures.\(^{20}\)
- The European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG) is developing sustainability reporting standards,\(^{20}\) including on climate, adopting an approach that considers how sustainability issues affect a company’s performance, position and development, and a company’s impact on people and the environment. Once these standards are in place, they are also likely to help shape the climate metrics investors use and report.

This introductory guide will be updated periodically to reflect changes in the use and reporting of climate metrics by investors globally. Any feedback or questions regarding this guide can be sent to assetowners@unpri.org

PRI resources

Draft position paper: Prototype climate and general disclosure requirements developed by the Technical Readiness Working Group (TRWG) for consideration by the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB)

PRI consultation response on EFRAG’s proposed due process procedures

---

\(^{19}\) These categories are: GHG emissions metrics, transition risk metrics, physical risk metrics, climate-related opportunities metrics, capital deployment metrics, internal carbon prices and renumeration metrics. See pp.16-17: https://www.fsb.org/wp-content/uploads/P141021-2.pdf

\(^{20}\) Finalised versions of these standards will be adopted through Delegated Acts by the European Commission and constitute reporting requirements under the proposed Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive.
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The Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)

The PRI works with its international network of signatories to put the six Principles for Responsible Investment into practice. Its goals are to understand the investment implications of environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues and to support signatories in integrating these issues into investment and ownership decisions. The PRI acts in the long-term interests of its signatories, of the financial markets and economies in which they operate and ultimately of the environment and society as a whole.

The six Principles for Responsible Investment are a voluntary and aspirational set of investment principles that offer a menu of possible actions for incorporating ESG issues into investment practice. The Principles were developed by investors, for investors. In implementing them, signatories contribute to developing a more sustainable global financial system.

More information: www.unpri.org

The PRI is an investor initiative in partnership with UNEP Finance Initiative and the UN Global Compact.

United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)

UNEP FI is a unique partnership between the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the global financial sector. UNEP FI works closely with over 200 financial institutions that are signatories to the UNEP FI Statement on Sustainable Development, and a range of partner organisations, to develop and promote linkages between sustainability and financial performance. Through peer-to-peer networks, research and training, UNEP FI carries out its mission to identify, promote, and realise the adoption of best environmental and sustainability practice at all levels of financial institution operations.

More information: www.unepfi.org

United Nations Global Compact

The United Nations Global Compact is a call to companies everywhere to align their operations and strategies with ten universally accepted principles in the areas of human rights, labour, environment and anti-corruption, and to take action in support of UN goals and issues embodied in the Sustainable Development Goals. The UN Global Compact is a leadership platform for the development, implementation and disclosure of responsible corporate practices. Launched in 2000, it is the largest corporate sustainability initiative in the world, with more than 8,800 companies and 4,000 non-business signatories based in over 160 countries, and more than 80 Local Networks.

More information: www.unglobalcompact.org