



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for Interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our portfolio</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our portfolio</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>	
Collaborative engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our portfolio</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>		
Service provider engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our portfolio</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

Acadian Asset Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

287

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		70	339	317	553
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		70	339	317	553

OO 04.5 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

OO 10.3

Additional information. [Optional]

We incorporate all of the above indirectly in the following ways: 1. ESG issues are considered in our quantitative investment process through the use of corporate governance and corruption indicators and other signals that capture ESG considerations indirectly, as well as through active research and testing of potential new ESG-related factors. 2. Acadian uses the services of a proxy voting specialist firm to research and vote proxies for those accounts where we have contractually agreed to voting responsibility. In general Acadian adheres to our agent's proxy voting policies which have been developed based on their independent, objective analysis of leading corporate governance practices and their support of long-term shareholder value. Acadian will also accept specific written proxy voting instructions from a client and communicate those to our agent to implement when they vote proxies involving that client's portfolio. In particular, if a client directs a Responsible Investing proxy investing policy, we will either utilize our agent's SRI voting process or request a specific policy from the client to provide to our agent. 3. We have partnered with our proxy voting agent on engagement activities and will work through them rather than engage directly with management through our internal investment team. Our agent pursues a collective engagement approach, in which their representatives engage with thousands of issuers globally. We believe their efforts are prudent, given their focus on proxy voting. As a result of this partnership, Acadian tracks engagements across all portfolio holdings.

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 12.1

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Closing module

- Closing module

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1	Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.
---------	---

- Yes
- No

OA 01.2	Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.
---------	--

- Yes
- No

OA 01.3	Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]
---------	---

Acadian incorporates ESG investing in all parts of our investment process, through ESG factors in our bottom-up and top-down forecasting frameworks, client-mandated portfolio exclusions, and/or proxy voting direction, if desired by a client. We believe it stands to reason that fundamentally well-governed, sustainable businesses have the potential to generate strong results in our portfolios over time. Our process uses an aggregate factor in the bottom-up model called "Management Behavior". This factor considers governance issues such as fraud evaluation, timing of news releases, as well as management's own trading behavior. In addition, we use a corruption-index adjustment in our top-down forecasting model. This reflects the fact that some countries can have low valuations not because they are attractively undervalued but because of persistently poor political, judicial, and shareholder rights records. All else being equal, this adjustment makes such markets less attractive in our framework. This index includes not only 'corruption,' per se, among the rating factors, but also appraises social issues such as child labor as well as governance. We believe that corruption, lack of transparency and lack of rule of law are a tax on economic growth as they drive up prices, reduce investment and reduce the legal protection accorded to shareholders and investors. In addition, Acadian believes that geopolitical and social-issue research is a unique and highly complex undertaking that is best handled by an expert in the key areas of relevance. We use specialized resources to address these matters as appropriate. We offer SRI proxy voting, engagement reporting, and exclusions to our clients; the full implementation of ESG/RI considerations into our investment process remains client-driven and client-specific. Currently a number of our clients have charged us with managing socially responsible or other restricted mandates. In these portfolios, the client supplies the screening criteria and Acadian automatically constrains its investments to avoid the prohibited security class (for example, tobacco or alcohol-related stocks, companies conducting business in Sudan, etc.). We have the data to manage a broad range of ESG mandates and screen on a very wide universe of issues. Finally, we offer SRI proxy voting to all of our clients. This is enabled by a third-party vendor.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1	Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.
---------	---

- Yes

OA 02.2	Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.
---------	--

URL

<http://www.acadian-asset.com/Strategies/Equity/Products.aspx#ESG>

No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

Yes

Yes, all

Yes, some

OA 02.4 List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
UN PRI Transparency Report	http://www.unpri.org/viewer/?file=wp-content/uploads/Merged_Public_Transparency_Report_Acadian-Asset-Management.pdf

No

OA 02.5 Additional information. [Optional]

Acadian has a public ESG policy as well as an ESG implementation guide that we can present to clients and prospects on request.

OA 03 **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 03.2 Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]

The full implementation of our internal policy is client-driven and client-specific. Screening and exclusions, for example, do not apply to all AUM but to approximately 40%.

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

OA 04.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

Acadian will try to avoid any material conflicts with a specific client. Should such a conflict be identified, we will notify the client. It is our policy to attempt to identify general conflicts that occur within our investment process and to establish mitigating controls to address the conflicts.

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	------------------------------	----------------

OA 05.1 Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Every two years or less
 - It is not reviewed
- No

OA 05.4

Additional information. [Optional]

While we have no explicit timeframe, ESG factor research is included as part of our research agenda as we seek to potentially incorporate more of such factors into our process over time. In addition to the ESG-related factors that we have already incorporated, we continue to research additional factors focused on accounting practices and superior governance as well as potential new inputs relating to environmental factors. Our goal is to continue to integrate ESG factors into our process, subject to our research showing that over time such an emphasis would likely not detract value-added from a return perspective.

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

OA 08.2

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

1

OA 08.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Dedicated responsible investment staff: Acadian's Director of Responsible Investments, Asha Mehta, Senior Vice President, can provide feedback on ESG integration and research activities. Asha is a member of our Portfolio Management team, and, as Director of Responsible Investments, is focused on monitoring the academic and industry research and for managing Acadian's institutional knowledge on ESG topics, in addition to her portfolio management and research responsibilities. Each of our equity portfolio managers is also an expert on each of the quantitative factors that we use in our process, including the ESG-related factors such as Management Behavior. Ultimate authority and accountability for the investment process rests with the Chief Investment Officer.

External managers or service providers: We do not use external managers but we do use external data providers to augment our research effort and by extension these providers are part of the implementation. We also use a third-party proxy voting agent to research and vote proxies for those accounts where we have contractually agreed to voting responsibility. In particular, if a client directs a Responsible Investing proxy investing policy, we will either utilize our agent's SRI voting process or request a specific policy from the client to provide to our agent .

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Acadian has been an active member of the PRI since 2009. In 2011 we participated in the PRI's annual conference, and at the invitation of the PRI, submitted a case study on our capabilities in integrating responsible factors into our process which was subsequently published in the 2011 UN PRI Annual Report.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
ESG Research Australia (ESG RA)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Acadian's involvement with ESG RA is through our Sydney-based joint venture, Acadian Asset Management (Australia), which is active on the ESG RA research evaluation committee responsible for annual brokerage research awards selection.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

Acadian has acted as a liaison for partner organizations to inform regarding PRI.

- No

OA 11.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Our process is a data-driven one, and hence we seek broad and detailed disclosure by companies. Whereas we have a coverage universe of over 35,000 stocks, our data comes largely in the form of data feeds that we receive from external vendors and data suppliers. All processing of such data is done via a quantitative, objective process without human assessment; this is the hallmark of our process, which we consider to be our competitive advantage. We seek to improve disclosure by companies by working with our partners to identify areas for better disclosure and encourage companies to provide more, detailed items.

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1


Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 60
--	--

- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 40
--	--

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

Acadian can incorporate responsible investing issues across all phases of our investment process. The full implementation is client-driven and varies by client. One way we can consider responsible investing in our process is by applying explicit ESG screening restriction lists to a separate account if the client so desires. In these portfolios, the client supplies the specific screening criteria and Acadian automatically constrains its investments to avoid the prohibited security class. Acadian also sub-advises a Global SRI UCITS fund on a leading European investment company's platform. All securities considered must pass a socially responsible investments screen at the time of purchase. More broadly, responsible investing issues are embedded in our process in the context of our quantitative frameworks for security and peer group valuation. At our core, our goal is to identify investments that are likely to generate the best risk-adjusted returns for our clients, regardless of ESG considerations. We believe that such investments are characterized by strong financial health, solid business prospects, effective corporate governance, and upside potential - traits that are likely to be embodied by sustainable and responsible businesses. Alongside the traditional financial criteria we employ to help identify such companies - i.e., fundamental value, earnings, quality, and price factors - we incorporate ESG issues in our bottom-up and top-down frameworks through the use of corporate governance and corruption indicators and other factors that capture ESG considerations indirectly. Acadian can also address ESG issues at specific client direction through ESG-sensitive proxy voting and/or client-provided investment screens. The full implementation of ESG considerations into our investment process remains client-driven and client-specific (or fund-driven and fund-specific).

LEI 03.3

Where assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

Approximately 40% of Acadian's assets are managed with some type of client- or fund-mandated responsible investing exclusionary screen in place. In addition, the underlying investment process that Acadian utilizes to produce all equity portfolios, regardless of whether an exclusionary screen is mandated, incorporates ESG-related signals along with a host of other predictive factors to ensure that all stocks are evaluated on the full range of factors.

(A) Implementation: Screening**LEI 06****Mandatory****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 06.1**

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

At client direction, Acadian can apply exclusionary screens which may encompass one or more of the criteria listed above. Acadian also sub-advises a Global SRI UCITS fund that is screened on socially responsible characteristics, with the mandate of investing only in companies exhibiting prudent management behavior with respect to external transparency, internal controls, and compliance with international norms on environment, human rights, labor rights, corruption and inhumane weapons.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------	---

The screening criteria are typically established by the separate-account client and communicated and agreed upon with Acadian. In some cases related to commingled funds, the criteria is established by Acadian in collaboration with an external ESG vendor and the investment company on whose platform the fund is available.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
----------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
 We refresh our screening lists & apply them regularly. In some cases either the client or an external ESG vendor provides and evaluates the screening criteria.
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues			
---	--	--	--

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
----------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

LEI 11.2 Additional information. [Optional]

We have devoted significant, systematic research efforts to environmental signals. While we have not as yet explicitly integrated such signals into our investment process due to a lack of demonstrated efficacy, we continue to revisit this area of research on a regular basis and may consider adding environmental measures in the future as data improves and the potential for meaningful value-added increases. Currently, we believe that our models capture environmental considerations indirectly. We rely on external data sources to supply most of the information on which we base our quantitative investment decisions. We capture fundamental analyst perspectives from the sell side in many of our Earnings factors, and we believe their expectations of the expected impact of environmental factors, for example, are included in their estimates and recommendations. In terms of corporate governance, we also include a Corruption-Index Adjustment in our emerging markets country forecasting model that considers social issues, as well as a Governance factor in our bottom-up valuation framework that considers governance issues such as fraud evaluation, timing of news releases, as well as management's own trading behavior.

Communication

LEI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

<http://www.acadian-asset.com/Strategies/Equity/Products.aspx#ESG>

LEI 18.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes
- No

LEI 18.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to the public.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

LEI 18.5

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
 - We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

LEI 18.7

Additional information. [Optional]

Regarding 18.4, note that our disclosure to the public is updated continuously, as needed. When a change to our approach occurs, we will immediately update the website.

However, our process is designed to be very stable over time, and we do not engage in frequent changes. Changes in our approach occur less than annually, so our updates to the website are annually or less frequent. (If there is a change, we will update the public disclosure at that time, rather than waiting for some annual cycle.)

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify We use our service provider's policy. <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Acadian has partnered on engagement activities with our proxy voting agent. Our agent pursues a collective engagement approach, in which their representatives engage with thousands of issuers globally. We believe that our agent's efforts are prudent, given their focus on proxy voting. As a result of this partnership, Acadian tracks engagements across all portfolio holdings.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 17.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
 - our own voting policy
 - our clients requests or policy
 - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 17.2

Additional information.[Optional]

For the clients that ask us to vote for their portfolios, we retain a proxy voting specialist firm to cast votes on our behalf in accordance with policies agreed to with us. Our agent's proxy voting policies have been developed based on their independent, objective analysis of leading corporate governance practices and their support of long-term shareholder value. Acadian may change those policies from time to time if we so choose. Acadian also reserves the right to override vote recommendations under certain circumstances but will only do so if we believe that voting contrary to the proxy voting agent's recommendation is in the best interest of clients. Any overrides will be approved by an officer of Acadian and will be documented with the reasons for voting against the proxy voting agent's recommendation. Acadian will also accept specific written proxy voting instructions from a client and communicate those to our agent to implement when voting proxies involving that client's portfolio. Our agent also offers its own set of Social Advisory guidelines if a client desires.

LEA 18

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 18.1

Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate what percentage your organisation reviewed, and the reasons for the review.

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- >20%
- 5-20%
- 1-5%
- <1%

Reasons for review

- Specific ESG issues
- Votes where a significant level of ownership is held
- Votes against management or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- Other, explain

We conduct random sampling each month as part of our proxy reconciliation.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
----------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

97

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 22.2

If there are specific reasons why you did not vote certain holdings, explain these, and if possible, indicate the percentage of holdings affected by these factors. [Optional]

In specific instances where our proxy voting agent will not vote a proxy, will not provide a voting recommendation, or other instances where there is an unusual cost or requirement related to a proxy vote, Acadian will conduct an analysis to determine whether the costs related to the vote outweigh the potential benefit to our client. If we determine, in our discretion, that it is in the best interest of our client not to participate in the vote, Acadian will not participate in the vote on behalf of our client. If we determine that a vote would be in the best interest of our client, we will seek a voting recommendation from an authorized member of our investment team and ensure the vote is cast as they instruct.

Acadian has also instructed our proxy voting agent to not vote proxies in "share blocking" markets, unless directed otherwise by a client. Share-blocking markets are markets where proxy voters have their securities blocked from trading during the period of the annual meeting. The period of blocking typically lasts from a few days to two weeks. During the period, any portfolio holdings in these markets cannot be sold without a formal recall. The recall process can take time, and in some cases, cannot be accomplished at all. This makes a client's portfolio vulnerable to a scenario where a stock is dropping in attractiveness but cannot be sold because it has been blocked. Shareholders who do not vote are not subject to the blocking procedure.

- We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2,6****LEA 26.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 26.5

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 26.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
 - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
 - Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

LEA 26.8

Additional information. [Optional]

For those client accounts where we have contractually agreed to voting responsibility, we use a proxy voting agent. Our proxy voting agent can provide this information to those clients who request it.