



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason Gateway	Principle PRI 1,2,3
<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</li> </ul>		
<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Collaborative engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</li> </ul>		
<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Service provider engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</li> </ul>		

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2014/15

Access Capital Partners

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	🔒	n/a							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	-	n/a		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

# Access Capital Partners

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services you offer.

- Fund management
- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Other, specify

Direct co-investments

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Execution and advisory services

OO 02

Mandatory

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Belgium

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

53

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Access Capital Partners has offices in Belgium, France, United Kingdom, Finland and Germany

**OO 03** **Mandatory** **Descriptive** **General**

**OO 03.1** Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

**OO 04** **Mandatory** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

**OO 04.1** Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

**OO 04.2** Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		5	200	000	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		6	819	754	113

**OO 04.5** Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

**OO 06** **Mandatory** **Descriptive** **General**

**OO 06.1** To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0



Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	6
Private equity	0	89
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	5
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 11.2**

Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- Private debt
- Private equity
- Infrastructure
- None of the above

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

*This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.*

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation via external managers**

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Private Equity

**Closing module**

- Closing module

# Access Capital Partners

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 02.5** Additional information. [Optional]

Responsible Investment policies and other ESG-related documents produced by Access are only disclosed to clients for confidentiality reasons. All financial & extra financial information on privately held companies is confidential.

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

<b>OA 03.2</b>	Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]
----------------	---

Integration of ESG issues has started with Access Capital Partners' fourth generation Fund of funds and a 2007 vintage segregated account. The process has progressively been expanded to all vehicles managed since 2008.

<b>OA 04</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>OA 04.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

Yes

<b>OA 04.2</b>	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]
----------------	--

Whenever a new fund is closed, an Advisory Committee is appointed and consists of representatives of the main investors as designated by Access. The Advisory Committee is consulted by Access as often as necessary on potential and actual conflicts of interest, which Access identifies, and on any other matters as provided for by the By-Laws or determined by Access. Access' funds' Advisory committees meet twice a year.

Besides, Access systematically provides full transparency to its investors as to all mandates or funds it manages. Whenever a new mandate/client is signed or a new fund is closed, Access determines (together with the relevant client or advisory board) the parameters for fund allocations. In any case, Access keeps the advisory committee members and clients of its funds and mandates informed of all investments Access makes and of the allocation process.

Further, in 2014 Access appointed a Risk Management and Compliance Director who is responsible for ensuring compliance with governance and regulatory requirements of the jurisdiction where Access has an office. He is also in charge of performing independent internal control on fund/asset monitoring and middle office procedures as well as on corporate matters, and as such makes sure that potential conflicts of interests are addressed according to the pre-determined procedures and policies.

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
---------	--

Yes

OA 05.2	Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.
---------	--

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

OA 05.3	Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.
---------	---

- Quarterly
  - Biannually
  - Annually
  - Every two years or less
  - It is not reviewed
- No

OA 05.4	Additional information. [Optional]
---------	------------------------------------

## Governance and human resources

OA 08	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 08.1	Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.
---------	---

## Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
- Other role, specify
  - Partner Investor Relations / Communication**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Other role, specify
    - Senior analyst Investor Relations / Communication**
      - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
      - Implementation of responsible investment
      - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

**OA 08.2**

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

2

## Promoting responsible investment

**OA 10**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 4,5**

**OA 10.1**

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association  
 Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia  
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors  
 CDP Climate Change  
 CDP Forests  
 CDP Water  
 CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity  
 Council of Institutional Investors (CII)  
 Eumedion  
 Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)  
 Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)  
 Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)  
 Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)  
 Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)  
 International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)  
 Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)  
 Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES  
 Local Authority Pension Fund Forum  
 Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify  
 Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)  
 United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)  
 United Nations Global Compact  
 Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

AFIC

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Access is a member of the AFIC Commission ESG (ex AFIC Club de Développement Durable) created in February 2013. The mission of the Commission ESG is to promote Responsible Investment within French Private Equity industry.

The Commission ESG is intended to i/ be a platform of discussions about the best way to integrate ESG criteria into fund's management ii/ coordinate exchanges with PRI, BVCA, EVCA and all the French and international private equity stakeholders on ESG subjects and iii/ gather together all the AFIC members involved in the awareness of extra-financial ESG aspects.

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

EVCA

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Every year, Access' team members attend events organised by EVCA and are regularly involved in the debates/discussions as panellist or panel moderator

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

**OA 10.2** Additional information. [Optional]

Access has developed a SRI approach by referring to the Charte de l'AFIC (Association Française des Investisseurs pour la Croissance) published in 2008 and promoting ESG principles. By signing the Charter, Access expresses the values it wishes to promote and the responsibilities it accepts. In addition, Access contributed to the writing of the Livre Blanc (book of reflection and feedbacks on ESG policies written by private equity professionals) in 2010 and is a member of the AFIC Commission ESG (ex AFIC Club de Développement Durable) created in February 2013

**OA 11**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 4**

**OA 11.1**

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

**OA 11.2**

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

**OA 11.3**

Additional information. [Optional]

Actions have been made through the following events:

1/ Due diligence process

GPs are strongly encouraged by Access to adopt responsible investment practices: an SRI engagement questionnaire is sent to every GP under due diligence to find out whether and how they take ESG criteria into account in their decisions related to their investment in private companies.

Further, in the legal documentation, Access seeks a written engagement from underlying GPs to use reasonable best efforts to incorporate ESG aspects to the management of their portfolio companies and to provide update if significant ESG issue arises in a portfolio company.

2/ Lifetime of the underlying funds

Board seats at Advisory Committees: By being systematically represented at the Advisory Committees of the underlying primary funds, Access has the opportunity to i/ question on how the GP has integrated ESG issues into their investment decisions, ii/ ensure adequate governance structure is in place, iii/ encourage GPs to report on the application of their own ESG policies.

GP Meetings: Every year, Access gathers its small to midmarket buy-out and special situations GPs to exchange views on the current state of the market. On this occasion, Access reiterates its commitment to UNPRI and encourages GPs to report on the application of their own SRI principles.

# Access Capital Partners

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

SAM 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**SAM 02.1** Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

- Yes  
 No

SAM 03	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------	-----------	---------	---------

**SAM 03.1** Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Private equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets

### Selection

SAM 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**SAM 10.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

General

	PE		
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies'/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 10.2** Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's selection approach for non-listed assets. [Optional]

Each GP/fund under due diligence is provided with a statement explaining Access' commitment to the Principles for Responsible Investment. In addition, a specific Socially Responsible Investment questionnaire is sent to the fund management team. The questionnaire purpose is to find out whether, and how, private equity funds under due diligence take ESG criteria into account in the decisions related to their investments in private companies. Inadequate responses do not necessarily result in a decision not to invest since the GP will have to recognize, through a side letter, the Access' commitment to the PRI Principles and use reasonable best efforts to incorporate ESG criteria to the management of the fund. When selecting a GP, Access pays much attention to potential and willingness from the GP to integrate ESG over the long term. The SRI questionnaire is then analyzed by Access and leads to the first specific rating for each GP/fund. Thus, before going further in the selection and the investment process, Access is aware of the orientation of the GP/fund concerning SRI.

**Monitoring**

**SAM 12** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1-6**

**SAM 12.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:

General

	PE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	<b>PE</b>		
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## Communication

<b>SAM 16</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>SAM 16.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.
-----------------	---

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only

<b>SAM 16.3</b>	Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.
-----------------	--

- How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes
- Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
- E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership
- Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries