



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason for Interaction	Principle PRI 1,2,3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>	
Collaborative engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>		
Service provider engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for identifying the need to re-evaluate our</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers</p>		

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2014/15

Catholic Superannuation Fund

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	-	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Private		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

# Catholic Superannuation Fund

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation or endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

65

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014



**OO 04.2**

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		6	421	000	000
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD		5	967	039	672

**OO 04.5**

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

**OO 06**

**Mandatory**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**OO 06.1**

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	>50%
Fixed income – corporate	0	<10%
Fixed income – government	0	<10%
Fixed income – other	0	<10%
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	<10%
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	<10%
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	<10%
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1	Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.
---------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.2	Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.
---------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Fixed Income – other
- Private equity
- Property
- Infrastructure
- Hedge funds
- Cash
- None of the above

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 12.1	The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.
---------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.*

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

**RI implementation via external managers**

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Private Equity

**Closing module**

- Closing module

# Catholic Superannuation Fund

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

**OA 02.2** Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.csf.com.au/documents/Responsible%20Investment%20Policy%20October%202014.pdf>

- No

**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes  
 Yes, all  
 Yes, some

**OA 02.4** List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
Voting Policy	<a href="http://www.csf.com.au/documents/CSF%20Voting%20Policy.pdf">http://www.csf.com.au/documents/CSF%20Voting%20Policy.pdf</a>

- No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 04.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

**OA 04.2** Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

We do not have a document titled as "conflict of interest policy on investments" but we do have a document called "Trustee's Conflicts Management Policy", and conflicts management policy is part of our consideration on the due diligence and ESG evaluation of external investment managers.

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 05.1** Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

**OA 05.2**

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

**OA 05.3**

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
  - Biannually
  - Annually
  - Every two years or less
  - It is not reviewed
- No

**OA 05.4**

Additional information. [Optional]

Our objectives are generic in nature (as follows), and the annual review is not a 'tick the box' process.

Wherever practicable, we will seek to incorporate ESG issues into investment analysis and decision-making processes.

We will be active owners and incorporate ESG issues into our ownership policies and practices.

We will seek appropriate disclosure on ESG issues by the entities in which we invest.

We will promote acceptance and implementation of ESG issues within the investment We will work with other investors to enhance our effectiveness in implementing ESG issues within the general investment framework.

We will work to develop a framework to enable us to report on our activities and progress towards incorporating ESG issues in our investment and business processes.

## Governance and human resources

**OA 08**

**Mandatory**

**Gateway/Core Assessed**

**General**

**OA 08.1**

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

**Roles present in your organisation**

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

**OA 08.2** Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

1

**Promoting responsible investment**

**OA 10** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 4,5**

**OA 10.1** Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**

- Principles for Responsible Investment



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 11.1** Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

**OA 11.2** Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
  - Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
  - Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
  - Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
  - Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
  - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
  - Other, specify
- No

OA 12	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6
-------	-----------	---------------------	-----------

<b>OA 12.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or standard-setters in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.
----------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- Yes
  - Yes, individually
  - Yes, in collaboration with others

<b>OA 12.2</b>	Select the methods you have used.
----------------	-----------------------------------

- Endorsed written submissions to governments, regulators or standard-setters developed by others
- Drafted your own written submissions to governments, regulators or standard-setters
- Participated in face-to-face meetings with government members or officials to discuss policy
- Other, specify

We are supporting the workplan of many groups (ACSI, Regnan, F& C Management etc.) to develop and implement responsible investment policies.

<b>OA 12.3</b>	Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.
----------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- Yes, publicly available
- No
- No

## Innovation

<b>OA 18</b>	Voluntary	Descriptive	General
--------------	-----------	-------------	---------

<b>OA 18.1</b>	Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.
----------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- Yes
- No

# Catholic Superannuation Fund

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

SAM 02

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SAM 02.1

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

SAM 02.2

Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class

Listed Equity (LE)

Private equity (PE)

We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

Asset class

Listed Equity (LE)

Private equity (PE)

We use fiduciary managers

SAM 02.3

Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants

Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them

Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring

We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

No

SAM 03

Mandatory

Gateway

General

SAM 03.1

Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Private equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**SAM 03.2** Additional information. [Optional]

Our approach is to engage or have constructive dialogue with managers in adopting and implementing responsible investment principles. Where our portfolios are managed under individual mandates (mostly in Australian equities), we will include Responsible Investments in the investment management agreement.

**Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)**

**Overview**

<b>SAM 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>PRI 1,2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

**SAM 05.1** Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	LE		
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 05.2** Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**SAM 05.3** Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

**SAM 05.4** Additional information. [Optional]

Our main strategy is through engagement process - we do not put much emphasis on screening, thematic or integration in particular (although we also make investment through ESG thematic investments; i.e we invest in investment vehicle that have use thematic approach to ESG, but we do not actively encourage our other managers to thematically invest based on ESG factors). We support a number of service providers who directly engage with investee in collaboration with other investors.

**Selection**

<b>SAM 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**SAM 06.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

<b>General</b>
----------------

	LE		
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ESG incorporation



	LE		
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

### Engagements

	LE		
Discuss the managers' engagement processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in influencing companies' ESG practices and performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss how information gained through engagement is incorporated into investment decision-making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other engagement issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

### (Proxy) voting

	<b>LE</b>
Discuss the managers' voting processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through research for (proxy) voting is used in investment-decision making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (proxy) voting issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Monitoring

<b>SAM 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>SAM 08.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
-----------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	General
--	---------

	LE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted risk or volatility	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## ESG incorporation

	LE		
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## Engagements

	LE	
Review the ESG information relevant to the engagements	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the number of engagements and their comprehensiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the type of role played (i.e. leading or supporting)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review the progress of ongoing engagements and/or outcomes of completed engagements	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other ways you monitor engagement activities, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Review the number or percentage of votes cast	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request an explanation of reasons for votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss whether companies were informed of the reasons for votes against management recommendations or abstentions/withheld votes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of resolutions on ESG issues filed or co-filed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the changes in company practice (outcomes) that have been achieved from voting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor (proxy) voting activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

We ask managers to report on their ESG-related activities and review the reports.

SAM 09	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

<b>SAM 09.1</b>	For the listed equities where you have given your external managers a (proxy) voting mandate, indicate the approximate percentage (+/- 5%) of votes that were cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- We track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

<b>SAM 09.2</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

While we collect and review managers' Responsible Investment reports, we do not focus on tallying the number of votes cast - we are more interested in issues that our managers have identified.

**Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets**

**Selection**

<b>SAM 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>SAM 10.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
-----------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	General
--	---------

	PE		
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies'/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 10.2** Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's selection approach for non-listed assets. [Optional]

Our approach on this sector can be summarised in the two points below:

1. These sectors are the natural extension of the approach we currently have on our listed equities investments where we conduct systematic evaluation from manager selection to monitoring. Currently, this approach may apply to these sectors only in fragmented way.
2. These sectors however provide us with the opportunity to implement our thematic approach to ESG such as investing in solar-focussed and specific renewable energy fund private equity or infrastructure or environmentally friendly property investments.

## Monitoring

<b>SAM 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**SAM 12.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:

General

	PE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

#### Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding



	PE		
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

We do not generally evaluate and monitor the underlying holdings of our fund of fund managers, however where we have the opportunity to discuss such holdings, we will address ESG issues where appropriate.

## Outputs and outcomes

<b>SAM 14</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	--------------------------------------------------	--------------------	----------------

**SAM 14.1** Indicate approximately what percentage (+/- 10%) of your externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories.

%

60

## Communication

<b>SAM 16</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

**SAM 16.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

Yes, we disclose information publicly

provide URL

<http://www.csf.com.au/responsible-investing-approach>

provide URL

<http://www.csf.com.au/documents/operations/Voting%20and%20Engagement%20Report.pdf>

**SAM 16.2**

Indicate if the level of information you disclose to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients and/or beneficiaries.

- Yes
- No

**SAM 16.3**

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

Information	Public	Clients/beneficiaries
How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other, specify below	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If you select 'Other', specify

RI related policies and approaches, including our proxy voting summary are published to the public. More information is provided to beneficiaries for queries.

- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Catholic Superannuation Fund

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify Internal staff engagement may occur in special circumstances in coordination with our managers and engagement service providers. <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

On a case by case basis, we may have some degree of engagement through internal staff and collaborative engagement.

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

<b>LEA 03.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
<b>LEA 03.3</b>	Additional information. [Optional]

We monitor ESG cases flagged by our service providers or brought to us by other means (media, regulator, etc) and the Internal Investment Team will discuss whether our engagement is required and if yes the way to proceed.

LEA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

<b>LEA 04.1</b>	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
<b>LEA 04.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
<b>LEA 04.4</b>	Additional information. [Optional]

Broadly as our engagement providers have more access to the investees, they will conduct monitoring on our behalf, and we may engage in further collaborative efforts following the outcomes of their review.

### Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

<b>LEA 05.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	

LEA 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 06.1** Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

- Yes
  - Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities
- No

**LEA 06.2** Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA 06.3** Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

Monitoring progress reports/ discussing with collaborative parties.

- No

**LEA 06.4** Additional information. [Optional]

As the engagements are through collaborative/ service providers, we monitor the plan and implementation of the service providers.

### Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

**LEA 07**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2,4**

**LEA 07.1** Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Yes

<b>LEA 07.2</b>	Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- Specify the issues for the engagement
- Specify the objectives for the engagement
- Select the companies to be engaged with
- Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
- Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
- Other, specify

We review and provide input and feedback to service providers' engagement plan as we see fit. No exact approach is pursued with each provider.

No

<b>LEA 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 08.1</b>	Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider
-----------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

<b>General processes for all three groups of engagers</b>			
-----------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

<b>LEA 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 10.1</b>	Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.
-----------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

## Communication

<b>LEA 15</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**LEA 15.1** Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

## (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

### Overview

<b>LEA 16</b>	<b>Voluntary</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

**LEA 16.1** Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to (proxy) voting (including the filing and/or co-filing of shareholder resolutions if applicable).

We voted on nearly all companies that we were entitled to vote on.

We annually review the voting policies and activities of our external managers that invest in listed equities through commingled funds.



## Process

LEA 17

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 17.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 17.2

Additional information.[Optional]

Basically our approach is a combination of:

- Advice from our service providers (mainly covering ASX300 stocks)
- External investment managers that bought/held the stocks
- Our internal research on certain matters (covering discussion by other agents related to the matter on hand).

LEA 21

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 21.1

Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
  - Sometimes, in the following cases:
    - votes in selected markets
    - votes on certain issues (all markets)
    - votes for significant shareholdings (all markets)
    - other, explain
- If the companies ask, or query how we would vote
- No
  - Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

## Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1

For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 22.3

Additional information. [Optional]

We do not track this for the sake of knowing the number of votes cast to the nearest 1%. We however collect reports on investment managers' voting policies and voting activities - the purpose of which is to provide a broader evaluation of the ESG approach of the manager without delving into the specific numbers of votes cast (which in some cases is assigned the managers to a third party).

## Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 26.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

[http://www.csf.com.au/documents/Voting\\_register.pdf](http://www.csf.com.au/documents/Voting_register.pdf)

LEA 26.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEA 26.3

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 26.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries