



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason Gateway	Principle PRI 1,2,3
<p>Type of engagement Individual/internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify 		
<p>Type of engagement Collaborative engagements</p>			
<p>Type of engagement Service provider engagements</p>			

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

Deka Investment GmbH

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	✓	Private	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	-	n/a		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
FI 02	Breakdown of investments by credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 03	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
FI 04	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
FI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
FI 11	Review of ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
FI 13	Incorporation of ESG issues into analysis and decision making	✓	Public	✓						
FI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
FI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
FI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
FI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓
FI 19	Engagement with corporate issuers	✓	Private		✓					
FI 20	Engagement with government issuers	✓	Private		✓					

Deka Investment GmbH

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

With managed fund assets of more than € 170 billion, approximately four million customer deposits and a subsidiary in Luxembourg , Deka Group is one of the largest asset managers in Germany.

OO 02

Mandatory

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Germany

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

380

OO 02.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Deka Investment has a subsidiary in Luxembourg. There are 200 FTE employees in fund management.

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	--------------------	----------------

OO 03.1	Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.
----------------	---

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
--------------	------------------	------------------------	----------------

OO 04.1	Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.
----------------	---

31/12/2014

OO 04.2	Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.
----------------	---

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		172	000	566	789
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		225	577	225	547

OO 04.4	Indicate the total assets at the end of your reporting year subject to an execution and/or advisory approach.
----------------	---

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		8	408	960	877
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		11	028	277	986

OO 04.5	Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.
----------------	--

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 04.6

Additional information. [Optional]

AUM for Retail Clients: Euro 92.964 bn

AUM for Institutional Clients: Euro 79.1074 bn

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income – corporate	10-50%	0
Fixed income – government	10-50%	0
Fixed income – other	10-50%	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0

Other (2), specify	0	0
--------------------	---	---

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 10.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Sustainable development reduces risks for the environment and society. At the same time, it opens up opportunities for growth and added value - and thus provides a double benefit. In addition to products explicitly labelled as sustainable, our asset management team uses ethical, ecological and social standards as a key benchmark, alongside yield, risk and liquidity.

All of the fund products managed by Deka incorporate environmental, social and corporate governance criteria in their investment decisions. We see sustainable actions and development as safeguarding the future, and thus as features of an attractive investment. We firmly believe that share prices and bond yields are strongly influenced by environmental and social issues as they are by business aspects.

Timely identification and minimisation of risks is part of good corporate governance. Using an SRI screening procedure to select companies based on good ESG ratings is intended to reduce risk. By using the best-in-class method, we avoid companies with poor risk management. We also ensure a suitable level of diversification.

Deka excludes investments in companies that manufacture or sell anti-personnel mines or cluster munitions. In 2012 Deka made the decision not to manage any products that track the movement in the prices of food staples.

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.1

Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Fixed Income – other
- Cash
- None of the above

OO 11.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Within Multi Asset Class and Total Return Products we incorporate ESG issues with a focus on listed equity. In accordance with the conventional modern, international definition of sustainable investments, we generally consider these to include all investment strategies that integrate environmental, social and governance criteria into their investment decisions, in addition to risk and return considerations.

OO 12

Mandatory

Gateway

General

OO 12.1 The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities

Closing module

- Closing module

In 2008, Deka initiated a process to select an external partner that was a leader in terms of sustainability expertise. The relevance and scope of the criteria used were of key importance in this selection, as was coverage of a global universe of companies. The consulting company imug Beratungsgesellschaft für sozial-ökologische Innovationen mbH, located in Hanover, was chosen by this selection process. The sustainability criteria for the Deka sustainability product line were developed together with imug.

Deka Investment GmbH

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
- No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
- No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

The DekaBank Group's sustainable economic, environmental and social business orientation is anchored in its mission statement, which ensures that all areas of the business are aligned with its clients' interests.

DekaBank is a responsible and trusted partner for German savings banks, providing them with securities services focused on sustainable investments. We offer a growing range of fund products that meet particularly high standards based on ethical, ecological and social criteria.

Since February 2011, DekaBank has been a member of the United Nations Global Compact, the largest and most important corporate responsibility network in the world.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

https://www.deka.de/decontent/meta/ueberuns_5.jsp

- No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
 - Yes, all
 - Yes, some

OA 02.4 List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
Corporate Governance	https://www.deka.de/decontent/meta/ueberuns_4.jsp
DekaBank Policy	https://www.dekabank.de/db/en/company/sustainability/index.jsp
Eurosif Transparency Codex	https://www.deka.de/decontent/meta/ueberuns_5.jsp
Declaration of Conformity German sustainability	https://www.dekabank.de/db/en/company/sustainability/index.jsp
Code of Ethics	https://www.dekabank.de/db/en/company/sustainability/index.jsp

No

OA 02.5 Additional information. [Optional]

Some of the information is only available in German.

OA 03 **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 03.2

Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]

Funds managed by Deka will not invest in companies that manufacture or sell anti-personell mines or cluster munitions. We have also decided not to issue any products that track the prices of staple foods.

We do not just apply sustainability criteria when choosing fund assets: our position on ethical, social and ecological issues also influences the way we exercise our voting rights. Deka defines its policy in a set of principles, which state that it holds voting rights on trust and exercises them on behalf of fund investors either directly or through proxies.

OA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

General

OA 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

As an asset manager both we and our employees are subject to statutory obligations to conduct our securities business in an honest, transparent and professional manner in the interests of our clients and, as far as possible, to avoid conflicts of interest.

Integrity is part of our Code of Ethics:

Integrity is essential for our long-term success. We respect the interests of our shareholders, clients, employees, distribution partners, regulators and interested public.

Establishing and maintaining a reputation for integrity also means that we need to identify, clarify and avoid potential conflicts of interest. We introduced binding organizational measures to identify and manage potential conflicts of interest.

We pay particular attention to:

- holding a position outside of the Deka-Group
- participation in the business activities of other companies,
- business referrals that are based purely on personal relationships,
- the influencing of business relationships by accepting or granting any kind of gift
- compliance with our block leave policy
- compliance with approval procedures for gifts and entertainment as well as private real estate related transactions
- the use of sensitive, compliance-relevant information from the Deka-Group for employee transactions

We established internal guidelines for our employees in order to prevent conflicts of interest and reputational risks, financial risks, liability risks and other operational risks for the Deka-Group.

We evaluate and assess possible conflicts of interest in the sense of this Code to safeguard our integrity, and if such a matter arises we will immediately contact our line managers or the compliance department.

For more details please go to <https://www.dekabank.de/db/en/company/sustainability/index.jsp>

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

At least once per year

Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

Quarterly

Biannually

Annually

Every two years or less

It is not reviewed

No

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We attended the global and local signatory meeting.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlage, German SIF

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Deka Investment is playing a major role in developing a label for ESG Funds for German customers.

- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

CRIC, Verein zur Förderung von Ethik und Nachhaltigkeit bei der Geldanlage

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Deka Investment is working in an expert panel to develop rules for ESG-Criteria for different client groups

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

BVI Bundesverband Investment und Asset Management e.V

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Through our involvement in the expert working group within BVI, Deka is contributing to advancements in SRI investing in the German market.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

OA 11.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Deka Investment promotes responsible investment among the Savings Banks Sector in Germany- both for retail and institutional clients. Deka supports the savings banks in many different ways and in all phases of the investment process with product information, market knowledge and a range of training schemes for advisors.

We maintain ongoing dialogue with savings banks on sustainability and sustainable investments.

Deka Investment GmbH

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

SAM 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

SAM 02.1 Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

SAM 02.2 Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity (LE)
<input type="checkbox"/> We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers
<input type="checkbox"/> We use fiduciary managers

SAM 02.3 Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

- Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants
- Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them
- Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring
- We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

No

SAM 03	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------	-----------	---------	---------

SAM 03.1 Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

Overview

SAM 05

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2

SAM 05.1

Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	LE		
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

SAM 05.2

Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	LE	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 05.3

Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	LE	
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Selection

SAM 06

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1-6

SAM 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

General

	LE		
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ESG incorporation

	LE		
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Communication

SAM 16	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

SAM 16.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Deka Investment GmbH

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEI 02	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEI 02.1 Provide a brief overview of how you incorporate ESG issues into listed equity investments.

The investment universe is examined with respect to sustainability. The number of securities in the investable universe is reduced accordingly. First we exclude securities that violate specific criteria. We use exclusionary criteria to ensure that highly problematic sectors or areas are not available for consideration. We selected the consulting company imug Beratungsgesellschaft für sozial-ökologische Innovationen mbh and use the EIRIS Portfolio Manager database for information on social, environmental and ethical criteria. With company contacts to more than 1700 companies a year we can incorporate our knowledge into our investment process.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities


Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------


LEI 03.1 Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 3
--	---

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies
- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Percentage of active listed equity to which no incorporation strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 97
---	--

	Total actively managed listed equities
--	--

100%

LEI 03.2	Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.
-----------------	---

Deka's ESG incorporation strategy for equity has two tiers. First, we work with the external providers as described above. The combination of negative screening using the exclusionary process and positive screening using best-in-class-identification is our choice for an initial reduction of the investment universe that ensures sustainability. Deka's buy side research has long-term relationships with the companies we invest in. Our direct interaction with management provides an additional qualitative edge in ESG stock selection.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 06.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
-----------------	--

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

We exclude alle producers of anti-personnel mines and cluster munitions.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

We have minimum requirements that companies or countries have to fulfill.

Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description

In some products we exclude companies or countries violating those norms.

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------	---

The criteria are reviewed on an annually basis. We would inform clients with annual or semi-annual reports of our products if changes are made.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
----------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Communication			
---------------	--	--	--

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

https://www.deka.de/decontent/meta/ueberuns_5.jsp

LEI 18.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEI 18.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
 - We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Deka Investment GmbH

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Intensive discussions between our analysts, fund managers and company top management during one-on-one meetings represent an important basic source of information. Approximately 1,700 company contacts take place in this manner each year. Analyst team output is communicated in daily morning meetings and weekly research meetings. The type of engagement depends on the individual company and its sector.

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
- No

LEA 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We use information given by our external research partner and our internal researchers to identify areas and companies with in which we want to engage.

LEA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 04.1 Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- No

LEA 04.2 Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 04.3 Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

If we are especially critical of company's social and environmental responsibility, we will use our votes and our right to speak in annual general meetings to express ourselves and dispose of investments in such companies. Last year our focus with engagements has been on good corporate governance. We look closely on actions companies are taking, knowing that we might be only part of the reason a company is reacting the way we like them to move.

- No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 15.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

LEA 15.8 Additional information. [Optional]

We intend to disclose such information on our website.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 17.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1 Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2 Additional information. [Optional]

With most of the companies we have direct contacts on a regular basis and inform those companies about our votes

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

60

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 22.2	If there are specific reasons why you did not vote certain holdings, explain these, and if possible, indicate the percentage of holdings affected by these factors. [Optional]
-----------------	--

We vote holdings with an minimum percentage of ownership to have an influence with our vote. At the moment we concentrate on German and European Companies.

We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 26.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.
-----------------	--

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

LEA 26.8	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We intend to disclose examples of our voting activities in future. We disclose samples of speeches held on Annual General Meetings on our website (only available in German).
https://www.deka.de/decontent/meta/ueberuns_4.jsp

Deka Investment GmbH

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Fixed Income - Corporate

Implementation processes


FI 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

FI 04.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed corporate fixed income investments; and, (2) the breakdown of your actively managed corporate fixed income investments by incorporation strategy or combination of strategies.


ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active corporate fixed income to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	 2
---	--

Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active corporate fixed income to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	 98
---	--

Screening + Integration strategies

Thematic + integration strategies

Screening + thematic strategies

All three strategies combined

No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed fixed income - corporate

100%

FI 04.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular incorporation strategy.

Countries respecting human rights and international conventions, having low corruption will be more successful than others.

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 1

FI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active corporate fixed income investments.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
 Activity
 Sector
 Country/geographic region
 Environmental and social practices and performance
 Corporate governance

Description

We exclude securities when companies violate specific criteria.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
 Activity
 Sector
 Country/geographic region
 Environmental and social practices and performance
 Corporate governance

Description

We try to reward companies with positive scores in the areas of environmental, social responsibility and corporate governance

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description

EIRIS developed the "EIRIS Portfolio Manager" (EPM) database, which contains data on 2,800 international stock corporations that have been examined using 250 social, environmental and ethical criteria - complying to norms being very important.

FI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
---------	---

EIRIS developed the "EIRIS Portfolio Manager" (EPM) database, which contains data on 2,800 international stock corporations that have been examined using 250 social, environmental and ethical criteria. Deka has direct access to the EPM database. We receive information on changes on a weekly basis, we review the results for our funds quarterly.

FI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

FI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening in corporate fixed income is based on robust analysis.
---------	---

- Comprehensive research is undertaken or sourced to determine issuers' ESG performance
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify issuers to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Information on ESG issues and/or ratings is updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct ESG research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 07.2	Additional information. [Optional]
---------	------------------------------------

As our service provider imug is Arista (Responsible investment research standard) certified, we do not review their results on a regular basis.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors
--

FI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

FI 11.1 Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

Fixed income - Government

FI 13	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

FI 13.1 Indicate if you incorporate ESG issues in investment analysis and decision making for your government fixed income investments.

Yes

FI 13.2 Indicate for what percentage of your government fixed income investments you incorporate ESG issues in investment analysis and decision making.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

FI 13.3 Indicate if in active strategies, E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching government issuers.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

No

Communication

FI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

FI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in all fixed income investments.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries