



LEA 02	Disclosures Materiality	Reason Gateway	Principle PRI 1,2,3
<p>By identifying elements that could affect the performance of investments, the investor can better understand the risks and opportunities associated with the investment and make more informed decisions. This includes identifying the risks and opportunities associated with the investment and making more informed decisions. This includes identifying the risks and opportunities associated with the investment and making more informed decisions.</p>	<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Individual/internal staff engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</li> </ul>	
	<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> </ul>	
	<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements</li> </ul>	

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2014/15

Double Dividend Management B.V.

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	-	n/a		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public						✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Indirect - Inclusive Finance				Principle							General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
IFI 01	Percentage of externally managed assets in inclusive finance managed by PIIF signatories	✓	Private								✓
IFI 02	Due diligence policies and/or procedures for the selection of external investment managers	✓	Public								✓
IFI 03	Including issues referred to in the PIIF	✓	Public								✓
IFI 04	Monitoring managers' implementation of the PIIF	✓	Public								✓
IFI 05	Managers provide information in line with established industry standards	✓	Private								✓
IFI 06	Disclosure of approach to RI in inclusive finance	✓	Public								✓
IFI 07	Contributing to promotion of RI in inclusive finance	✓	Private								✓



# Double Dividend Management B.V.

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Netherlands

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

6

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			70	000	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD			91	804	382

OO 04.5

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	65	16
Fixed income – corporate	0	5
Fixed income – government	0	5
Fixed income – other	0	5
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0

Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	3
Cash	0	1
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1	Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.
---------	--

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 11.2**

Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Fixed Income – other
- Inclusive finance
- Cash
- None of the above

**OO 12****Mandatory****Gateway****General****OO 12.1**

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

*This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.*

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

## Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

## Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

**RI implementation via external managers**

## Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government
- Fixed Income - Other
- Inclusive Finance

**Closing module**

Closing module

# Double Dividend Management B.V.

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.3** Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

DoubleDividend is an engaged investor. In our investment policy, we aim to keep the balance between financial and social returns. We believe that a comprehensive analysis of financial, and ESG characteristics of a company provides a positive contribution to the risk/return profile of an investment portfolio.

DoubleDividend invests in companies that make a substantial positive contribution in order to make our society more sustainable. The impact of a business may be the result of the scope of the business, the product, or the services provided by the company.

We assess companies in accordance with our own ESG model and we expect such companies to offer solutions for the most important sustainability issues: climate change, eco system protection, access to health care and poverty reduction. We select companies on good corporate governance which is evident from the composition of the Board, the shareholders' rights, and the remuneration policy. We will only consider and include those companies in our portfolio that show positive developments on the basis of our ESG model.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

**OA 02.2** Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.doubledividend.nl/betrokken-aandeelhouderschap/>

- No



**OA 02.3**

Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
  - Yes, all
  - Yes, some

**OA 02.4**

List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
DD Voting policy	<a href="http://www.doubledividend.nl/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Stembeleid_ okt2014.pdf">http://www.doubledividend.nl/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Stembeleid_ okt2014.pdf</a>
DD Exclusions policy	<a href="http://www.doubledividend.nl/uitsluitingsbeleid/">http://www.doubledividend.nl/uitsluitingsbeleid/</a>
DD List of excluded companies	<a href="http://www.doubledividend.nl/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Uitsluitingsbeleid_ okt20141.pdf">http://www.doubledividend.nl/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Uitsluitingsbeleid_ okt20141.pdf</a>

No

**OA 03**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 1,2**

**OA 03.1**

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

**OA 04**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**General**

**OA 04.1**

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- Yes
- No

## Objectives and strategies

**OA 05**

**Mandatory**

**Gateway/Core Assessed**

**General**

**OA 05.1**

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Yes

**OA 05.2**

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

**OA 05.3**

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
  - Biannually
  - Annually
  - Every two years or less
  - It is not reviewed
- No

## Governance and human resources

**OA 08**

**Mandatory**

**Gateway/Core Assessed**

**General**

**OA 08.1**

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

## Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
  - Advisory Board**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Other role, specify

**OA 08.2**

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

5

## Promoting responsible investment

**OA 10**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 4,5**

**OA 10.1**

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Involvement in several engagement initiatives in the PRI Clearinghouse, on Palmoil (supporting role) and Water risks in the agricultural supply chain (co-lead)

- Asian Corporate Governance Association  
 Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia  
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors  
 CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced  
 CDP Forests  
 CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced  
 CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity  
 Council of Institutional Investors (CII)  
 Eumedion

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
  - Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
  - Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
  - Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
  - Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
  - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
  - Other, specify
- No

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

OA 16	Voluntary	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OA 16.1	Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.
---------	---

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - corporate	Responsible Investment policy, implementation and reporting are part of selection process (15 out of 100 points). Individual holdings are being reviewed on controversial activities.
Fixed income - government	Responsible Investment policy, implementation and reporting are part of selection process (15 out of 100 points)
Fixed income - other	Responsible Investment policy, implementation and reporting are part of selection process (15 out of 100 points). Individual holdings are being reviewed on controversial activities.

## Innovation

OA 18	Voluntary	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OA 18.1	Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.
---------	--

Yes

OA 18.2	Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.
---------	---

We are convinced that a sustainable investment portfolio has a better risk and return profile than a 'normal' investment portfolio. In other words we believe that selecting on sustainability reduces risk and/or improves returns in the long run. We select on **quality**; we select companies that have a strong and sustainable business model, a track record of profit growth as well as a solid balance sheet.

- Our focus is long-term and we value to a solid track record more than uncertain forecasts.
- We do not strive for the highest return but superior risk adjusted returns.
- We invest in our own funds.

No

# Double Dividend Management B.V.

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

<b>SAM 01</b>	<b>Voluntary</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	----------------

**SAM 01.1** Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Responsible Investment policy, integration in the investment process and reporting are part of the selection process, with Responsible Investment being 15 out of 100 points. The individual holdings of selected are being screened on controversial activities a semi-annual basis.

<b>SAM 02</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 4</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

**SAM 02.1** Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

No

<b>SAM 03</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

**SAM 03.1** Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

### Overview

<b>SAM 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>PRI 1,2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

**SAM 05.1** Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies



<b>Active investment strategies</b>	<b>LE</b>		
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 05.2** Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**SAM 05.3** Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Selection**

**SAM 06** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1-6**

**SAM 06.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

**General**

	LE		
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ESG incorporation

	LE		
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

### Engagements

	LE		
Discuss the managers' engagement processes	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in influencing companies' ESG practices and performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss how information gained through engagement is incorporated into investment decision-making	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other engagement issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

### (Proxy) voting

	<b>LE</b>
Discuss the managers' voting processes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through research for (proxy) voting is used in investment-decision making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (proxy) voting issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Monitoring

<b>SAM 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>SAM 08.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
-----------------	---

	General
--	---------

	LE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted risk or volatility	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## ESG incorporation

	LE		
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

## Engagements

	LE	
Review the ESG information relevant to the engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the number of engagements and their comprehensiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the type of role played (i.e. leading or supporting)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review the progress of ongoing engagements and/or outcomes of completed engagements	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other ways you monitor engagement activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Review the number or percentage of votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Request an explanation of reasons for votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss whether companies were informed of the reasons for votes against management recommendations or abstentions/withheld votes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of resolutions on ESG issues filed or co-filed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the changes in company practice (outcomes) that have been achieved from voting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor (proxy) voting activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Outputs and outcomes

SAM 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Descriptive	PRI 1-6
<b>SAM 14.1</b>	Indicate approximately what percentage (+/- 10%) of your externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories.		



100

**Communication**

<b>SAM 16</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

**SAM 16.1** | Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only

**SAM 16.3** | Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

- How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes
- Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
- E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership
- Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Double Dividend Management B.V.

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Overview

LEI 02	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

**LEI 02.1** Provide a brief overview of how you incorporate ESG issues into listed equity investments.

Short description of our Investment process: 1. identification of sustainability leaders by management approach and/or product, 2. review financial quality, 3. indepth financial and ESG analysis, 4. portfolio management (including weighting based on ESG score) and 5. reporting (financial and non-financial performance).

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

### Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

**LEI 03.1** Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	
	100

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

It is our conviction that incorporation of ESG factors leads to high quality investments, a strong performance and lower risk.

LEI 03.3

Where assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

Screening: In step 1 of our investment process, certain controversial sectors (e.g. cluster munition, tobacco) are screened out and in step 3 companies are screened on controversial activities (e.g. Global Compact principles).

Integration: In step 1 of our investment process, sustainability leaders are identified based on their management approach and/or product, in step 3 each company is reviewed on Environmental (Climate change, ecosystems), Social (health, poverty) and Governance (board composition, shareholder rights, remuneration) issues. Companies are expected to deliver solutions on relevant environmental and social trends.

### (A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

#### Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

#### Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

#### Description

Exclusionary screening by product: Companies are excluded from investment if they are directly involved in the production or distribution of:

- clustermunitions
- anti-personnel mines
- biological and chemical weapons
- nuclear Weapons
- fur (> 5% of sales)
- gambling (> 5% of sales)
- pornography (> 5% of sales)
- tobacco (> 5% of sales)

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

**Screened by**

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description
-------------

UN Global Compact Principles: companies are excluded from investment if they are *directly and consistently over a longer period (3 years)* involved in gross breaching one or more principles.

<b>LEI 06.2</b>	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
-----------------	---

Screening criteria are described in a formal policy document that is approved by management, the criteria are reviewed annually. Clients and beneficiaries can review the changes in the public documentation 'Uitsluitingenbeleid' which is published on our website.

<b>LEI 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEI 07.1</b>	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

**(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues**

<b>LEI 11</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 11.1

Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

Communication

LEI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

[http://www.doubledividend.nl/?lang=en\\_us](http://www.doubledividend.nl/?lang=en_us)

LEI 18.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEI 18.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

**LEI 18.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Double Dividend Management B.V.

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

<b>LEA 03.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
-----------------	---

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 03.3</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Engagement activities are derived from the investment process: once a material issue is identified within a sector or a company, we decide to take action individually or together with other investors and organisations such as Eumedion and UN PRI.

<b>LEA 04</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 04.1</b>	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 04.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 04.4</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Company profiles are updated based on the information acquired during the engagement process but not monitored separately.

### Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

<b>LEA 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 05.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------



**LEA 06.1** Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

- Yes
  - Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities
- No

**LEA 06.2** Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
- No

**LEA 06.4** Additional information. [Optional]

Company profiles are updated based on the information acquired during the engagement process but not monitored separately.

### Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

**LEA 07**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2,4**

**LEA 07.1** Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Yes
- No

**LEA 08**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2,6**

**LEA 08.1** Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

## General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

**LEA 10.1** Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

## Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEA 15.1** Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.doubledividend.nl/stembeleid/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Stemgedrag-DDEF-2014.pdf>

**LEA 15.2** Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

<b>LEA 15.3</b>	Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.
-----------------	--

Engagement information disclosed
----------------------------------

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

Summary of engagement project and objectives

<b>LEA 15.4</b>	Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.
-----------------	---

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

<b>(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions</b>
---

<b>Process</b>
----------------

<b>LEA 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 17.1</b>	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
-----------------	---

Approach
----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based primarily on

- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 21.1** Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

**LEA 21.2** Additional information. [Optional]

We adapted our voting policy in 2015, and among other issues, decided to inform and contact each company before voting against management recommendations. During the reporting period we voted a number of times against management recommendations without informing the company.

**Outputs and outcomes**

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 22.1** For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

**Communication**

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEA 26.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.doubledividend.nl/stembeleid-2/>

**LEA 26.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

**LEA 26.3**

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 26.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
  - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
  - Quarterly or more frequently
  - Between quarterly and annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
  - We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

# Double Dividend Management B.V.

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Indirect - Inclusive Finance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Selection

IFI 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**IFI 02.1** Indicate if you have due diligence policies and/or procedures in place for the selection of external investment managers in inclusive finance that take their approach to the following responsible investment issues (PIIF Principles) into account:

Actively supporting retail providers to innovate and expand the range of financial services available to low income people (Principle 1)

- Yes  
 No

Integration of client protection in investment policies and practices. (Principle 2)

- Yes  
 No

Treating investees fairly with appropriate financing that meets demand, clear and balanced contracts, and fair processes for resolving disputes. (Principle 3)

- Yes  
 No

The inclusion of environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) issues in investment policies and reporting. (Principle 4)

- Yes  
 No

Active promotion of transparency in all aspects. (Principle 5)

- Yes  
 No

Striving for a balanced long-term social and financial risk-adjusted return that recognises the interests of clients, retail providers and investors. (Principle 6)

- Yes  
 No

Collaborating to set harmonised investor standards that support the further development of inclusive finance. (Principle 7)

- Yes  
 No

## Contractual agreements and mandate design

IFI 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**IFI 03.1** When agreeing contracts and designing mandates with investment managers, in the process, indicate if you consider including the following issues referred to in the PIIF:

Actively supporting retail providers to innovate and expand the range of financial services to low-income people (Principle 1)

- Yes  
 No

Integration of client protection in investment policies and practices (Principle 2)

- Yes  
 No

Treating investees fairly with appropriate financing needs that meets demand, clear and balanced contracts and fair processes for resolving disputes (Principle 3)

- Yes  
 No

The inclusion of ESG issues in investment policies and reporting (Principle 4)

- Yes  
 No

Active promotion of transparency in all aspects (Principle 5)

- Yes  
 No

Striving for a balanced long-term social and financial risk-adjusted return that recognises the interests of clients, retail providers and investors (Principle 6)

- Yes  
 No

Collaborating to set harmonised investor standards that support the further development of inclusive finance (Principle 7)

- Yes  
 No

## Investment manager monitoring and reporting

IFI 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------



**IFI 04.1**

Indicate if you monitor your current investment managers' implementation of the PIIF principles.

- Yes
- No

## Disclosure

**IFI 06**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**General**

**IFI 06.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about your approach to responsible investment in inclusive finance.

- Yes, we disclose publicly
- Yes, we disclose to clients/beneficiaries only
- No