



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p>	
Collaborative engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p>		
Service provider engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

Eurazeo

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	-	n/a							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	-	n/a							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	-	n/a	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	-	n/a	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	-	n/a							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	-	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	

Direct – Private Equity				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	✓	Private							✓
PE 02	Typical level of ownership	✓	Private							✓
PE 03	Description of approach to RI	-	n/a	✓						✓
PE 04	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓					
PE 05	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓		✓	
PE 06	Formal commitments to RI	-	n/a				✓			
PE 07	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PE 08	ESG advice and research when selecting investments	-	n/a	✓						
PE 09	ESG issues in investment selection process	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PE 10	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	-	n/a	✓		✓				
PE 11	Encouraging improvements in investees	-	n/a	✓	✓					
PE 12	ESG issues impact in selection process	-	n/a	✓						
PE 13	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	✓	Public		✓					
PE 14	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
PE 15	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	-	n/a		✓					
PE 16	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	-	n/a		✓	✓				
PE 17	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	-	n/a		✓					
PE 18	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	-	n/a	✓	✓					
PE 19	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	-	n/a	✓		✓				
PE 20	Disclosure of ESG information to public and clients/beneficiaries	✓	Public							✓
PE 21	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	-	n/a							✓

Eurazeo

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

- Fund management
- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products
- Other, specify

Investment company

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

France

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

59

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Eurazeo is composed of one main site in Paris, with 62 employees, one micro-site in Luxembourg with three employees, and one micro-unit in China with one employee.

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

OO 03.2

List your subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories and indicate if you would like to report their RI activities in your organisation's consolidated report.

Name of PRI signatory subsidiary (Up to six subsidiaries may be reported)	RI implementation reported here on a consolidated basis
Eurazeo PME	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

No

OO 04

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		4	401	100	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		5	772	003	813

OO 04.3

Indicate the total AUM at the end of your reporting year for subsidiaries you have excluded from your report

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			350	100	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD			459	153	061

OO 04.5 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	10-50%	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0

Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

'Other (1)' specified

Real estate

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Private equity
- Cash
- Other (1)
- None of the above

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]

Real estate

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 12.1 The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may

report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Private Equity

Closing module

- Closing module

Eurazeo

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

Eurazeo has put into place a new CSR strategy, to be published in May 2015 in the Registration Document and Annual Report.

This strategy includes quantitative goals for the year 2020, including the following:

100% of due diligence procedures in the advanced phase include a CSR section

100% of portfolio companies complete CSR reporting

100% of divestments include CSR information

Other documents:

ESG due diligence guidelines (In 2014, 67% of phase 2 (advanced) due diligence analyses included ESG due diligence, and 100% of acquisitions)

Deontology code

Ethics code

Anti fraud and anti corruption good practice guide

CSR annual reporting, covering 10 companies in 2014 and audited by an independent third party, PwC (the assurance report is included in the Registration Document)

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.eurazeo.com/en/responsibility/experts-corner/documents-and-publications/>

No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

Yes
 No

OA 02.5 Additional information. [Optional]

The new CSR strategy (integrated into the Registration Document and the Annual Report) will be disclosed in French and English by May 2015.

OA 03 **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04 **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **General**

OA 04.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

Eurazeo has a Deontology Code and a Code of Ethics as well as an anti-fraud and anti-corruption best practices guide

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

At least once per year

Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

Quarterly

Biannually

Annually

Every two years or less

It is not reviewed

No

OA 05.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Eurazeo has put into place a new CSR strategy, to be published in May 2015 in the Registration Document and Annual Report.

This strategy includes quantitative goals for the year 2020, including the following:

100% of due diligence procedures in the advanced phase include a CSR section

100% of fully consolidated companies and equity accounted associates complete CSR reporting

100% of divestments include CSR information

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Secretary of Executive Board, CSR Director
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
 Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
 CDP Climate Change
 CDP Forests
 CDP Water
 CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
 Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
 Eumedion
 Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
 Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
 Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
 Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
 Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
 International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
 Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
 Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
 Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
 Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
 Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
 United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
 United Nations Global Compact

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
 AFIC (Association Française des Investisseurs pour la Croissance / French Association of Investors for Growth), specifically its ESG Commission

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Olivier Millet, Chairman of the Executive Board of Eurazeo PME, presides over the AFIC ESG Commission.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

- No

Eurazeo

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 100
--	--

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

Eurazeo integrates CSR into all steps of the investment cycle (see CSR strategy to be published with the 2014 reporting exercise).

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

The screening process is internal and not disclosed. Screening is based on Eurazeo's ESG due diligence guidelines and sector-specific issues are also examined. Materiality analysis is performed to refine the list of prioritized criteria for a company and to identify the most material risks.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

The screening process is internal and not disclosed. Screening is based on Eurazeo's ESG due diligence guidelines and sector-specific issues are also examined. Materiality analysis is performed to refine the list of prioritized criteria for a company and to identify the most material risks.

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description

Eurazeo takes into account norms during the screening process, in particular through ESG due diligence.

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
-----------------	---

The screening process is internal and not disclosed. More emphasis may be placed on some criteria rather than others depending on a company's activity and sector.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

Communication

LEI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

<http://www.eurazeo.com/en/responsibility/experts-corner/documents-and-publications/>

LEI 18.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEI 18.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
 Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Eurazeo

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify To protect businesses from long-term risks related to ESG issues, and to create value from ESG-related opportunities <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

Prioritization of topics to address is based on, among other criteria, analysis of ESG reporting results for the portfolio companies as well as CSR strategy and 2020 objectives achievement. Regular meetings are held with each portfolio company to exchange on CSR issues and define plans of action.

No

LEA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

Yes

- Yes, for all engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of engagement activities

No

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

Yes

- Yes, in all cases
- Yes, in the majority of cases
- Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 04.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

Eurazeo has put into place a new CSR strategy, which will be detailed in the 2014 reporting exercise (Annual Report and Registration Document to be published by May 2014). It includes quantified goals to be achieved by 2020.

Eurazeo completes annual CSR reporting. In 2014, reporting was conducted by a total of 14 companies.

Eurazeo also conducts regular meetings with portfolio companies (52 meetings held in 2014).

No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

 Yes**LEA 05.2**

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

As an investment company, Eurazeo works with the AFIC (Association Française des Investisseurs pour la Croissance, French Private Equity Association) and PRI on responsible investment topics, and is also a signatory of the UN Global Compact (first Communication on Progress submitted at Advanced Level, in 2014). Priorities are identified based on CSR analysis and trend forecast of other sectors, private equity sector and CSR regulations.

 No**LEA 06****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 06.1**

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

 Yes No**LEA 06.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

 Yes Yes, in all cases Yes, in the majority of cases Yes, in the minority of cases**LEA 06.3**

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

Eurazeo completes annual questionnaires for the PRI and for the AFIC (Association Française des Investisseurs pour la Croissance - French Association of Investors for Growth).

As a signatory of the Global Compact, Eurazeo also completes an annual Communication on Progress (COP), reaching the Advanced Level from its first year of membership (2014).

 No**Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers****LEA 07****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2,4****LEA 07.1**

Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

 Yes

LEA 07.2	Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	--

- Specify the issues for the engagement
 - Specify the objectives for the engagement
 - Select the companies to be engaged with
 - Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
 - Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
 - Other, specify
- No

LEA 08	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 08.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider
-----------------	--

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

General processes for all three groups of engagers			
---	--	--	--

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

LEA 10.1	Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 15.1	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.
----------	--

- We disclose it publicly

	provide URL
--	-------------

<http://www.eurazeo.com/en/responsibility/experts-corner/documents-and-publications/>

LEA 15.2	Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.
----------	---

- Yes

LEA 15.3	Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.
----------	--

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 15.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

Eurazeo

Reported Information

Public version

Direct – Private Equity

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

PE 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

PE 04.1 Indicate if your organisation's investment guidelines for private equity refer to responsible investment.

- Our investment guidelines do refer to responsible investment
- Our investment guidelines do not refer to responsible investment
- We do not have investment guidelines

PE 04.3 Additional information. [Optional]

CSR Charter and strategy

Fundraising of private equity funds

PE 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,4,6
-------	-----------	---------------	-----------

PE 05.1 Indicate if your fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPMs) or similar) refer to responsible investment aspects of your organisation.

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable as our organisation does not fundraise

PE 05.4 Describe why your organisation does not fundraise.

Eurazeo is a listed company and also has its own capital.

Pre-investment (selection)

PE 07	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------	-------

PE 07.1 Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting private equity investments.

- Yes
- No

PE 09	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

PE 09.1

Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the investment selection process and list up to three typical examples per issue.

ESG issues

Environmental

List up to three typical examples of environmental issues

Environmental governance
Energy efficiency
Pollution and carbon emissions

Social

List up to three typical examples of social issues

Responsible human resources practices
Equality and diversity
Seasonal and short-term worker conditions

Governance

List up to three typical examples of governance issues

Governance structure
Number of women in executive positions
Board Committees: number, functions, independence of members

Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

PE 13

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

PE 13.1

Indicate whether your organisation incorporates ESG issues in investment monitoring of portfolio companies.

Yes

PE 13.2

Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 13.3

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues

Environmental

List up to three example targets of environmental issues

Complete a Greenhouse Gas (GHG) footprint or environmental footprint at least once every 3 years

Social

List up to three example targets of social issues

Conduct a social barometer at least once every three years

Governance

List up to three example targets of governance issues

At least 40% women on the Board

At least 30% independent Directors on the Board

Put into place a Board-level Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee

We do not set and/or monitor against targets

No

PE 13.4

Additional information. [Optional]

These targets are not yet published - they will be detailed in the 2014 Annual Report and the 2014 Registration Document, by May 2015.

PE 14**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****PE 14.1**

Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).

Yes

PE 14.2

Indicate what percentage of your portfolio companies has an ESG/sustainability policy (or similar guidelines).

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies
- 0% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

No

Communication

PE 20

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 6

PE 20.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses ESG information on your private equity investments.

Disclose publicly

provide URL

<http://www.eurazeo.com/en/responsibility/experts-corner/documents-and-publications/>

PE 20.2

Indicate whether the type of ESG information you proactively provide to the public is the same as that you provide to your clients (LPs)/beneficiaries.

Yes

No

PE 20.3

Indicate the type of ESG information that your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

- ESG information in relation to our pre-investment activities
- ESG information in relation to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities
- Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance
- Other, specify

PE 20.4

Indicate your organisation's typical frequency of disclosing ESG information to the public.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Semi annually
- Annually
- Every two years or less frequently
- Ad-hoc, specify

PE 20.5

Indicate the type of ESG information that your organisation proactively discloses to your clients (LPs)/beneficiaries.

- ESG information in relation to our pre-investment activities
- ESG information in relation to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities
- Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance
- Other, specify

PE 20.6

Indicate your organisation's typical frequency of disclosing ESG information to your clients (LPs)/beneficiaries.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Semi annually
 - Annually
 - Every two years or less frequently
 - Ad-hoc, specify
- Disclose to investor clients (LPs)/beneficiaries only
 - No proactive disclosure to the public or to clients (LPs)/beneficiaries