



LEA 02	Disclosures	Monetary	Principles
<p>By providing investors the most up-to-date and comprehensive information for use in their investment decisions, investors can better understand the risks and opportunities associated with the companies in which they invest. This information can be used to make more informed investment decisions and to engage with companies on their ESG performance.</p> <p>Investors should consider the following factors when making investment decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company's ESG performance The company's ESG risks The company's ESG opportunities The company's ESG impact 	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Monetary</p>	<p>Principles</p> <p>PRI 1, 2, 3</p>
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</p> <p>To engage investor/ ESG advisors</p> <p>To engage investor/ ESG advisors</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in internal staff</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</p> <p>To engage investor/ ESG advisors</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</p> <p>To engage investor/ ESG advisors</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in service provider engagements</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</p> <p>To engage investor/ ESG advisors</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in service provider engagements</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

GO Investment Partners

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	-	n/a							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Public							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

GO Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

21

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			865	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			865	000	000

OO 04.5 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	100	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges
- Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

OO 08	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Peering	General
-------	---	---------	---------

OO 08.1	Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.
---------	--

Market breakdown	% of AUM
Developed Markets	<input type="radio"/> 0%
	<input type="radio"/> <10%
	<input type="radio"/> 10-50%
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 %
Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
	<input type="radio"/> <10%
	<input type="radio"/> 10-50%
	<input type="radio"/> >50 %

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1	Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.
---------	--

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Closing module

- Closing module

GO Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

GO Investment Partners (GO) is a constructive activist investment manager that seeks to add significant value for clients by acting as a catalyst for corporate change in quoted small- and mid-cap equities. It has been doing this in Europe since 2005 and Japan since 2012.

GO has an investment policy governing the way all assets are managed. The respective Investment Committee (Investment Council in Japan), which report to the Board, implement, monitor and update the investment policy.

Our clients are institutional asset owners that believe in our constructive activist approach and that have typically developed their own responsible investment policy, which we bear in mind when investing in and engaging with companies.

Having a highly concentrated portfolio and a small investment team with a unique mix of investment management, advisory and corporate skills, we are able to identify companies which we see as undervalued by the market and where we believe we can act as a catalyst for corporate change.

The topics upon which we engage are material to shareholder value and can be broadly characterised as strategy, financial structure and ESG (environmental, social and corporate governance factors). When considering the latter, we are cognisant of our clients' responsible investment policies. In our discussions with companies we seek to base our recommendations on thorough analysis and a pragmatic understanding of corporate issues.

There is a close alignment of interests between our clients and our investment team: GO partners invest alongside clients in our funds.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://goinvestmentpartners.com/responsible-investment/>

No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

Yes

Yes, all

Yes, some

OA 02.4 List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
Voting Policy	http://goinvestmentpartners.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/GOEFF-Voting-Policy-March-2015.pdf
UK Stewardship Policy	http://goinvestmentpartners.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/GO-Stewardship-Policy-March-2015.pdf
JP Stewardship Policy	http://goinvestmentpartners.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/Final_Stewardship_responsibilities_for_GOJ_English-version-2015.pdf
Statement of Responsible Investment	http://goinvestmentpartners.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/Statement-on-Responsible-Investment-2015.pdf

No

OA 02.5 Additional information. [Optional]

We also have a policy that sets out in detail our process for ESG integration which is made available to clients.

OA 03 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 1,2

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

Yes

OA 04.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]
---------	--

We are well aware that conflicts of interest pose a serious concern in the investment industry. At GO, we have consciously maintained an independent ownership structure in order to minimise any conflicts of interest that could arise.

Conflicts of interest may arise between us and our clients, between two or more clients, between GO and one of its members, or even between individual GO members. Senior management within GO is responsible for ensuring that GO's systems, controls and procedures to identify and to manage conflicts of interest are adequate. The Compliance Officer assists in the identification and monitoring of actual and potential conflicts of interest. However, all members must at all times be aware of the possibility of conflict of interest and immediately refer any such concerns they may have to their line manager and to the Compliance Officer.

Furthermore, to ensure close alignment of interest between GO personnel and investors in the GO European Focus Fund, we require our senior executives to make a personally significant investment in the firm and the Fund. We have also developed a policy to help us manage conflicts of interest situations, with the view to protecting the interests of clients.

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
---------	--

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Every two years or less
 - It is not reviewed
- No

Governance and human resources

OA 07

Voluntary

Descriptive

General

OA 07.1

Provide a brief description of your organisation's governance, management structures and processes related to responsible investment.

Each company in the portfolio is assigned a core team which includes three people - an Investment Analyst, an Investment Partner and an Engagement Partner. This team has day-to-day responsibility for responsible investment activities on that company.

Prior to investment, all stocks must be approved by the Investment Committee. All members of the Committee are invested in the Fund and thus all the companies in which we invest. The Investment Committee also approves the engagement objectives and reviews the on-going engagement activities. On an annual basis the company engagements are also presented to our independent Advisors, who have a strong corporate pedigree. They are also involved in individual engagements on an on-going basis, as necessary.

Before investing in a new company GO conducts extensive ESG research which forms part of the investment proposal which in turn sets out the rationale for investing, the engagement objectives and the anticipated long-term outcomes from our involvement. The investment paper is presented to, analysed and approved by the Investment Committee.

We review staff competencies biannually and address any gaps in the overall skills mix through training and/or recruitment. While every team member has their own area of expertise our investment professionals have had exposure to ESG theory and practice. Thus, overall there is a high level of awareness of ESG issues within the fund.

I would like to attach an organisation chart (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Engagement Partners**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 - Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 - Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 - Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - External managers or service providers
 - Other role, specify
 - Other role, specify

OA 09

Voluntary

Additional Assessed

General

OA 09.1

Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes have a responsible investment element.

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Other C-level staff or head of department

Engagement Partners

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Portfolio managers

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Investment analysts

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 10.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
---------	---

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Eumedion

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

OA 11.2	Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

OA 12	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6
-------	-----------	---------------------	-----------

OA 12.1	Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or standard-setters in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.
---------	---

Yes

- Yes, individually
- Yes, in collaboration with others

OA 12.2 Select the methods you have used.

- Endorsed written submissions to governments, regulators or standard-setters developed by others
- Drafted your own written submissions to governments, regulators or standard-setters
- Participated in face-to-face meetings with government members or officials to discuss policy
- Other, specify

OA 12.3 Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.

- Yes, publicly available
- No
- No

ESG issues in asset allocation

OA 13	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-------------	-------

OA 13.1 Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between geographic markets.

- Yes
- No

OA 14	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-------------	-------

OA 14.1 Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

- Yes
- No

Innovation

OA 18	Voluntary	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OA 18.1 Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

- Yes
- No

Assurance of responses

OA 19	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------------	---------

OA 19.1

Indicate whether your reported information has been reviewed, validated and/or assured by internal and/or external parties.

Yes

OA 19.2

Indicate who has reviewed, validated and/or assured your reported information.

- Reviewed by Board, CEO, CIO or Investment Committee
- Validated by internal audit or compliance function
- Assured by an external independent provider, specify name

No

GO Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Gateway/Peering	PRI 1
--------	---	-----------------	-------

LEI 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies. For strategies that account for less than 10% of your internally managed listed equities, indicate if you would still like to report your activities.

Strategies

- Passive
- Active – quantitative (quant)
- Active – fundamental and other active strategies

	% of internally managed listed equities
<input type="radio"/>	<10%
<input type="radio"/>	10-50%
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	>50%

LEI 02	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEI 02.1 Provide a brief overview of how you incorporate ESG issues into listed equity investments.

Our portfolio consists of a small number of core investments (8-10) to facilitate a constructive activist investment strategy. A new investment requires an in-depth fundamental analysis of all aspects relevant to shareholder value creation. We may exclude certain sectors or companies from our investment universe according to our clients' responsible investment policies, e.g. negative screening. In Japan our portfolio consists of 10-15 stocks and we engage in a "Japanese way", adapting to local culture.

An investment proposal covers business strategy, financial analysis and valuation. ESG factors are explicitly addressed in each of these categories and they form an integral part of the engagement agenda. This integrated analysis ensures that we invest in companies with a sustainable business model and it highlights necessary change where sustainability may be a concern.

The weighting of ESG factors in the investment process varies by company, sector and region. Typical topics include remuneration policies, board composition, voting rights, minority shareholder protection, carbon footprint, energy efficiency, soil contamination, health & safety standards, employee rights and sourcing policies.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1 Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	%
	100

- Screening + Integration strategies
- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2 Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

By integrating ESG issues in our initial investment proposal we are able to identify necessary change prior to investment and assess the risk and reward potential for the investment overall.

LEI 04	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 04.1 Indicate what ESG information you use in your ESG incorporation strategies and who provides this information.

Type of ESG information

- Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Company-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Sector-related analysis or ratings
- Country-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Screened stock list
- ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Other, specify

LEI 04.3	Indicate if you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEI 05	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 05.1	Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.
-----------------	---

- Engagement
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.
- (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.

LEI 05.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Engagement with portfolio companies, including proxy voting, is undertaken by the team responsible for the relevant company. This team is also responsible for making investment recommendations to the investment committee.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 10	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEI 10.1	Describe how you integrate ESG factors into investment decision making processes.
-----------------	---

ESG factors are part of the initial investment proposal and are reviewed at every investment committee meeting.

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

LEI 12	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	-----------	---------------------	-------

LEI 12.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that ESG integration is based on a robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Company information and/or ratings on ESG are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 12.2

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 13**Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose****Core Assessed****PRI 1****LEI 13.1**

Indicate into which aspects of investment analysis you integrate ESG information.

- (Macro) economic analysis
- Industry analysis
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Analysis of company strategy and quality of management
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Idea generation
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Portfolio construction
- Fair value/fundamental analysis
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally

LEI 13.2	Indicate which methods are part of your process to integrate ESG information into fair value/fundamental analysis.
-----------------	--

- Adjustments to income forecasts (sales, earnings, cash flows)
- Adjustments to valuation tools (discount rates, return forecasts, growth rates)
- Other adjustments to fair value projections, specify
- Other, specify

Outputs and outcomes

LEI 15	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 15.1	Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.
-----------------	---

- Integration of ESG issues

	Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration:
--	--

- Reduce or prioritise the investment universe
- Overweight/underweight at sector level
- Overweight/underweight at stock level
- Buy/sell decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 16	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 16.1	Indicate whether your organisation measures how your approach to ESG issues in Listed Equity investments has affected financial and/or ESG performance.
-----------------	---

- We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance
- We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' risk or volatility
- We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' ESG performance
- None of the above

LEI 17	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 17.1	Provide examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view and/or performance during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- ESG issue 1

ESG issue and explanation
A lack of a proper succession strategy left an investee company with a potential vacuum at management level.
ESG incorporation strategy applied
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration
Impact on investment decision or performance
Decision to invest also with a view to encourage company to rectify weakness so as to minimise investment and operational risk.

- ESG issue 2
- ESG issue 3
- ESG issue 4
- ESG issue 5

Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEI 18.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.
----------	---

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

<http://goinvestmentpartners.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/Statement-on-Responsible-Investment.pdf>

LEI 18.2	Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.
----------	---

- Yes
- No

LEI 18.3	Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.
----------	--

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to the public.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

LEI 18.5

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
 - We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

GO Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 01.1 Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to engagement.

Engagement forms a key part of our investment strategy. We engage directly with the management and the board of investee companies. In addition, we may employ other engagement tools including voting at and attending shareholder meetings, collaborating with other investors, and consulting advisers and regulators. In exceptional circumstances we may file shareholder resolutions or request a board seat.

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1 Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

Due to the small number of investments in our portfolio we are able to engage intensively with all portfolio companies without having to prioritise one over the other.

No

LEA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

Yes

- Yes, for all engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of engagement activities

No

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

Yes

- Yes, in all cases
- Yes, in the majority of cases
- Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 04.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

We evaluate the progress of our engagement activities on an on-going basis and report on this to clients on a quarterly basis. Because we set specific engagement objectives at the start of any investment - ranked by their potential to create shareholder value - we can evaluate the success of engagements and their impact on the investment return.

No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

Yes

LEA 05.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

Collaborative engagements are undertaken when we find that other investors in one of our portfolio companies have a compatible investment and engagement approach. This does not happen often and thus it is never a question of having to prioritise one over the other. Typically, a collaborative engagement effort is only part of the engagement with the relevant company and may focus only on one of many of our identified engagement objectives.

No

LEA 06

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 06.1

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

Yes

- Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities

No

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

Yes

- Yes, in all cases
- Yes, in the majority of cases
- Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 06.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

We monitor and evaluate the progress of our collaborative engagement activities in the same way we monitor individual engagements; by setting specific engagement objectives at the start of any investment which allows us to evaluate the success of engagements and their impact on the investment return.

No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 09

Voluntary

Additional Assessed

PRI 1,2

LEA 09.1

Indicate if the insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers as input for consideration in investment decisions.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 09.2	Additional information.
-----------------	-------------------------

Engagements with portfolio companies are undertaken by the team responsible for the relevant company. This team is also responsible for making investment recommendations to the investment committee.

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

LEA 10.1	Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 11	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	--	----------------------	--------------

LEA 11.1	Indicate the number of companies with which your organisation engaged during the reporting year.
-----------------	--

	Number of companies engaged (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)
Individual / Internal staff engagements	15
Collaborative engagements	1

LEA 11.2 Indicate what percentage of your engagements were comprehensive during the reporting year. [Optional]

Type of engagement	% Comprehensive engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> > 50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> None
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> None

LEA 11.3 Indicate what percentage of your collaborative engagements you were a leading organisation on during the reporting year. [Optional]

Type of engagement	% Leading role
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> None

LEA 12 Voluntary Additional Assessed **PRI 2**

LEA 12.1 Indicate if your engagements in the reporting year covered E, S and/or G issues.

Type of engagement	Coverage
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corporate Governance <input type="checkbox"/> We do not track this information
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Governance <input type="checkbox"/> We do not track this information

LEA 12.2 Provide an estimated breakdown by E, S and/or G issues.

Individual / Internal staff engagements

% Overlapping ESG issues

100

100%

Collaborative engagements

% Environmental only

100

100%

LEA 13 Voluntary Descriptive **PRI 2**

LEA 13.1 Indicate whether you have a reliable estimate of the number of cases during the reporting year where a company has changed its practices, or made a commitment to do so, following your organisation's and/or your service provider's engagement activities.

- Yes
- No

LEA 13.3 Additional information.

We cannot provide a reliable estimate but we are aware of a number of cases where a company changed its practice or made a commitment to do so following our engagement.

Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 15.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 15.5 Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 15.6 Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

LEA 16	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 16.1 Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to (proxy) voting (including the filing and/or co-filing of shareholder resolutions if applicable).

GO exercises, on behalf of clients, the voting rights for all assets under management and attends all general meetings in person. Voting involves a case-by-case analysis of each company and the resolutions proposed at the general meeting. Reaching a voting decision will often also require engaging with the company in advance. Equally, we also notify companies in advance when we do not vote in favour of management resolutions. Attending the general meeting also allows us the opportunity to explain our final voting decisions to management in person and in detail.

Filing a shareholder resolution is also a tool that GO might use to influence companies. However, this is seen as a very last resort to be used only in exceptional circumstances.

Process			
---------	--	--	--

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 17.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
----------	---

Approach

We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based primarily on

- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain

We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 19	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 19.1	To ensure that your (proxy) votes are cast and reach their intended destination on time, indicate if you did the following.
----------	---

- Obtain confirmation that votes have been received by the company:
 - for a majority of votes
 - for a minority of votes
- Participate in projects to improve the voting trail and/or to obtain vote confirmation
- None of the above

LEA 20	Voluntary	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------------	-------

LEA 20.1	Indicate if your organisation has a securities lending programme.
----------	---

- Yes
- No

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We always inform all companies in our portfolio of how and why we intend to vote prior to the AGM if we plan to vote against or abstain.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--




- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 23	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 23.1	Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your third party have issued on your behalf.
-----------------	--

- Yes, we track this information

LEA 23.2	Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties have issued on your behalf, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:
-----------------	---

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 97.8
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 1.3
Abstentions	 0.9

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 24	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 24.1	Indicate if your organisation directly or via a service provider filed or co-filed any shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.
----------	---

- Yes
 No

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 26.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.
----------	--

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://goinvestmentpartners.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/Voting-record-table-2010-2014-1.pdf>

LEA 26.2	Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.
----------	---

- Yes
 No

LEA 26.3	Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.
----------	--

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 26.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information to the public.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

LEA 26.5

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 26.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
 - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
 - Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries