



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason Gateway	Principle PRI 1,2,3
<p>Type of engagement Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff 		
<p>Type of engagement Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff 		
<p>Type of engagement Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff 		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

Harith General Partners

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	-	n/a							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Direct - Infrastructure				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
INF 01	Breakdown of investments by equity and debt	✓	Private							✓
INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	✓	Private							✓
INF 03	Largest infrastructure	✓	Private							✓
INF 04	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓						✓
INF 05	Responsible investment policy for infrastructure	✓	Public	✓						✓
INF 06	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓			✓
INF 07	Formal commitments to RI	✓	Private				✓			
INF 08	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
INF 09	ESG advice and research when selecting investments	✓	Private	✓			✓			
INF 10	Examples of ESG issues in investment selection process	✓	Public	✓		✓				
INF 11	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Private	✓		✓				
INF 12	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
INF 13	ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators	✓	Public				✓			
INF 14	ESG issues in post-investment activities	✓	Public		✓					
INF 15	Proportion of assets with ESG performance targets	✓	Public		✓					
INF 16	Proportion of portfolio companies with ESG/sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
INF 17	Type and frequency of reports received from investees	✓	Private		✓	✓				
INF 18	Proportion of maintenance projects where ESG issues were considered	✓	Public		✓					
INF 19	Proportion of stakeholders that were engaged with on ESG issues	✓	Private		✓					
INF 20	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
INF 21	Examples of ESG issues that affected your infrastructure investments	✓	Private	✓		✓				
INF 22	Disclosure of ESG information to public and clients/beneficiaries	✓	Public							✓
INF 23	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	✓	Private							✓

Harith General Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

<10%

10-50%

>50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

South Africa

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

1

2-5

6-10

>10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

53

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/03/2015

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		3	000	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		3	000	000	000

OO 04.5 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	100	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges
- Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.1	Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.
---------	--

- Infrastructure
- None of the above

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 12.1	The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.
---------	--

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Infrastructure

Closing module

Closing module

Harith General Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

As per the fund's adopted EMS.

The fund will take account of the impacts of its operations on local communities and seek to ensure that potentially harmful occupational health and safety, environmental and social effects are properly assessed, addressed and monitored.

As a FI, the fund will require an environmental assessment of projects to ensure that the projects are environmentally and socially sound and sustainable.

The fund will ensure compliance of all projects financed by the fund, with local, regional and international environmental regulations.

As the fund gains knowledge and experience in environmental management, it shall strategically and progressively build and integrate environmental and social issues into all of its financing and investing activities.

The fund shall encourage portfolio companies to make efficient use of natural resources and promote the protection of the environment.

The fund will uphold the highest standard of corporate social responsibility.

The fund shall be encouraged to assess the impact of the proposed projects on the environment. In the regard the fund will share information with portfolio companies, as appropriate to entitle them to strengthen their own capacity to reduce environmental risk and promote sustainable development.

The fund shall educate its own staff to adopt an environmentally responsible approach, and integrate environmental issues into its internal communication and reporting systems.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes
- No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
- No

OA 02.5 Additional information. [Optional]

This information, that being the policy and supporting Social and Environmental Management System, is available to investors at all times, specifically through quarterly communications.

OA 03 **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	

OA 03.2 Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]

Hairth GP does have a Engagement/active ownership policy, whilst the fund functions as a minority shareholder, Harith does require at least a single seat on the Board which enables the Fund to influence decision making and issues of performance.

OA 04 **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **General**

OA 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

As per Harith GP FAIS Conflicts Of Interest Management Policy

In order to effectively manage Conflicts of Interest, Harith GP must identify all known and potential conflicts that exist in relation to clients and these are to be listed on the Conflicts Register.

Examples of measures for managing conflicts of interest include:

1. Procedures to prevent or control the exchange of information between relevant persons engaged in activities involving the risk of a conflict of interest where the exchange of that information may harm the interests of one or more clients; (Chinese walls/segregation of duties)

2. The separate supervision of relevant persons whose principal functions involve carrying out activities on behalf of, or providing services to, clients whose interests may conflict, or who otherwise represent different interests that may conflict those of Harith GP;

3. The removal of any direct link between the remuneration of relevant persons principally engaged in one activity and the remuneration of, or revenues generated by, different persons principally engaged in another activity, where a conflict of interest may arise in relation to those activities;

4. Measures to prevent or limit any person from exercising inappropriate influence over the way in which a relevant person carries out services or activities; and measures to prevent or control the simultaneous or sequential involvement of a relevant person in separate services or activities where such involvement may impair the proper management of conflicts of interest.

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

At least once per year

Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
- Biannually
- Annually
- Every two years or less
- It is not reviewed
- No

OA 05.4

Additional information. [Optional]

These reviews are made available to shareholders through Harith's quarterly review reports.

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Heads of funds (4)

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

OA 08.2	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]
	Number

22

OA 08.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

22 members of investment team who all take into account responsible investment in the decision making process.

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

OA 10.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

- Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

Harith General Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Infrastructure

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

INF 05

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1-6

INF 05.1

Indicate if your organisation has a responsible investment policy for infrastructure.

- Yes
- No

Fundraising of infrastructure funds

INF 06

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1,4,6

INF 06.1

Indicate if your fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPMs) or similar) refer to responsible investment aspects of your organisation.

- Yes

INF 06.2

Indicate how your fund placement documents (PPMs or similar) refer to the following responsible investment aspects of your organisation:

- Policy and commitment to responsible investment
 - Always
 - In a majority of cases
 - In a minority of cases
- Approach to ESG issues in pre-investment processes
 - Always
 - In a majority of cases
 - In a minority of cases
- Approach to ESG issues in post-investment processes

how your fund placement documents (PPMs or similar) refer to the following responsible investment aspects of your organisation:

- Always
- In a majority of cases
- In a minority of cases
- No
- Not applicable as our organisation does not fundraise

Pre-investment (selection)

INF 08

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1

INF 08.1	Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting infrastructure investments.
-----------------	--

Yes

INF 08.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in infrastructure investment selection. [Optional]
-----------------	--

As per Harith's adopted EMS:

The fund shall be encouraged to assess the impacts of the proposed project on the environment. In this regard the fund will share information with portfolio companies, as appropriate to enable them to strengthen their own capacity to reduce environmental risk and promote sustainable development.

The fund shall educate its own staff to adopt an environmentally responsible approach, and integrate environmental issues into its internal communication and reporting systems.

No

INF 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

INF 10.1	Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the investment selection process and list up to three typical examples per issue.
-----------------	--

ESG issues

Environmental

	List up to three typical examples of environmental issues
--	---

Pollution and/or the control there of.

Biodiversity management and environmental degradation.

Sustainable use of natural resources.

Social

	List up to three typical examples of social issues
--	--

Resettlement.

Social and community investment.

Management of heritage resources.

Governance

	List up to three typical examples of governance issues
--	--

Anti-corruption and Bribery

Permitting and procedures

Management systems at operations level, specifically environmental and social

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators

INF 13	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

INF 13.1

Indicate if your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third-party operators.

Yes

INF 13.2

Indicate your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third-party operators.

- Selection process of third-party operators incorporates ESG issues
 - For all third-party operators
 - For a majority of third-party operators
 - For a minority of third-party operators
- Contractual requirements when appointing third-party operators includes ESG issues
 - For all third-party operators
 - For a majority of third-party operators
 - For a minority of third-party operators
- Monitoring of third-party operators covers ESG responsibilities and implementation
 - For all third-party operators
 - For a majority of third-party operators
 - For a minority of third-party operators

INF 13.3

Provide a brief description of your organisation's selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators. [Optional]

Harith always endeavours to appoint suitably qualified and registered third-party contractors who have an illustrated record of compliance.

No

Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

Overview

INF 14

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 2

INF 14.1

Indicate if your organisation, and/or operators, consider ESG issues in post-investment activities relating to your infrastructure assets.

Yes

INF 14.2 Indicate how your organisation, and/or operators, considers ESG issues in the following post-investment activities relating to your infrastructure assets.

- We consider ESG issues in the monitoring and operation of infrastructure
- We consider ESG issues in infrastructure maintenance
- We consider ESG issues in stakeholder engagements related to our infrastructure
- We consider ESG issues in other post-investment activities, specify

INF 14.3 Describe how your organisation, and/or operators, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities related to your infrastructure investments. [Optional]

Harith require all Portfolio Companies (PCs) to provide annual development impacts reports, which includes project related ESG issues, both positive and negative. Harith also compiles a quarterly report for investors which highlights ESG issues and mitigation measures taken.

No

Infrastructure monitoring and operations

INF 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

INF 15.1 Indicate the proportion of infrastructure assets where your organisation, and/or operators, included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of infrastructure assets
- 51-90% of infrastructure assets
- 10-50% of infrastructure assets
- <10% of infrastructure assets

(in terms of number of infrastructure assets)

INF 15.2 Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation, and/or operators, typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

- Environmental

List up to three example targets per issue

Pollution
 Biodiversity management
 Natural resources use

- Social

List up to three example targets per issue

Employment opportunities (poverty reduction)
 Skills development and training
 Occupational health and safety, disease management

Governance

List up to three example targets per issue

Bribery and corruption

Management systems adherence

Monitoring and reporting

We do not set and/or monitor against targets

INF 16	Mandatory	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------------	-------

INF 16.1	Indicate if you track the proportion of your infrastructure investees that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).
----------	---

Yes

INF 16.2	Indicate the proportion of your infrastructure investees that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).
----------	--

- >90% of infrastructure investees
- 51-90% of infrastructure investees
- 10-50% of infrastructure investees
- <10% of infrastructure investees
- 0% of infrastructure investees

(in terms of number of infrastructure investees)

INF 16.3	Describe how your organisation, and/or your operators, contribute to the infrastructure investees' management of ESG issues. [Optional]
----------	---

Review of implemented management systems against international best practice (IFC) through positions on board and direct decision making ability. Management systems are reviewed against the requirements of IFC Performance Standard 1.

No

Infrastructure maintenance

INF 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

INF 18.1	Indicate the proportion of active infrastructure maintenance projects where ESG issues have been considered.
-----------------	--

- >90% of active maintenance projects
- 51-90% of active maintenance projects
- 10-50% of active maintenance projects
- <10% of active maintenance projects
- N/A, no maintenance projects of infrastructure assets are active

(in terms of number of active maintenance projects)

INF 18.2	Describe your approach to ESG considerations for infrastructure maintenance projects. [Optional]
-----------------	--

Approach does not differ from primary infrastructure investment projects.

Communication

INF 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

INF 22.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses ESG information on your infrastructure investments.
-----------------	---

- Disclose publicly
- Disclose to clients/beneficiaries only

INF 22.5	Indicate the type of ESG information that your organisation proactively discloses to your clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	--

- ESG information on how you select infrastructure investments
- ESG information on how you monitor and manage infrastructure investments
- Information on your infrastructure investments' ESG performance
- Other;specify

INF 22.6	Indicate your organisation's typical frequency of disclosing ESG information to the your clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Semi annually
- Annually
- Every two years or less frequently
- Ad-hoc; specify

INF 22.7

Describe the ESG information and how your organisation proactively discloses it to your clients/beneficiaries. [Optional]

Through investment committee presentations, urgent and necessary communications to investors, and through quarterly communications.

- No proactive disclosure to the public or to clients/beneficiaries