



LEA 02	Disclosures Materiality	Reason for interaction	Principle PRI 1,2,3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive data <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive data <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive data <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive data <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive data <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2014/15

Jupiter Asset Management

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	-	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	-	n/a		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

# Jupiter Asset Management

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

411

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

**OO 03.1**

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

**OO 04**

**Mandatory**

**Gateway/Peering**

**General**

**OO 04.1**

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

**OO 04.2**

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		31	900	000	000
Currency	GBP				
Assets in USD		52	749	422	663

**OO 04.5**

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

**OO 06**

**Mandatory**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**OO 06.1**

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	10-50%
Fixed income – corporate	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0

Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.1

Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- None of the above

OO 11.2

Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- None of the above

OO 12

Mandatory

Gateway

General

OO 12.1

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

*This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.*

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed Income - Corporate

**RI implementation via external managers**

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Fixed Income - Corporate

**Closing module**

Closing module

# Jupiter Asset Management

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1	Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.
---------	---

- Yes  
 No

OA 01.2	Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.
---------	--

- Yes  
 No

OA 01.3	Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]
---------	---

At Jupiter, we aim to act in the best interests of all our stakeholders by engaging with the companies in which we invest and exercising our voting rights with care. Not only is this commensurate with good market practice, it goes hand in hand with ensuring the responsible investment of our clients' funds.

Primary topics may include, but are not limited to, long-term strategy, board effectiveness, remuneration, shareholder rights, culture, values and relevant sustainability issues. We also ask companies to present us with their plans for maintaining corporate social and environmental sustainability.

We continually seek to enhance our capabilities in order to best serve our clients and have recently developed our Stewardship framework as part of a regular review. This has led to the establishment of a Stewardship Committee in November 2013, which aims to develop and deliver a co-ordinated approach to engagement with chairmen, directors and non-executive directors for Jupiter's fund management department.

Through this process, we aim to better enable fund managers to gain investment insight, inform decision-making and influence investee companies where appropriate. The Committee is chaired by Jupiter's Head of Investments and comprises fund managers and corporate governance and sustainability analysts.

Our latest stewardship statements comment on how Jupiter's stewardship activities are, subject to independent assurance.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1	Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.
---------	---

- Yes

OA 02.2	Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.
---------	--

URL

<http://www.jupiteram.com/en-GB/Financial-advisers-and-wealth-managers/About-Jupiter/Corporate-governance>

No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 04.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

**OA 04.2** Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

We recognise the importance of managing potential conflicts of interest on behalf of our clients when voting their shares and engaging with investee companies.

Jupiter Asset Management Limited (Jupiter) is an investment management company whose parent company is Jupiter Fund Management plc (JFM). Jupiter's investment management business is conducted at arms length from its parent company. Conflicts of interest are therefore likely to be rare. However, our objective is always to act in the best interests of our clients when considering such matters as engagement and voting.

Conflicts may arise when our clients are also companies in which Jupiter invests. In these circumstances, contentious issues are discussed with the relevant fund managers and the Chief Investment Officer. In addition, there will be close engagement with the company, including where the issue may relate to a voting matter. In this instance, Jupiter will vote in the best interests of the funds/clients who hold shares in the company, using the principles of TCF (Treating Customers Fairly). Where applicable, Jupiter will obtain prior approval from the client prior to voting.



In accordance with Financial Conduct Authority requirements, Jupiter is required to establish, implement and maintain an effective Conflicts of Interest Policy that is appropriate to Jupiter's size and organisation and the nature, scale and complexity of its business. Our Group Conflicts of Interest Policy is available publicly and can be found at:

<http://www.jupiteram.com/en/~media/Files/Shareholder/Conflicts%20of%20Interest%20Policy>

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 05.1** Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

**OA 05.2** Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year  
 Less than once per year

**OA 05.3** Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly  
 Biannually  
 Annually  
 Every two years or less  
 It is not reviewed  
 No

**OA 05.4** Additional information. [Optional]

Jupiter has a formal Stewardship Committee. The aim of the Committee is to develop and deliver a co-ordinated approach to engagement with chairmen, directors and independent nonexecutive directors for Jupiter's fund management department, and through this process, to enable fund managers to gain investment insight, inform decision making and influence investee companies where relevant, on behalf of our clients. The Committee is also responsible for reviewing Jupiter's policies on stewardship and engagement and ensuring our adherence to the company's stewardship obligations. The Committee is chaired by the Head of Investments and comprises fund managers and members of the governance research and environmental and sustainability teams. The committee may also from time to time invite external consultants to provide insight into the topics discussed.

Our Sustainability Review Committee, also chaired by the Head of Investments, comprises both portfolio managers and dedicated sustainability and governance specialists. This Committee reviews Jupiter's engagement activity with companies on social and environmental issues and monitors these risks across all of Jupiter's funds. It also provides oversight of the process by which companies are approved for investment in ethically screened, socially responsible or environmental solutions funds. Common membership of both committees by governance and sustainability analysts ensures co-ordination and consistency across our stewardship and sustainability work.

Our stewardship activities are subject to independent assurance.

## Governance and human resources

OA 08	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 08.1**

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

### Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

**OA 08.2**

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

8

## Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

### Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

Asian Corporate Governance Association

Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia

Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

CDP Forests

CDP Water

CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity

Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Eumedion

Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify  
**UKSIF**

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 11.1** Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

**OA 11.2**

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

# Jupiter Asset Management

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

SAM 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**SAM 02.1** Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

- Yes  
 No

SAM 03	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------	-----------	---------	---------

**SAM 03.1** Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

### Overview

SAM 05	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	---------	---------

**SAM 05.1** Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

<b>Active investment strategies</b>	<b>LE</b>		
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 05.2** Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

**SAM 05.3** Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Selection**

**SAM 06** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1-6**

**SAM 06.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

**General**



	LE		
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ESG incorporation

	LE		
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Discuss the managers' voting processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through research for (proxy) voting is used in investment-decision making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (proxy) voting issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

Monitoring

SAM 08	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

SAM 08.1

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

General

	LE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted risk or volatility	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ESG incorporation

	LE		
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

(Proxy) voting

	<b>LE</b>
Review the number or percentage of votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Request an explanation of reasons for votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss whether companies were informed of the reasons for votes against management recommendations or abstentions/withheld votes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of resolutions on ESG issues filed or co-filed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the changes in company practice (outcomes) that have been achieved from voting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor (proxy) voting activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Communication

<b>SAM 16</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

**SAM 16.1** | Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Jupiter Asset Management

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

### Implementation processes


LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

**LEI 03.1**


Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 5
--	---

- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 95
--	--

- Screening + Integration strategies
- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

**LEI 03.2**

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

Our longstanding programme of fund manager led stewardship, including extensive meetings with chairmen and other non-executive committee members, has proved to be an effective platform to discuss and monitor companies on ESG issues.

### (B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 09	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEI 09.1	Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates that your organisation manages.		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Environmentally themed funds <input type="checkbox"/> Socially themed funds <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Combination of themes		

LEI 09.2	Describe your organisation's processes for sustainability themed funds. [Optional]		
----------	--	--	--

We launched one of the UK's first green unit trusts in 1988, the Jupiter Ecology Fund, and since then we have been at the forefront of investing in this sector. This has enabled us to develop a leading knowledge of environmental and social issues as well as adding significant value for investors.

With concern around environmental issues growing, we believe that our specialist environmental products offer an opportunity for clients to allocate their capital to businesses which are becoming increasingly intrinsic to global structural growth. As the world population continues to grow and develop, the world needs to be more intelligent about the way in which we use our resources and find alternatives for those which are finite and increasingly constrained, such as fossil fuels, land and water.

Our focus is to invest in businesses which we believe will have deep, long-term structural impacts across three key investment areas in particular - infrastructure, resource efficiency and demographics. These categories are not exclusive to environmental investing and are continually evolving. However, they are areas to which we believe environmental solutions businesses are becoming ever more important.

Each potential holding is assessed by Jupiter's Sustainability Analysts to monitor its ethical and environmental performance to ensure it fits within the Funds' individual screening criteria. In addition, Jupiter's Sustainability Review Committee - chaired by the Head of Investments - reviews the companies held by our thematic Environmental and Responsible investment funds to ensure that they are consistent with relevant environmental, socially responsible and ethical criteria sets. The Committee meets quarterly, providing a forum for discussion of contentious issues deemed to impact approval decisions, as well as environmental and social matters raised by the Sustainability Analysts that are deemed material to company performance.

### (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.		
----------	---	--	--

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

## Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEI 18.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

[http://www.jupiteram.com/en-GB/~media/Literature/Notifications%20or%20Regulatory/Other%20Notes%20and%20Reg/UK%20Stewardship%20Code\\_Our%20Approach.PDF](http://www.jupiteram.com/en-GB/~media/Literature/Notifications%20or%20Regulatory/Other%20Notes%20and%20Reg/UK%20Stewardship%20Code_Our%20Approach.PDF)

Provide URL

<http://www.jupiteram.com/en-GB/Financial-advisers-and-wealth-managers/About-Jupiter/Corporate-governance>

**LEI 18.2** Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes  
 No



**LEI 18.3**

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

**LEI 18.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to the public.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

**LEI 18.5**

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

**LEI 18.6**

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Quarterly or more frequently
  - Between quarterly and annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Other, specify
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
  - We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Jupiter Asset Management

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	---

☉ Yes

**LEA 03.2**

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

As stated in Principle 4 of Jupiter's approach to the UK Stewardship Code, the most effective way for implementing our engagement policy on behalf of clients is to focus on those companies in which we have a meaningful shareholding in terms of issued share capital or as a percentage of Jupiter's assets under management. However, this does not mean our engagement is purely restricted to our major holdings as there are other various triggers that prioritise engagement.

Jupiter's Stewardship Committee and, through its quarterly meetings, provides a formal framework to ensure the delivery of a co-ordinated approach for engagement with the chairmen and other non-executive directors to further our fund managers and analysts' understanding of the company and to gain investment insight. The Committee (which comprises Head of Investments, fund managers and governance and sustainability specialists) reviews engagement activity and will raise specific engagement as a priority. Company specific events, such as a profits warning, rights issue, change of executive management and/or chairman will prioritise engagement with executive management and non-executive directors where necessary. Additionally, the fund managers provide a 'watchlist' of investee companies to the governance analysts to assist in prioritising engagement and monitoring activities. The criteria for being denoted a 'watchlist' company covers a broad spectrum; meaningful holding by value or a percentage of the issued share capital, performance issues, board-related governance items. The 'watchlist' has broadened Jupiter's engagement on mid to smaller cap UK companies and overseas companies.

Jupiter's Sustainability Review Committee, also chaired by the Head of Investments, and similarly comprises both fund managers and dedicated sustainability and governance specialists. This Committee reviews Jupiter's engagement activity with companies on social and environmental issues and monitors these risks across Jupiter's funds. Common membership of both committees by governance and sustainability analysts ensures co-ordination and consistency across our stewardship and sustainability work.

No

**LEA 04****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 04.1**

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
- Yes, for all engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- No

**LEA 04.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
- Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA 04.3**

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

Regular meetings are an important part of our investment process, helping us to evaluate each company's ability to create and sustain long-term shareholder value. Most of our engagement with companies is conducted on a one-to-one basis (via face-to-face meetings and teleconferences) or collectively with other investors. Jupiter has established an in-house database for the purposes of monitoring and following up on our engagement activities.

As noted previously, the aim of our Stewardship Committee is to develop and deliver a coordinated approach to engagement with chairmen, directors and independent non executive directors for Jupiter's fund management department, and through this process, to enable fund managers to gain investment insight, inform decision making and influence investee companies where relevant, on behalf of our clients. This Committee therefore assists with the monitoring and evaluation of progress of Jupiter's engagements which focus on a wide range of issues including long-term strategy, board effectiveness, board succession, remuneration, shareholder rights, capital raisings, culture and values and relevant sustainability issues. The Committee is chaired by the Head of Investments and comprises fund managers and corporate governance and sustainability analysts.

No

### Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

**LEA 05****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 05.1**

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

Yes

**LEA 05.2**

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

Jupiter recognises the benefits of working alongside other likeminded investors on both policy and company specific matters. We believe there are occasions when collaboration with other investors may be the most productive way to effect change on our clients' behalf, where we wish to strengthen our dialogue, or where we feel that the company is not responding sufficiently to our concerns. This includes collective engagement with investee companies, policymakers and regulators or using the services of third-party membership organisations or other collaborative or informal groups, such as the Investor Forum. To this end we frequently meet with other corporate governance executives from other institutional fund management organisations to discuss matters of joint interest. Where appropriate, Jupiter will engage with other institutional fund managers. Jupiter has due regard of its policies on conflicts of interest and insider information at all times.

No

**LEA 06****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 06.1**

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

Yes

- Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities

No

**LEA 06.2** Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA 06.3** Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

In the same vein in which we monitor and evaluate the progress of our wider programme of engagement, Jupiter has established an in-house database for the purposes of monitoring and following up on our engagement activities.

As noted previously, the aim of our Stewardship Committee is to develop and deliver a coordinated approach to engagement with chairmen, directors and independent non executive directors for Jupiter's fund management department, and through this process, to enable fund managers to gain investment insight, inform decision making and influence investee companies where relevant, on behalf of our clients. This Committee therefore assists with the monitoring and evaluation of progress of Jupiter's engagements which focus on a wide range of issues including longterm strategy, board effectiveness, board succession, remuneration, shareholder rights, capital raisings, culture and values and relevant sustainability issues. The Committee is chaired by the Head of Investments and comprises fund managers and corporate governance and sustainability analysts.

- No

**General processes for all three groups of engagers**

<b>LEA 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

**LEA 10.1** Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li> <li><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers</li> <li><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements</li> </ul>
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li> <li><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers</li> <li><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements</li> </ul>

**Communication**

<b>LEA 15</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**LEA 15.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.jupiteram.com/en-GB/Financial-advisers-and-wealth-managers/About-Jupiter/Corporate-governance>

**LEA 15.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes  
 No

**LEA 15.3**

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement  
 Number of engagements  
 Breakdown of engagements by type/topic  
 Breakdown of engagements by region  
 An assessment of the current status of the engagement  
 Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement  
 Other information

**LEA 15.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to the public.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)  
 Disclosed quarterly  
 Disclosed annually  
 Disclosed every two years or less  
 Other, specify  
    Biannually

<b>LEA 15.5</b>	Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	--

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

<b>LEA 15.6</b>	Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	--

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify  
**Biannually**
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

**(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**

**Process**

<b>LEA 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 17.1</b>	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
-----------------	---

	Approach
--	----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.



Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
  - our own voting policy
  - our clients requests or policy
  - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 21.1** Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
  - votes in selected markets
  - votes on certain issues (all markets)
  - votes for significant shareholdings (all markets)
  - other, explain
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

**LEA 21.2** Additional information. [Optional]

Where Jupiter has participated in a consultation or a dialogue with a company or has a significant holding, we will communicate to the company our support or non-support. Otherwise we will endeavour to inform companies of our voting intentions when they are not in support of management.

**Outputs and outcomes**

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 22.1** For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

94

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

## Communication

LEA 26

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 26.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.jupiteronline.com/en/Jupiter-Fund-Management-plc/Governance/UK-Stewardship-Code>

LEA 26.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes
- No

LEA 26.3

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 26.4** | Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information to the public.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

**LEA 26.5** | Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 26.6** | Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

**LEA 26.7** | Describe any other differences in the information being disclosed. [Optional]

We disclose publicly only our voting decisions at UK company meetings, on a monthly basis.

- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries