




The image shows two overlapping forms from the PRI LEA (Leadership Engagement) toolkit. The top form is LEA 02, titled 'Discretionary', and the bottom form is LEA 03, titled 'Mandatory'. Both forms are designed for recording engagement activities with companies. LEA 02 includes a section for 'Reason for interaction' with checkboxes for various purposes like supporting investment decisions, influencing corporate practices, and supporting investment decision-making. LEA 03 includes a section for 'Type of engagement' with checkboxes for individual internal staff, collaborative, and service provider engagements.

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

LGT Capital Partners



UNEP Finance Initiative
Changing finance, financing change



United Nations Global Compact

An investor initiative in partnership with UNEP Finance Initiative and UN Global Compact

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
🔍	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	-	n/a							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	-	n/a	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	-	n/a	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	🔒	n/a							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in ‘other’ asset classes	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Private		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public						✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	⚡	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	⚡	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	⚡	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	⚡	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
FI 02	Breakdown of investments by credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 03	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
FI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	⚡	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
FI 11	Review of ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
FI 13	Incorporation of ESG issues into analysis and decision making	✓	Public	✓						
FI 14	ESG issues in index construction	⚡	n/a	✓						
FI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
FI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
FI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
FI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓				✓	
FI 19	Engagement with corporate issuers	✓	Private		✓					
FI 20	Engagement with government issuers	✓	Private		✓					

LGT Capital Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

☒ Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- ☐ <10%
☒ 10-50%
☐ >50%

☒ Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- ☐ <10%
☐ 10-50%
☒ >50%

☐ Other, specify

☐ Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Switzerland

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- ☐ 1
☐ 2-5
☒ 6-10
☐ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

340

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

OO 04

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		50	000	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		50	000	000	000

OO 04.5

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- ☒ Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
☐ Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- ☐ Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
☒ Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	<10%	<10%
Fixed income – corporate	<10%	<10%
Fixed income – government	<10%	<10%
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0

Private equity	0	10-50%
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	0	10-50%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	<10%	0

'Other (1)' specified

Insurance-linked securities, REITs and commodities

'Other (2)' specified

Multi-asset solutions,

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- ☒ We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- ☒ We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- ☒ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- ☒ We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- ☐ None of the above

OO 10.2	Indicate if your combined internally and externally managed listed equities are 10% or more of your total AUM.
----------------	--

- ☐ Yes, our total listed equities are 10% or more of our total AUM
- ☒ No, our total listed equities are less than 10% of our total AUM

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1	Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.
----------------	--

- ☒ Fixed income – corporate
- ☒ Fixed income – government
- ☐ Commodities
- ☒ Other (1)
- ☒ Other (2)
- ☐ None of the above

	'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]
--	-----------------------------------

Insurance-linked securities, REITs and commodities

	'Other (2)' [as defined in OO 05]
--	-----------------------------------

Multi-asset solutions

OO 11.2	Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.
----------------	--

- ☒ Fixed income – corporate
- ☒ Fixed income – government
- ☒ Private equity
- ☒ Hedge funds
- ☐ None of the above

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1	The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.
----------------	--

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- ☒ Organisational Overview
- ☒ Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

	Direct - Listed Equity incorporation
--	--------------------------------------

- ☒ Listed Equity incorporation

	Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
--	---

- ☒ Engagements
- ☒ (Proxy) voting

	Direct - Fixed Income
--	-----------------------

- ☒ Fixed Income - Corporate
- ☒ Fixed Income - Government

RI implementation via external managers

	Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers
--	---

- ☐ Listed Equities
- ☐ Fixed Income - Corporate
- ☐ Fixed Income - Government
- ☒ Private Equity
- ☒ Hedge funds

Closing module

- ☒ Closing module

LGT Capital Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1	Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.
---------	---

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

OA 01.2	Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.
---------	--

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

OA 01.3	Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]
---------	---

Consideration of environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues is an integral part of LGT Capital Partners' manager due diligence process, which the firm has developed to align with the six Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI). Any investment opportunity that is ultimately recommended to the firm's investment committee for approval will have been vetted for such issues. Towards this end, our investment teams assess managers' ESG practices using a standard due diligence questionnaire, and these assessments form a key input into the discussions held by the investment committee in reaching a decision whether to invest in a fund. ESG issues identified during due diligence are subsequently tracked in the firm's investment database, and they serve a starting point for discussion with GP's during monitoring meetings.

In addition to completing our own ESG analysis, LGT CP partners with GES Investment Services and apply their guidelines to avoid exposure to companies involved in the manufacture, storage or transportation of controversial weapons, such as land mines, cluster bombs/munitions and biological, nuclear and chemical weapons. The partnership enables LGT CP to develop portfolios that comply with international conventions on these weapons.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1	Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.
---------	---

- ☒ Yes

OA 02.2	Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.
---------	--

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.lgtcp.com/en/about-us/esg/>

- ☐ No

OA 02.3

Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ Yes, all
- ☒ Yes, some

OA 02.4

List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
ESG Report	https://www.lgtcp.com/en/about-us/esg/
Sustainability report	https://www.lgtcp.com/en/about-us/esg/

☐ No

OA 03**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 1,2****OA 03.1**

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04**Mandatory****Core Assessed****General**

OA 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

☒ Yes
☐ No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	--

☒ Yes

OA 05.2	Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.
----------------	--

☒ At least once per year
☐ Less than once per year

OA 05.3	Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.
----------------	---

☒ Quarterly
☐ Biannually
☐ Annually
☐ Every two years or less
☐ It is not reviewed
☐ No

Governance and human resources

OA 08	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 08.1	Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.
----------------	---

Roles present in your organisation

- ☒ Board members or trustees
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify

2 Managing Partners

- ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
- ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Portfolio managers
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Investment analysts
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ External managers or service providers
- ☐ Other role, specify
- ☐ Other role, specify

Promoting responsible investment			
----------------------------------	--	--	--

OA 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 10.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
---------	---

Select all that apply

- ☒ Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
- ☒ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☒ Asian Corporate Governance Association

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
- ☒ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- ☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- ☒ CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ CDP Forests
- ☐ CDP Water
- ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- ☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ☐ Eumedion
- ☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- ☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- ☒ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlagen (FNG)

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- ☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- ☒ United Nations Global Compact

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
South Pole Carbon Asset Management – Sustainable Small Hydropower Project

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
--	--

- ☐ Basic
- ☒ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
LGT Venture Philanthropy

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
--	--

- ☐ Basic
- ☒ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- ☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

☒ Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- ☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- ☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- ☒ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- ☐ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- ☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- ☒ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- ☒ Other, specify

LGT CP actively promotes responsible investment in the industry in our engagement with private managers and in our work with clients.

☐ No

LGT Capital Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

SAM 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

SAM 02.1

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

☐ Yes

☒ No

SAM 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

A core part of our offering to investors is the fact we do all due diligence and monitoring in-house, including the ESG component of these activities. We believe that our investors benefit from this approach, as it ensures consistently high quality across the entire investment process. We do not believe that an external consultant could add value to this process.

SAM 03	Mandatory	Gateway	General
---------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

SAM 03.1

Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Private equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hedge funds	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets

Selection

SAM 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

SAM 10.1

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

General

	PE		
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies'/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

Our investment team carries out a detailed review of the manager's representations in an ESG questionnaire and checks them against actual practices evident in the manager's investment committee books and company monitoring sheets. Insights gained from the review of the manager's approach are documented in an assessment template, in which different aspects of practice are evaluated both quantitatively and qualitatively. Based on this, we assign managers a rating on a scale of 1 to 4, where 1 indicates excellence and 4 indicates little or no commitment to ESG practice. This output is further documented in LGT CP's investment and monitoring database, which is a central source of information on all managers that LGT CP has ever screened or evaluated.

Subsequent to investment we monitor the ESG risks of our fund investments as part of the firm's overall private equity monitoring process. Towards this end, LGT CP conducts regular monitoring calls with fund managers in order to discuss a range of issues related to the partnerships, including ESG risks. The aim is to ensure the manager's continued adherence to its own or external ESG guidelines and continued improvement of practice. Issues addressed during monitoring are logged into an ESG monitoring template in our investment monitoring database, and an overall rating is assigned to the fund.

Monitoring

SAM 12	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
SAM 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:		
	General		

	PE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE		
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Communication

SAM 16	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

SAM 16.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- ☒ Yes, we disclose information publicly

provide URL

<https://www.lgtcp.com/en/about-us/esg/>

SAM 16.2

Indicate if the level of information you disclose to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients and/or beneficiaries.

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

SAM 16.3

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

Information	Public	Clients/beneficiaries
How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other, specify below	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- ☐ Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- ☐ We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

LGT Capital Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- ☐ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☒ Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100px; height: 20px; background-color: #0070C0; margin-right: 5px;"></div> % </div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 5px;">100</div>
--	---

- ☐ Thematic + integration strategies
- ☐ Screening + thematic strategies
- ☐ All three strategies combined
- ☐ No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

We believe that incorporating ESG information into classical financial analysis helps us understand our investments more holistically and identify issues and related risks and opportunities arising from these topics:

- Environment: emission reduction, energy efficiency, waste disposal, lack of resources, biodiversity etc.
- Social: health and education, population growth and an ageing population, consumer behaviour etc.
- Corporate Governance: Transparency and supervision, responsibility and liability etc.

These topics will feature in politics and the economy in equal measure over the coming years.

Many companies have been involved with the topic "sustainability" for several years. They have recognised this will improve the long-term competitiveness in the future and competitive advantages can be achieved. Only companies, countries and regions that apply sustainable principles will survive in the market over the long run.

In addition to these fundamental considerations, numerous academic studies show a positive relationship between sustainability and profitability. In over 50% of the cases, the concept of sustainability had a positive effect on performance.

The reasons for this positive effect are factors like a holistic risk management, lower costs, more transparent corporate governance, better reputation, greater innovation potential and a better quality of the management.

LEI 03.3

Where assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

First we apply a screening:

Step 1: Process of elimination of companies by negative criteria:

Step 2: Focus on opportunities by positive screening

Then we apply integration of sustainability value drivers into classical fundamental analysis.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06**Mandatory****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 06.1**

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- ☒ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- ☒ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☒ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☐ Corporate governance

Description

Companies that generate income from certain industries or products or have controversies regarding social topics (e.g. child labor) are excluded from the investment universe

- ☒ Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- ☐ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☐ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☒ Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

The positive screening is based on our proprietary ESG KPI scores, which comprise a wide range of different environmental, social and corporate government indicators.

Here we focus on the best performing companies.

☐ Norms-based screening

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
-----------------	---

The screening criteria are established with regard to our overall SRI philosophy. We believe that financial performance goes hand-in-hand with responsibility on ESG issues, so we address a wide range of topics related to improving human well-being, taking care of the environment and long-term value creation at the best interest of the companies lenders.

We review the criteria at least once a year, with ad hoc reviews in between, taking into account new topics that arise (e.g. data privacy).

The client notification practice depends on the materiality of the changes. Major changes would be communicated electronically or in writing to clients.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- ☐ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- ☐ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- ☒ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- ☒ Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- ☐ A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- ☒ A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

LEI 11.2 Additional information. [Optional]

As the results of our proprietary ESG KPI scoring is part of the investment decision making process, which addresses a multitude of E,S and G topics, these issues are systematically incorporated into researching and assessing companies.

Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEI 18.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
- ☐ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- ☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

LGT Capital Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 01.1	Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to engagement.
-----------------	---

We systematically monitor the companies that we hold in our portfolios against our defined set of KPIs we established to assess the performance of these companies on ESG issues, as well as against company newsflow. This allows us to focus our efforts on specific, relevant issues for engagement, and also leads to a clear formulation of the objectives for our engagements.

In case of concerns, we address the issues either directly with the company or collaboratively with fellow shareholders, where we have a strong common interest in the issue.

Following the initial engagement, we set out objectives and define the next steps, which include follow ups like repeated addressing of the issue, meeting with company representatives. If this does not result in satisfactory improvements or commitments to improve in terms of our set objectives, we escalate our activities to voting against the company or terminating our positions in the companies' securities.

We document each case of engagement comprehensively, including set objectives, actions taken and the final results.

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.
-----------------	---

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☒ Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

- In case of arising concerns regarding child labor, we systematically engage with each of our portfolio companies.
- Cases of major reputational issues (e.g. oil spills), are discussed in our internal ESG Committee, where actions and objectives are decided upon.
- Other issues are at the discretion of the responsible portfolio managers, with a periodic review through the ESG Committee.

☐ No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 04.1 Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ Yes, for all engagement activities
 - ☒ Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - ☐ Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- ☐ No

LEA 04.2 Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- ☒ Yes
- ☒ Yes, in all cases
 - ☐ Yes, in the majority of cases
 - ☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 04.3 Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

We document each interaction with the company, and do systematic follow ups, including information gathering and meetings on the issues. The results are then evaluated internally with the ESG Committee against our set objectives, followed by decisions on additional actions taken from our side.

Our objectives always include:

- clear and comprehensive understanding of the issue in question
- necessary actions to improve the current situation and to avoid further issues

☐ No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

LEA 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 06.1

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
 - ☒ Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
 - ☐ Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities
- ☐ No

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- ☒ Yes
- ☒ Yes, in all cases
 - ☐ Yes, in the majority of cases
 - ☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 06.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

We document each interaction with the company, and do systematic follow ups, including information gathering and meetings on the issues. The results are then evaluated internally with the ESG Committee against our set objectives, followed by decisions on additional actions taken from our side.

The ESG Committee is responsible for coordination and communication of our internal assessments to the collaborating shareholders, and also proposes and agrees upon joint actions.

Our objectives always include:

- clear and comprehensive understanding of the issue in question
- necessary actions to improve the current situation and to avoid further issues

☐ No

General processes for all three groups of engagers**LEA 09****Voluntary****Additional Assessed****PRI 1,2****LEA 09.1**

Indicate if the insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers as input for consideration in investment decisions.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 09.2 Additional information.

As part of our engagement process, we systematically categorize and store all documentation in our centralized ESG database. From there it is accessible to all relevant staff via our proprietary internal ESG platform. Also the ESG Committee regularly informs the relevant staff.

LEA 10

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

LEA 10.2 Additional information. [Optional]

We track, categorize and store all information related to engagements in our centralized ESG database. The information is available to all relevant staff. Also summaries are presented regularly by the ESG Committee

Communication

LEA 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 15.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
- ☐ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- ☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 17.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
----------	---

Approach

- ☐ We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- ☒ We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- ☐ the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- ☐ our own voting policy
- ☐ our clients requests or policy
- ☒ other, explain

Our external service provider compiles voting suggestions on the basis of bespoke SRI principles. We then review these suggestions and advise accordingly

- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
----------	---

- ☐ Yes, in most cases
- ☐ Sometimes, in the following cases:
- ☒ No
- ☐ Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	---

- ☒ We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

	%
--	---

92

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- ☒ of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- ☐ of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- ☐ of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 22.2	If there are specific reasons why you did not vote certain holdings, explain these, and if possible, indicate the percentage of holdings affected by these factors. [Optional]
-----------------	--

As we do not recall securities from lending, we do not vote on these securities. This explains the missing 8% of votes cast.

- ☐ We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 26.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.
-----------------	--

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
- ☐ We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- ☒ We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

LEA 26.8	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We disclose our overall voting policy publicly:

[http://www.lgt-cm.com/shared/.content/publikationen/\\$verwaltung_publikationen/voting_rights_en.pdf](http://www.lgt-cm.com/shared/.content/publikationen/$verwaltung_publikationen/voting_rights_en.pdf)

LGT Capital Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

FI 03	Voluntary	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-------------	-------

FI 03.1	Provide a brief overview of how you incorporate ESG issues in fixed income investments.
----------------	---

Fixed Income - Corporate

We believe that integrating our ESG assessments into financial analysis adds value to our overall investment process.

With our sustainable investing approach, we invest in companies that are outstanding in regards to our sustainability criteria and ensure value creation and financial returns in the long-term. We focus on contributing to the improvement of human well-being with an active management approach. According to our definition, we want to support an equitable social development as well as to preserve natural resources.

The portfolio construction is based on the one hand on our sustainability criteria, which are in turn based on the three ESG factors. On the other hand, our sustainability approach takes into account long-term investment opportunities, thus opening up promising risk/return potential.

We have developed dedicated resources in the sustainability segment with specific expertise. In doing so, we have set up a specific sustainable investment process, featuring negative exclusion criteria and positive criteria. The exclusion criteria are rigidly adhered to using a proprietary sustainability filter based on extensive data from an external data provider.

The investment universe is restricted over a number of stages to ensure that only those companies that add value over the long-term and fulfil our sustainability criteria will be included in the portfolio.

Companies that generate income from tobacco, gambling, weapons, pornography or have child labor controversies (qualitative assessment) are excluded (negative screening) from the universe of companies.

In the remaining and still diverse universe, we focus on positive investment opportunities in the sustainability sector (corporate bonds). We see such opportunities in companies that actively pursue strategies to reduce emissions, to improve energy efficiency, to adhere to good employment conditions and provide training and also in companies which allow for transparency regarding corporate governance.

With our active management approach, we seek to add sustainable value for our investors; combining fundamental analysis of companies with sustainability positive screening according to ESG criteria. We divide the fixed income analysis in a top-down macro scoring process, which is done in close collaboration with our interest rates and currency experts in our fixed income and asset allocation team, and a bottom-up issuer selection process, which is done in the sustainability fixed income team.

Fixed Income - Government

With regard to the selection of government bonds, countries are excluded if they have not signed the nuclear non-proliferation treaty, the ban on landmines, and the ban on cluster munitions and have human rights issues. Furthermore the corruption level of a country is analysed based on data from Transparency International. We obtain sustainability ratings on a universe of approximately 50 countries, where we exclude approximately 20 countries due to our exclusion criteria.

Within the positive screening of countries we consider the institutional framework (democracy, governance, and corruption) and equally weight the "environmental" and "social" dimension based on data of an external research provider.

For non-publicly traded issuers like supranationals, the effective impact of the projects financed by the institution is assessed as the most important criteria. In this respect, the financing of controversial industries and controversial projects is assessed. Then our positive rating is based on the three dimensions Environment, Social and Governance with a focus on the specific environmental and social problems related to the finance activities of those institutions, and the impacts they may cause on environment and communities. We have a rating on more than 100 non-publicly traded entities as for instance development agencies like KfW, and World Bank or state owned utilities like Statkraft.

Fixed Income - Corporate

Implementation processes

FI 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

FI 04.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed corporate fixed income investments; and, (2) the breakdown of your actively managed corporate fixed income investments by incorporation strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- ☐ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☒ Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active corporate fixed income to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	<table> <tr> <td></td><td>%</td></tr> <tr> <td>100</td><td></td></tr> </table>		%	100	
	%				
100					

- ☐ Thematic + integration strategies
- ☐ Screening + thematic strategies
- ☐ All three strategies combined
- ☐ No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed fixed income - corporate

100%

FI 04.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular incorporation strategy.

We believe that incorporating ESG information into classical financial analysis helps us understand our investments more holistically and identify issues and related risks and opportunities arising from these topics:

- Environment: emission reduction, energy efficiency, waste disposal, lack of resources, biodiversity etc.
- Social: health and education, population growth and an ageing population, consumer behaviour etc.
- Corporate Governance: Transparency and supervision, responsibility and liability etc.

These topics will feature in politics and the economy in equal measure over the coming years.

Many companies have been involved with the topic "sustainability" for several years. They have recognised this will improve the long-term competitiveness in the future and competitive advantages can be achieved. Only companies, countries and regions that apply sustainable principles will survive in the market over the long run.

In addition to these fundamental considerations, numerous academic studies show a positive relationship between sustainability and profitability. In over 50% of the cases, the concept of sustainability had a positive effect on performance.

The reasons for this positive effect are factors like a holistic risk management, lower costs, more transparent corporate governance, better reputation, greater innovation potential and a better quality of the management.

FI 04.3	If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, describe briefly how these are used in combination. [Optional]
----------------	---

First apply screening:

- Step 1: Process of elimination of firms or countries by negative criteria:
- Step 2: Focus on opportunities by positive screening

Then apply integration of sustainability value drivers into classical fundamental analysis.

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

FI 06.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active corporate fixed income investments.
----------------	---

Type of screening

- ☒ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- ☒ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☒ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☐ Corporate governance

Description

Corporates that generate income from certain industries or products or have controversies regarding social topics (e.g. child labor) are excluded from the investment universe

- ☒ Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- ☐ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☐ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☒ Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

The positive screening is based on our proprietary ESG KPI scores, which comprise a wide range of different environmental, social and corporate government indicators. Here we focus on the best performing companies

- ☐ Norms-based screening

FI 06.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

The screening criteria are established with regard to our overall SRI philosophy. We believe that financial performance goes hand-in-hand with responsibility on ESG issues, so we address a wide range of topics related to improving human well-being, taking care of the environment and long-term value creation at the best interest of the companies lenders.

We review the criteria at least once a year, with ad hoc reviews in between, taking into account new topics that arise (e.g. data privacy).

The client notification practice depends on the materiality of the changes. Major changes would be communicated electronically or in writing to clients.

FI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

FI 07.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening in corporate fixed income is based on robust analysis.

- ☐ Comprehensive research is undertaken or sourced to determine issuers' ESG performance
- ☒ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- ☒ External research and data used to identify issuers to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- ☒ Information on ESG issues and/or ratings is updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- ☐ A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct ESG research reviews some or all screening decisions
- ☒ A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

FI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

FI 11.1

Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

FI 11.2

Additional information. [Optional]

As the results of our proprietary ESG KPI scoring is part of the investment decision making process, which addresses a multitude of E,S and G topics, these issues are systematically incorporated into researching and assessing companies.

Fixed income - Government

FI 13

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1

FI 13.1

Indicate if you incorporate ESG issues in investment analysis and decision making for your government fixed income investments.

☒ Yes

FI 13.2

Indicate for what percentage of your government fixed income investments you incorporate ESG issues in investment analysis and decision making.

- ☐ <10%
☒ 10-50%
☐ 51-90%
☐ >90%

FI 13.3

Indicate if in active strategies, E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching government issuers.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

FI 13.4

Describe your approach to incorporating ESG issues in government fixed income investments. [Optional]

We screen the country universe first to exclude countries that have not signed certain treaties regarding controversial weapons, or have severe human rights issues.

Within the remaining universe, we consider the institutional framework of the countries (democracy, liberty rights, governance, corruption) as well as the performance on environmental and social issues and respective legislation.

For non-publicly traded issuers like supranationals, the effective impact of the projects financed by the institution is assessed as the most important criteria. In this respect, the financing of controversial industries and controversial projects is assessed.

☐ No

Communication

FI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

FI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in all fixed income investments.

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
☐ We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries