



| LEA 02 | Disclosure: Mandatory | Reason for Interaction | Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3 |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Individual/ internal staff engagements | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p> | | |
| Collaborative engagements | <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p> | | |
| Service provider engagements | <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p> | | |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

Miller Howard Investments

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| Ⓜ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|---|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | Asset mix disclosed in OO 06 | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Additional information about organisation | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | RI activities for listed equities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | RI activities in other asset classes | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |

| Overarching Approach | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|----------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OA 01 | RI policy and other guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 02 | Publicly available policies / documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 03 | Policy components and coverage | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| OA 04 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 07 | Governance, management structures and RI processes | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 08 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 09 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 10 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| OA 11 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| OA 12 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | - | n/a | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| OA 13 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| OA 14 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| OA 15 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 16 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 17 | RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| OA 18 | Innovative features of approach to RI | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 19 | Internal and external review and assurance of responses | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 02 | Description of ESG incorporation | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 03 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Types of screening applied | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | ⚡ | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Description of ESG integration | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 14 | ESG issues in index construction | ⚡ | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 15 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 16 | Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 17 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 18 | Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 07 | Role in engagement process | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 08 | Monitor / discuss service provider information | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 09 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | - | n/a | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Engagements on E, S and/or G issues | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Examples of ESG engagements | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 16 | Description of approach to (proxy) voting | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 17 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Confirmation of votes | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Securities lending programme | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 22 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 23 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 24 | Shareholder resolutions | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 25 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 26 | Disclosing voting activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |

Miller Howard Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 01 | Mandatory | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

- Fund management
- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products
- Other, specify

Dedicated ESG Investment Manager

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Execution and advisory services

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
| OO 02 | Mandatory | Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

54

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| OO 03 | Mandatory | Descriptive | General |
|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 04 | Mandatory | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | 8 | 653 | 605 | 270 |
| Currency | USD | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | 8 | 653 | 605 | 270 |

OO 04.5 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | 97 | 0 |
| Fixed income – corporate | 0 | 0 |
| Fixed income – government | 0 | 0 |
| Fixed income – other | 0 | 0 |
| Private debt | 0 | 0 |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | 0 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | 3 | 0 |
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
| OO 10 | Mandatory | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
| OO 11 | Mandatory | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 11.1

Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Cash
- None of the above

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
| OO 12 | Mandatory | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 12.1

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Closing module

- Closing module

Miller Howard Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| OA 01 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

At Miller/Howard we identify companies with financially attractive opportunities and our social research team develops an ESG profile for the strongest candidates. A company must pass our social evaluation and/or be considered best-in-class by our team in order to be eligible for investment; however, the final decision takes into consideration both the financial and social profile of each candidate. We use an integrated approach that includes screening, shareholder advocacy, proxy voting, coalition building, and public policy involvement.

In our ESG portfolios, we eliminate the following companies early in our process:

- Companies whose primary business is in the production of alcohol, tobacco, gambling equipment, or firearms;
- Companies that produce nuclear power;
- Companies with serious and persistent human rights violations.

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| OA 02 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 6 |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

http://www.mhinvest.com/files/pdf/ESG_Policy.pdf

- No

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| OA 03 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 1,2 |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|

OA 03.1

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

OA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

General

OA 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

As a registered investment adviser and a fiduciary, Miller/Howard places clients' interests first and foremost. The firm's investment policies and procedures prohibit unfair trading practices. These policies seek to disclose and avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interests, and seek to resolve such conflicts in the clients' favor. The firm maintains procedures, as laid out in the firm's Compliance Manual, that govern employees' personal trading accounts.

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Every two years or less
 - It is not reviewed
- No

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Other role, specify
 - Director of Shareholder Advocacy**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 - Other role, specify
 - Coordinator of Shareholder Advocacy**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
 Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
 CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced
- CDP Forests

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced
- CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
 Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
 Eumedion
 Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
 Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
 Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
 Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
 Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
US SIF

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Ceres

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Investor Environmental Health Network (IEHN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| OA 11 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 4 |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|

| | |
|---------|--|
| OA 11.1 | Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives. |
|---------|--|

- Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

Miller Howard Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|
| LEI 03 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|--|
| LEI 03.1 | Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies. |
|----------|--|

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies

| | |
|--|--|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%) | <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0070C0; margin-right: 5px;"></div> % </div> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">100</p> |
|--|--|

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

| |
|--|
| Total actively managed listed equities |
|--|

100%

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEI 03.2 | Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy. |
|----------|---|

Miller/Howard makes every effort to include companies that participate in the improvement of environmental conditions. We favor companies that implement or create solutions to reduce GHG emissions and other pollution. We favor companies that maintain a responsible corporate record internationally and domestically by upholding human rights standards and thus avoid investing in companies that have serious or persistent human rights problems. We look for companies that contribute to their community and support both social and cultural improvement programs, and companies whose good labor practices empower employees and treat them with respect. Our policy is to avoid companies that derive revenues from activities we believe are not sustainable, including: companies whose primary business is the production of alcohol, tobacco, gambling equipment, or firearms.

(A) Implementation: Screening

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| LEI 06 | Mandatory | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
 Activity
 Sector
 Country/geographic region
 Environmental and social practices and performance
 Corporate governance

Description

Our portfolios exclude companies whose primary business is the production of alcohol, tobacco, gambling equipment, or firearms. Companies whose sales of such products are ancillary, such as restaurants, hotels, convenience stores, or other similar entities are not automatically excluded.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
 Activity
 Sector
 Country/geographic region
 Environmental and social practices and performance
 Corporate governance

Description

Our ESG screening is based on a multi-faceted approach. The main factors that we consider are a company's governance and ethics; environmental record; workplace policies; human rights record, especially regarding international operations; and the nature of their products and services. We favor companies that are proactive in pollution prevention and have implemented clean energy initiatives to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) and other pollutants. We also consider internal management systems, environmental records, company reports, and a company's environmental performance in relation to peers. We look for companies that are working toward a sustainable future and reducing their carbon footprint. We favor companies that implement or create solutions to reduce GHG emissions and other pollution. We also believe that companies should address the issue of climate change by adopting risk-management control measures. Thus, we do not avoid oil- and gas-producing companies and their service providers. Instead, we seek best-in-class companies that pay special attention to environmental considerations and we may, from time to time, include such companies in our portfolios.

- Norms-based screening

LEI 06.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

As our portfolio team identifies financially attractive opportunities, our social research team develops an ESG profile for the strongest candidates. A company must pass our social evaluation and/or be considered best-in-class by our team in order to be eligible for investment; however, the final decision takes into consideration both the financial and social profile of each candidate. The criteria is published in our ESG Policy which is available on our website.

The investment criteria have been laid out as part of highly disciplined investment strategies. Miller/Howard has been involved in responsible investing since the beginning of its fund management in 1991. The investment committee reviews portfolio strategies quarterly and ESG criteria are integral to the entire process. Clients would be notified of any significant changes to our ESG criteria via our Shareholder Advocacy Newsletter.

LEI 07

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 07.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 07.2

Additional information. [Optional]

When compiling a company's ESG profile, we use third-party research from many sources, including MSCI/ESG Research, various social indexes, and ICCR. We also draw information from annual and quarterly reports, company websites, research papers, and journalistic investigations. Drawing from multiple sources helps us develop a more reliable and broad-based profile for each investment candidate.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 11.1

Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

| ESG issues | Coverage/extent of review on these issues |
|----------------------|---|
| Environmental | <input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues |
| Social | <input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues |
| Corporate Governance | <input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues |

Communication

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| LEI 18 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2,6 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|---------|

LEI 18.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

http://www.mhinvest.com/files/pdf/ESG_Policy.pdf

Provide URL

http://www.mhinvest.com/files/pdf/ESG_Fact_Sheet_4Q14.pdf

LEI 18.2 Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEI 18.3 Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
 Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Miller Howard Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| LEA 02 | Mandatory | Gateway | PRI 1,2,3 |
|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 02.1 | Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions. |
|----------|---|

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|--|--|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers |

Process

Process for engagements run internally

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 03 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 03.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff. |
|----------|---|

☉ Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

While we feel that screening may enable us to see rather quickly the positives and negatives of a company's history, experience has shown that actively engaging with companies we invest in, or are considering for investment, is potentially more effective over the long term than being a passive shareholder. We have found that by engaging management teams in strategic dialogue and actions, we can often guide companies toward a positive direction. On the other hand, asset divestment isn't likely to spur the offending corporation to undertake any change, nor would we have any influence whatsoever as a non-shareholder. Positive engagement, we believe, is more likely to yield positive results. Therefore, our ESG team engages company management directly about problems and issues of concern. We initiate, support, and participate in shareholder resolutions in accordance with our investment objectives.

We file shareholder resolutions based on ESG research and responses received from companies with whom we engage. Miller/Howard has filed shareholder resolutions regarding hydraulic fracturing operations, methane emissions, water issues, sustainability reporting, coal ash, and climate change.

No

LEA 04**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 04.1**

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
- Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- No

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
- Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 04.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

We maintain an open dialogue with companies throughout engagement. We review company responses and follow up with dialogue or additional requests or updates. If the company does not respond to dialogue, we file additional shareholder resolutions to request a company to address specific issues or update previously requested information.

No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations**LEA 05****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

Yes

LEA 05.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

A basic principle of shareholder advocacy is to harness power in numbers. We have joined with organizations over the years to be a stronger voice. We review our portfolio holdings annually to address environmental, management and policy issues flagged at various companies. We then collaborate on these issues with our investor network and organizations. Miller/Howard Investments works closely with Ceres, the Investor Environmental Health Network (IEHN), and the Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR) on its engagement collaboration. We have worked with these organizations engaging companies in our portfolio holdings on issues such as hydraulic fracturing, water management, methane emissions, sustainability reporting, and public policy. We collaborate with these organizations working with investor partners to lead file and co-file shareholder resolutions and to engage in company dialogue.

No

LEA 06**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 06.1**

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

Yes

- Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities

No

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

Yes

- Yes, in all cases
- Yes, in the majority of cases
- Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 06.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

Miller/Howard Investments has engaged companies on issues such as hydraulic fracturing, methane emissions, water management, sustainability reporting and public policy. Once we have filed a shareholder resolution, we usually continue to engage in dialogue with the company. We look at the company's responses to our engagement to assess whether the company needs to address any new concerns in the industry. There have been times when we have filed a resolution requesting additional information on a particular issue. We have also found that once a company addresses an issue they are often times very willing to monitor and report on any updates or concerns and are willing to engage in an ongoing dialogue. Companies will update their sustainability report annually and advise us of these updates. We review company sustainability reports annually.

No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 10.1

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|--|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements |

Communication

LEA 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 15.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

http://www.mhinvest.com/esg_resolutions.html

LEA 15.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEA 15.3

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 15.4 Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify

We disclose and report on our engagements in our bi-annual Shareholder Advocacy Newsletter, available on our website.
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| LEA 17 | Mandatory | Descriptive | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|

LEA 17.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain

We vote proxies based on Glass Lewis recommendations, but will vote differently if we believe such action supports clients' interest or our unique objectives.

- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 21 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|

LEA 21.1 Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 22 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|

LEA 22.1 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 26.5

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 26.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify

We report voting information only upon client request.

- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries