



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason for interaction	Principle PRI 1,2,3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p>	
Collaborative engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p>	
Service provider engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p>	

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2014/15

### Shell Contributory Pension Fund

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	-	n/a							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	-	n/a							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	-	n/a	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	-	n/a	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	-	n/a							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	-	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

# Shell Contributory Pension Fund

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation or endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

0

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

This number refers to the number of FTE at the pension fund SCPF and its Trustee Support Unit involved in investment decisions (excluding the Shell Asset Management Company).

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------



OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		14	744	582	400
Currency	GBP				
Assets in USD		24	381	448	558

OO 04.5

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income – corporate	<10%	<10%
Fixed income – government	10-50%	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0

Private equity	0	<10%
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 11.1**

Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Cash
- None of the above

**OO 11.2**

Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Private equity
- Property
- Hedge funds
- None of the above

**OO 12****Mandatory****Gateway****General****OO 12.1**

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

*This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.*

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

## Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

## Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

## Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed Income - Corporate

**RI implementation via external managers**

- Listed Equities
- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Private Equity
- Property
- Hedge funds

**Closing module**

- Closing module

# Shell Contributory Pension Fund

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

**OA 02.2** Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://s06.static-shell.com/content/dam/shell/static/pensions/downloads/responsible-ownershippolicy.pdf>

- No

**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes  
 No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

Yes

OA 04.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]
---------	--

We have both an investment conflict of interest policy and Royal Dutch Shell policy in place which addresses this issues in detail.

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
---------	--

Yes

OA 05.2	Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.
---------	--

At least once per year

Less than once per year

**OA 05.3**

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
- Biannually
- Annually
- Every two years or less
- It is not reviewed
- No

**OA 05.4**

Additional information. [Optional]

It is SCPF's policy to implement Responsible Ownership with no positive selection or a priori exclusion of listed equity investments. SCPF has employed a recognised third party service provider, Hermes Equity Ownership Services Ltd. (Hermes EOS), to assist in the implementation of this policy. There are three major elements to this implementation: monitoring of SCPF investments, engagement with investee companies as appropriate and voting of SCPF shares.

Details of all engagements undertaken by Hermes EOS are formally reported to SCPF on a quarterly basis. Additionally, Hermes EOS provides SCPF with interim and ad hoc updates as to its progress with specific companies as required.

## Governance and human resources

**OA 08****Mandatory****Gateway/Core Assessed****General****OA 08.1**

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

### Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
- Other role, specify  
Hermes EOA (engagement service provider)



- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify

## Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

### Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify  
**UKSIF**

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

**OA 10.2** Additional information. [Optional]

Our engagement service provider, Hermes EOS, is involved in the following key networks on our behalf.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association (ACGA)
- Assogestioni (Italy)
- Canadian Coalition of Good Governance (CCGG)
- Carbon Disclosure Project & Water Disclosure Project
- Corporate Reporting Users' Forum (Europe)
- Council of Institutional Investors (USA)
- Dansif (Denmark)
- DSW (German Shareholder Association)
- Eumedion (Netherlands)
- European Investors' Working Group
- Eurosif (Europe)
- FIR (French Social Investment Forum)
- Forest Footprint Disclosure Project

- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- International Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- KOSIF (Korea)
- National Association of Pension Funds (UK)
- UKSIF
- UN Environment Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

OA 11.2	Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

We encourage RI through monitoring, use of shareholder (voting) rights, and dialogue if needed. Hermes EOS is our external engagement service provider, and represents us.

No

# Shell Contributory Pension Fund

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

### Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.
----------	--

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; background-color: #0072bc;"></td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>		%	100	
	%				
100					

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies
- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

	Total actively managed listed equities
--	--

100%

LEI 03.2	Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.
----------	---

SCPF has adopted the UN Global Compact Principles and signed up to the PRI as an investment management framework for giving consideration to ESG issues. We have employed a recognized third party service provider, Hermes EOS, to assist us in our policy implementation strategy. There are three major elements of the strategy: monitoring of investments, engagement with investee companies, if appropriate, and voting of SCPF shares. It is the policy to implement responsible ownership with no positive selection or a priori exclusion of investments. However, when a company's activities are clearly in conflict with UK law or international treaties, engagement may occur with the view to having the company to desist from that activity. In exceptional circumstances where conduct is overly unacceptable, an immediate decision to divest from the company may occur. At present, SCPF does not invest in companies involved in the sale, production or distribution of cluster munitions or anti-personnel landmines, which contravene the Oslo Convention on Cluster Munitions or the Ottawa (Mine Ban) Treaty.

### (A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEI 06.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
----------	--

**Type of screening**

- Negative/exclusionary screening
- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

**Screened by**

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description
-------------

SCPF has adopted the UN Global Compact principles as its responsible ownership framework for the assets. SCPF has also signed up to the UN PRI as an investment management framework for giving consideration to ESG issues.

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------	---

Our external service provider, Hermes EOS, will monitor to what extent companies are demonstrating alignment with the UN Global Compact Principles. Hermes EOS will also assess all companies for breaches of generally recognised responsibilities and norms under UN treaties and other behaviors which are deemed unsustainable. We evaluate the impact of the monitoring, engagement and voting activities on an ongoing basis.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEI 07.1**

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

## Communication

**LEI 18**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2,6**

**LEI 18.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

<http://www.shell.co.uk/pensions/scpf/resources/document-library.html>

**LEI 18.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

**LEI 18.3**

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

**LEI 18.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
  - Between quarterly and annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
  - We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

**LEI 18.7**

Additional information. [Optional]

The annual voting and engagement reports by Hermes EOA are published on our website, but no information on individual investments and companies is disclosed to the public



# Shell Contributory Pension Fund

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

See LEA 1.1 for further information on the engagement service provided by Hermes EOS.

## Process

### Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEA 07.1**

Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

Yes

**LEA 07.2**

Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Specify the issues for the engagement
- Specify the objectives for the engagement
- Select the companies to be engaged with
- Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
- Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
- Other, specify

We actively monitor and review the activities of our service provider Hermes EOA through quarterly calls or meetings and regular reports.

No

**LEA 07.3**

Additional information. [Optional]

We actively monitor and review the activities of our service provider Hermes EOS through quarterly calls and regular reports.

**LEA 08**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2,6**

**LEA 08.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

### General processes for all three groups of engagers

**LEA 10**

**Mandatory**

**Gateway/Core Assessed**

**PRI 2**

**LEA 10.1**

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

**LEA 10.2** Additional information. [Optional]

Hermes EOS' regular reporting provides full disclosure on the number of engagements conducted on our behalf.

## Communication

**LEA 15**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2,6**

**LEA 15.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.shell.co.uk/pensions/scpf/resources/document-library.html>

**LEA 15.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

**LEA 15.3**

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

**LEA 15.4** | Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

**LEA 15.8** | Additional information. [Optional]

The voting and engagement reports by Hermes EOS are published on our website, but no information on individual investments and companies is disclosed to the public.

**(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**

**Process**

<b>LEA 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

**LEA 17.1** | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

**Approach**

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

**Based primarily on**

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

<b>LEA 18</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 18.1</b>	Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate what percentage your organisation reviewed, and the reasons for the review.
-----------------	---

**Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed**

- >20%
- 5-20%
- 1-5%
- <1%

**Reasons for review**

- Specific ESG issues
- Votes where a significant level of ownership is held
- Votes against management or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- Other, explain

Details of all engagements and voting undertaken by Hermes EOS are formally reported to the fund and the board.

<b>LEA 21</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 21.1</b>	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
  - votes in selected markets
  - votes on certain issues (all markets)
  - votes for significant shareholdings (all markets)
  - other, explain

Hermes EOS undertakes intelligent voting on our behalf according to our own proxy voting policy.

- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

**Outputs and outcomes**

<b>LEA 22</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 22.1</b>	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

**LEA 22.3** Additional information. [Optional]

Our service provider, Hermes EOS, submits vote instructions on all ballots available to vote in the portfolios for which we have subscribed to their proxy voting service.

## Communication

**LEA 26** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2,6**

**LEA 26.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.shell.co.uk/pensions/scpf/resources/document-library.html>

**LEA 26.2** Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

**LEA 26.3** Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 26.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

**LEA 26.8**

Additional information. [Optional]

The voting and engagement reports by Hermes EOS are published on our website, but no information on individual investments and companies is disclosed to the public.