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About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation’s response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a Principles index which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six Principles for Responsible Investment. It can be used by stakeholders as an ‘at-a-glance’ summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the ‘General’ column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td>The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗</td>
<td>This indicator was not relevant for this signatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.
## Organisational Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Short description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Disclosure</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OO 01</td>
<td>Signatory category and services</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 02</td>
<td>Headquarters and operational countries</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 03</td>
<td>Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 04</td>
<td>Reporting year and AUM</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 05</td>
<td>Breakdown of AUM by asset class</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Asset mix disclosed in OO 06</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 06</td>
<td>How would you like to disclose your asset class mix</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 07</td>
<td>Segregated mandates or pooled funds</td>
<td>♦</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 08</td>
<td>Breakdown of AUM by market</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 09</td>
<td>Additional information about organisation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 10</td>
<td>RI activities for listed equities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 11</td>
<td>RI activities in other asset classes</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO 12</td>
<td>Modules and sections required to complete</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Overarching Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Short description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Disclosure</th>
<th>General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OA 01</td>
<td>RI policy and other guidance documents</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 02</td>
<td>Publicly available policies / documents</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 03</td>
<td>Policy components and coverage</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 04</td>
<td>Conflicts of interest</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 05</td>
<td>RI goals and objectives</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 06</td>
<td>Main goals/objectives this year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 07</td>
<td>Governance, management structures and RI processes</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 08</td>
<td>RI roles and responsibilities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 09</td>
<td>RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 10</td>
<td>Collaborative organisations / initiatives</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 11</td>
<td>Promoting RI independently</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 12</td>
<td>Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 13</td>
<td>ESG issues in strategic asset allocation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 14</td>
<td>Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 15</td>
<td>ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 16</td>
<td>ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework</td>
<td>☀</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 17</td>
<td>RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services</td>
<td>☀</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 18</td>
<td>Innovative features of approach to RI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 19</td>
<td>Internal and external review and assurance of responses</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Short description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Disclosure</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEI 01</td>
<td>Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 02</td>
<td>Description of ESG incorporation</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 03</td>
<td>Percentage of each incorporation strategy</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 04</td>
<td>Type of ESG information used in investment decision</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 05</td>
<td>Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 06</td>
<td>Types of screening applied</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 07</td>
<td>Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 08</td>
<td>Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 09</td>
<td>Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 10</td>
<td>Description of ESG integration</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 11</td>
<td>Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 12</td>
<td>Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 13</td>
<td>Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 14</td>
<td>ESG issues in index construction</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 15</td>
<td>How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 16</td>
<td>Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 17</td>
<td>Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 18</td>
<td>Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Short description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Disclosure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 01</td>
<td>Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 02</td>
<td>Breakdown of investments by credit quality</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 03</td>
<td>Description of ESG incorporation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 04</td>
<td>Percentage of each incorporation strategy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 05</td>
<td>Type of ESG information used in investment decision</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 06</td>
<td>Types of screening applied</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 07</td>
<td>Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 08</td>
<td>Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 09</td>
<td>Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 10</td>
<td>Description of ESG integration</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 11</td>
<td>Review of ESG issues while researching companies/sectors</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 12</td>
<td>Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 13</td>
<td>Incorporation of ESG issues into analysis and decision making</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 14</td>
<td>ESG issues in index construction</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 15</td>
<td>How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 16</td>
<td>Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 17</td>
<td>Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 18</td>
<td>Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 19</td>
<td>Engagement with corporate issuers</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI 20</td>
<td>Engagement with government issuers</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.
### Basic Information

**OO 01**  
**Mandatory**  
**Gateway/Peering**  
**General**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>OO 01.1</strong></th>
<th>Select the services you offer.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Fund management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ &lt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ 10-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ &gt;50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other, specify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Execution and advisory services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OO 02**  
**Mandatory**  
**Peering**  
**General**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>OO 02.1</strong></th>
<th>Select the location of your organisation’s headquarters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>OO 02.2</strong></th>
<th>Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ 2-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ 6-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ &gt;10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>OO 02.3</strong></th>
<th>Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OO 03**  
**Mandatory**  
**Descriptive**  
**General**
OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

OO 03.2 List your subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories and indicate if you would like to report their RI activities in your organisation’s consolidated report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of PRI signatory subsidiary (Up to six subsidiaries may be reported)</th>
<th>RI implementation reported here on a consolidated basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santander Pensiones España</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OO 04 Mandatory Gateway/Peering General

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>trillions</th>
<th>billions</th>
<th>millions</th>
<th>thousands</th>
<th>hundreds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total AUM</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>BRL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets in USD</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

**OO 06.1**  To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Internally managed (%)</th>
<th>Externally managed (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Listed equity</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income – corporate</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income – government</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income – other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private debt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private equity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedge funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive finance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (1), specify</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (2), specify</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges
Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

**OO 08**  
**Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose**  
**Peering**  
**General**

**OO 08.1**  
Indicate the breakdown of your organisation’s AUM by market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market breakdown</th>
<th>% of AUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed Markets</td>
<td>○ 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◊ &lt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ 10-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◊ &gt;50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets</td>
<td>○ 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◊ &lt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ 10-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◊ &gt;50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gateway asset class implementation indicators**

**OO 10**  
**Mandatory**  
**Gateway**  
**General**

**OO 10.1**  
Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

☐ We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
☐ We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
☐ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
☐ None of the above

**OO 10.3**  
Additional information. [Optional]

We incorporate ESG criteria in our Equities valuation process, according to internal methodology. To Fixed Income (private credit), we apply the same ESG criteria. In this case, for companies approved in our evaluation, we can apply a bonus if our offering.

We engage with companies via our internal staff (dedicated ESG team). Meetings, conference calls and site visits with companies are ways of engagement that our team adopts, in order to discuss about ESG critical issues identified in evaluation process (ESG Analysis).
Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- [ ] Fixed income – corporate
- [ ] Fixed income – government
- [ ] Cash
- [ ] None of the above

Additional information. [Optional]

ESG criteria are applied to Fixed Income - corporate bonds, in order to evaluate potential social, environmental and governance risks, as well as good practices that can be rewarded as a bonus in our offering.

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules
- [ ] Organisational Overview
- [ ] Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

- [ ] Direct - Listed Equity incorporation
- [ ] Listed Equity incorporation

- [ ] Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
- [ ] Engagements

- [ ] Direct - Fixed Income
- [ ] Fixed Income - Corporate

Closing module
- [ ] Closing module
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PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.
### Responsible investment policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OA 01</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Gateway/Core Assessed</th>
<th>General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OA 01.1</td>
<td>Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☑ No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 01.2</td>
<td>Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☑ No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 01.3</td>
<td>Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our responsible investment policy considers ESG analysis based on 6 dimensions (Product's Nature, Management &amp; Transparency, Corporate Governance, Environment, Stakeholder Relationship and Risk Management).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OA 02</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OA 02.1</td>
<td>Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☑ No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 02.2</td>
<td>Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA 02.3</td>
<td>Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☑ No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OA 03</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 1,2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OA 03.1</td>
<td>Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Select all that apply

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy components/types</th>
<th>Coverage by AUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Policy setting out your overall approach</td>
<td>○ Applicable policies cover all AUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (Proxy) voting policy</td>
<td>○ Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Engagement/active ownership policy</td>
<td>○ Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Specific guidelines on corporate governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Specific guidelines on environmental issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Specific guidelines on social issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Asset class-specific guidelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Screening/exclusion policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Other, specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG Essential criteria.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other, specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OA 03.2 Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]

Only Sustainability funds apply the ESG criteria for inclusion/exclusion of companies. But all invested/potential invested companies are evaluated according to ESG methodology.

OA 04 Mandatory | Core Assessed | General

OA 04.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

☐ Yes
☐ No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05 Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | General

OA 05.1 Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

☐ Yes

OA 05.2 Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

○ At least once per year
☐ Less than once per year

Copyright © 2014 PRI Association. All rights reserved
OA 05.3  Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
- Biannually
- Annually
- Every two years or less
- It is not reviewed
- No

OA 05.4  Additional information. [Optional]

Responsible investments are also evaluated by an independent advisory board that, among other things, is responsible for reviewing research results and counts with veto power on investment decisions. The board has six members; four of them are external members: independent and experts in sustainability. The advisory board meets on quarterly basis.

Governance and human resources

OA 08  Mandatory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OA 08.1</th>
<th>Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - Head of Equity Research
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

☑ Portfolio managers
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

☑ Investment analysts
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Implementation of responsible investment
☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

☐ External managers or service providers
☐ Other role, specify
☐ Other role, specify

OA 08.2 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OA 08.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Responsible investment staff refers to Equity Research Team and Portfolio Managers.

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 4,5

OA 10.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply
☐ Principles for Responsible Investment
Luciane Ribeiro, Santander Asset Management CEO, is also member of PRI Advisory Council.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change

Santander Asset Management Brasil is signatory od CDP Climate Change.

- CDP Forests

Santander Asset Management Brasil is signatory od CDP Forests.

- CDP Water
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation’s involvement in the initiative. 
(Optional)

Santander Asset Management Brasil is signatory of CDP Water.

☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
☐ Eumedion
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your organisation’s role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Basic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Advanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Global Compact
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Global Reporting Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your organisation’s role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Basic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Advanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

BMF BOVESPA - ISE - Sustainability Index
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OA 11</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OA 11.1</strong></td>
<td>Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.</td>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OA 11.2</strong></td>
<td>Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.</td>
<td>☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Other, specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PRI disclaimer
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ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEI 03</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Gateway/Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEI 03.1</td>
<td>Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)**

- [ ] Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- [ ] Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- [ ] Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- [✓] Screening + Integration strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Thematic + integration strategies</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Screening + thematic strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] All three strategies combined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[✓] No incorporation strategies applied</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of active listed equity to which no incorporation strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Thematic + integration strategies</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Screening + thematic strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] All three strategies combined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[✓] No incorporation strategies applied</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100%

| LEI 03.2 | Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy. |

Our methodology supports on investment decision in listed Equity of Brazilian companies that present a leading and integrated sustainability business strategy, and therefore is developing sustainable competitive advantages. Companies assessed and approved as best in class by our in-house ESG research team are considered financially attractive by our in-house equity research team and are potential candidates for our ESG portfolio.

The investment philosophy is based on the fundamental belief that superior financial performance in the long run is achieved by companies that operate under a triple bottom line approach. This means that companies with environmental, social and corporate governance performance (ESG) goal incorporated in their strategies are expected to be the best performers in the long term.
The team takes advantage of a huge internally built historical database and an external database of companies (Bloomberg database). The first screening is based on the liquidity of stocks. A minimum daily trading volume of USD 100,000 is applied, ensuring that portfolio has appropriate liquidity. A list of companies above liquidity threshold is the portfolio available for ESG analysis.

The next step is to apply our ESG in-house methodology that assess the performance of companies in each sector across six dimensions: 1) product's nature, 2) management and transparency, 3) corporate governance, 4) environment, 5) company's social performance and 6) risk management. Each dimension is assessed across such aspects as the company's policies, the systems to assure implementation, and their results. All issues analysed are based on 5 principles that guarantee the following main topics: materiality, practices, value chain, technology and information and product's nature. Only publicly available information of the company is used to fill our ESG questionnaire. Any missing point not found in public information is researched directly with the company, by email or presental meetings.

Because of our dimension of product nature, companies that have operations in alcoholic beverages, tobacco, gambling, nuclear power, pornography or defense sectors are automatically excluded from the investment universe, since an essential criteria is if the product or activity of the company is harmful for human health. Whenever we find any danger substance, we ask the company the reasons and assess potential risks and impacts. If we conclude that the risks are not under control, we disapprove the company, considering their stocks not eligible for our portfolio.

Therefore, a comprehensive questionnaire elaborated by the Santander Asset Management in-house ESG research team constitutes the main information source for each company evaluated. Company's public reports and meetings with corporate executives, government agency representatives, and stakeholders are additional information sources. Any complaint by any stakeholder like NGOs or social movements are taking in account in our ESG analysis and also is discussed by our independent advisory board.

The indicators used in the ESG analysis are weighted according to each sector's most material issues, and all the analysis are validated by an independent advisory board, composed by four independent ESG specialists and two executive directors of Grupo Santander. Each ESG analysis is validated by this council, in a fast track process by email and finally in the quarterly meetings.

| LEI 03.3 | Where assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional] |

The assessment of the sustainability performance of companies is reviewed and updated (i) on a bi-annual basis; (ii) according to PMs demand; (iii) when a criticial ESG issue is identified. Companies ranked above average in each sector and that have not been disapproved in any of the essential criteria of our methodology are eligible to be included in the portfolio. Our in-house equity research team conducts a detailed financial analysis of the remaining companies that constitute the fund's investment universe.

The team of analysts and portfolio managers actively cover these companies, which means that a scorecard and a target price based on a DCF model are defined for each stock and ESG scores are also included in the company's factsheet and in our equity stockguide.

The ESG analysis is also shared with the equity research team, where ESG discussions can be considered in the investment decision in other products apart from Ethical Fund, to manage risks and improve profitability in our regular equity committees.

(A) Implementation: Screening

| LEI 06 | Mandatory | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
| LEI 06.1 | Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities. | |

Type of screening
Negative/exclusionary screening

Positive/best-in-class screening

**Screened by**
- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Each company is researched in ESG issues. Under a "sectorial best-in-class approach", we rank all companies analysed. We analyse each one considering the risks and impacts of activities (for that respect see the answer in LEI 03.02) and the company is only approved if: 1) all essential criteria in each of the six dimensions analysed are met; and 2) company score is above or equal to the sector average. Example: Company X has 3.4 ESG score in a sector which the average is 3. Company X is approved. Company Y has 2.0 ESG score in the same sector. So, it is reproofed. Only Company X can be part of our ESG portfolios. Until December 2014, we covered 182 companies that resulted in 79 companies approved, from which 79 can be invested in our ESG equity portfolios while 100 are technically eligible for our fixed income products.

We analyse on average 5 companies per month, totaling approximately 60 companies per year. We also follow information of all the companies analysed in a weekly basis. The ESG priority is established firstly by the equity team interests in some companies and secondly by the analysis done in the past no longer than two years. IPOs also are considered urgent, as well as all the corporate fixed income targeted by the credit analysts. |

Norms-based screening

**LEI 06.2**

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

The flow of information is as follows:

1) each company has a questionnaire structured in six dimensions (Product's Nature, Management & Transparency, Corporate Governance, Environment, Stakeholder Relationship and Risk Management), each one containing essential criteria that automatically reproofs the company if it is not achieved. Each dimension is evaluated based on company public information (we do not send any form for the company to fill, we fill by ourselves).

2) Based on the score for each dimension and weights defined by the sector, we rank the company scorecard from 0 to 5 (5 means highest level of sustainability).

3) a sector scorecard is used to get the sector average and only companies with a score above the sector average are approved.

4) a matrix with a detailed scorecard is sent to portfolio managers and it is strictly controlled by internal control area.

5) the material of recently approved companies is immediately sent by email to our independent Advisory Board to ratify in a fast track process (the Board members have three days to respond - ratifying the score or, if not, justifying their position. At this moment, the score is defined by consensus. And finally, during Advisory Board's quarterly meetings, all the analysis are reviewed by members to define and ratify the final decision.

For more details about our screening process, see LEI 03.2.
LEI 07.1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies’ activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out.
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 1

LEI 11.1 Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESG issues</th>
<th>Coverage/extent of review on these issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>☑ We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ We do not review environmental issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>☑ We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ We do not review social issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Governance</td>
<td>☑ We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ We do not review corporate governance issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Besides the methodology applied for each company, we regularly follow environmental, social and governance information or critical issues (from newspaper, ESG specialized sites, among others). Depending on information disclosed, we need to re-evaluate the company score, or discuss with Advisory Board the need for changing status.

### Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEI 18</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 2.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEI 18.1</td>
<td>Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ We disclose it publicly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 18.2</td>
<td>Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 18.3</td>
<td>Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Broad approach to ESG incorporation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 18.4</td>
<td>Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Quarterly or more frequently</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Between quarterly and annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Less frequently than annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other, specify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other, specify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEI 18.7</td>
<td>Additional information. [Optional]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG incorporation strategy is disclosed also if client requires.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Santander Brasil Asset Management
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Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.
ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Fixed Income - Corporate

Implementation processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FI 04</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Gateway/Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FI 04.1**

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed corporate fixed income investments; and, (2) the breakdown of your actively managed corporate fixed income investments by incorporation strategy or combination of strategies.

**ESG incorporation strategy** (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies
- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of active corporate fixed income to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total actively managed fixed income - corporate**

100%

**FI 04.2**

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular incorporation strategy.

In the fixed income analysis, ESG in-house methodology is used to evaluate 100% of corporate fixed income in the primary and secondary markets being discussed by our credit analysts, eligible for our fixed income funds. The methodology used to evaluate the corporate fixed income securities is the same used in the equity investments.

We use the company’s score to define a percentage premium in our participation in the bookbuilding. The range of percentage premium is from 0% to 10%, related to the spread between company SRI score and the sector average.

Since we apply for corporate fixed income the same in house ESG methodology for equity investments, please see further details described in LEI 03.2 and LEI 06.1 and LEI 06.2.
(A) Implementation: Screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FI 06</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Descriptive</th>
<th>PRI 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FI 06.1</strong></td>
<td>Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active corporate fixed income investments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type of screening**
- □ Negative/exclusionary screening
- ☑ Positive/best-in-class screening

**Screened by**
- ☑ Product
- ☑ Activity
- ☑ Sector
- □ Country/geographic region
- ☑ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☑ Corporate governance

**Description**
(since we apply the same methodology for both Equities and Fixed income, see LEI 06.1)
- □ Norms-based screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FI 06.2</th>
<th>Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(since we apply the same methodology for both Equities and Fixed income, see LEI 06.2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FI 07</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Core Assessed</th>
<th>PRI 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening in corporate fixed income is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive research is undertaken or sourced to determine issuers’ ESG performance
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify issuers to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Information on ESG issues and/or ratings is updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct ESG research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Additional information. [Optional]

As described previously, the same criteria used for Listed Equities is also applied for Fixed Income.

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in all fixed income investments.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries