



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason Gateway	Principle PRI 1,2,3
<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company related ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company related ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific</li> </ul>		
<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Collaborative engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company related ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company related ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific</li> </ul>		
<p><b>Type of engagement</b> Service provider engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company related ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company related ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific</li> </ul>		

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2014/15

### SAS Trustee Corporation

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Private							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Private		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

# SAS Trustee Corporation

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

**OO 01.1** Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation or endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 02.1** Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

**OO 02.2** Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

**OO 02.3** Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

56

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

**OO 03.1** Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No



**OO 03.3** Additional information. [Optional]

STC owns a financial planning company, which is not a PRI signatory. However, the organisation broadly adheres to the Principles given the influence at board level of a number of STC's board members.

**OO 04** **Mandatory** Gateway/Peering General

**OO 04.1** Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

30/06/2014

**OO 04.2** Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		40	259	125	704
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD		37	412	832	927

**OO 04.5** Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

**OO 06** **Mandatory** Descriptive General

**OO 06.1** To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	53
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	8
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	1
Private equity	0	2

Property	0	8
Infrastructure	0	10
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	14
Other (1), specify	0	4
Other (2), specify	0	0

'Other (1)' specified

Multi-asset and absolute return strategies. These are non-benchmark strategies, where the manager is given the same risk and returns objectives as STC.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges
- Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10

Mandatory

Gateway

General

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 11

Mandatory

Gateway

General

**OO 11.2**

Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – government
- Private debt
- Private equity
- Property
- Infrastructure
- Cash
- Other (1)
- None of the above

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]

Multi-asset and absolute return strategies. These are non-benchmark strategies, where the manager is given the same risk and returns objectives as STC.

**OO 12****Mandatory****Gateway****General****OO 12.1**

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

*This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.*

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

**RI implementation via external managers**

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Property
- Infrastructure
- Other (1)

**Closing module**

Closing module

# SAS Trustee Corporation

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.3** Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

One of STC's Investment Beliefs is that improvements relating to ESG will enhance the long-term performance of the Fund to the benefit of STC's stakeholders, which are members and employer groups. The major stakeholder is the State Government of New South Wales.

In considering how to engage on issues relating to ESG, STC will use the following framework to set priorities:  
**Principles and Policy:** assess to what extent an issue is supported by STC's Investment Beliefs or other investment policies.

**Materiality:** does the issue have the potential to impact portfolio risk or return?

**Capacity:** does STC have the expertise and resources to influence an outcome?

**Likelihood of success:** to what extent can STC influence an outcome or partner with other organisations to achieve an outcome?

STC's ESG policy is framed around the six principles for responsible investment.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

**OA 02.2** Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.statesuper.nsw.gov.au>

- No

**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
- No

**OA 03** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

**OA 04** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **General**

**OA 04.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- Yes

**OA 04.2** Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

**Code of Conduct:**

Board members may face conflicts of interest in performing their official duties and responsibilities whilst on the Board of STC. In such cases, disclosures must be made at the first available opportunity to the Chairperson for a decision as to what action should be taken to avoid or deal with the conflict. The Chairperson will make such disclosures to the Board.

Any conflicts or potential conflicts raised at a Board or Committee meeting must be recorded in the Board or Committee minutes and, in a summarised form, in the Disclosure of Pecuniary Interests register, together with the actions taken.

Staff may face conflicts of interest in performing their official duties and

responsibilities whilst at STC. In such cases, disclosures must be made at the first available opportunity to the appropriate manager or CEO for a decision as to what action should be taken to avoid or deal with the conflict. In the case of the CEO, disclosure will be made to the Chairperson.

The term 'conflict of interest' refers to situations where a conflict arises between your public duty and private interest which could influence the performance of your official duties and responsibilities. Such conflict generally involves opposing principles or incompatible wishes or needs.

Conflicts of interest can involve pecuniary interests (i.e., financial interests or other material benefits or costs) or non-pecuniary interests.

You should promptly, fully and appropriately disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest you may have in a matter under consideration to STC's General Counsel. In the case of the General Counsel, disclosure will be made to the CEO.

Where this conflict involves the interests of a person's family or friends, those interests should be disclosed to the extent they are known.

You should also bring to notice any circumstances that could result in a third party reasonably perceiving a conflict of interest to exist (i.e. wherever a reasonable person could perceive that you may not bring an impartial and unprejudiced mind to the making of a decision due to an actual or perceived conflict of interest or bias).

Such disclosures must be made at the first available opportunity.

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 05.1** Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

No

## Governance and human resources

OA 08	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 08.1** Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.



Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - Heads of strategy, equities & unlisted**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

**OA 08.2** Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

0

**OA 08.3** Additional information. [Optional]

We want the whole investment department to adopt, implement and manage in accordance with STC's ESG policy. The investment team needs to share common work values with regards to ESG. It is not a responsibility that can be delegated to one person or a consultant.

## Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

### Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association  
 Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia  
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced
- CDP Climate Change  
 CDP Forests  
 CDP Water  
 CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity  
 Council of Institutional Investors (CII)  
 Eumedion  
 Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)  
 Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)  
 Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)  
 Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)  
 Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)  
 International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic  
 Moderate  
 Advanced
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes
- No

# SAS Trustee Corporation

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

SAM 02

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SAM 02.1

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

SAM 02.2

Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class

Listed Equity (LE)

Infrastructure (INF)

We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

Asset class

Listed Equity (LE)

Infrastructure (INF)

We use fiduciary managers

SAM 02.3

Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants

Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them

Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring

We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

No

SAM 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

The asset consultant is a signatory to PRI and this was looked favourably upon when the contract was re-negotiated.

SAM 03

Mandatory

Gateway

General

**SAM 03.1**

Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

#### Overview

**SAM 05**
**Mandatory**
**Gateway**
**PRI 1,2**
**SAM 05.1**

Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

**Active investment strategies**

Active investment strategies	LE		
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**Passive investment strategies**

<b>Passive investment strategies</b>	<b>LE</b>		
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 05.2** Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**SAM 05.3** Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

**SAM 05.4** Additional information. [Optional]

STC engages via ACSI or it external managers.

**Selection**

<b>SAM 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**SAM 06.1**

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

General

	LE		
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ESG incorporation



	LE		
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Evaluate index providers' ESG incorporation when designing the index	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Engagements

	LE		
Discuss the managers' engagement processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in influencing companies' ESG practices and performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss how information gained through engagement is incorporated into investment decision-making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other engagement issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

(Proxy) voting

	<b>LE</b>
Discuss the managers' voting processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through research for (proxy) voting is used in investment-decision making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (proxy) voting issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Monitoring

<b>SAM 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>SAM 08.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
-----------------	---

	General
--	---------

	LE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted risk or volatility	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## ESG incorporation

	LE		
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## Engagements

	LE	
Review the ESG information relevant to the engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the number of engagements and their comprehensiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the type of role played (i.e. leading or supporting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Review the progress of ongoing engagements and/or outcomes of completed engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Other ways you monitor engagement activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Review the number or percentage of votes cast	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request an explanation of reasons for votes cast	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss whether companies were informed of the reasons for votes against management recommendations or abstentions/withheld votes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of resolutions on ESG issues filed or co-filed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the changes in company practice (outcomes) that have been achieved from voting activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor (proxy) voting activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

In terms of changes/enhancements to how STC manages ESG risk:

- A more explicit ESG clause has been incorporated into the IMA's which requires that the Manager give appropriate regard to ESG factors in the management of the STC account.
- A list of companies and subsidiaries classified as being involved in the development or production of cluster bombs and munitions are to be excluded from being held in the equities mandate.
- A clause in the IMA's which restricts investments in companies or other assets which are associated with cluster munitions, chemical weapons, biological weapons and anti-personnel mines. (clause quoted in section SAM 7.2)
- An ex-tobacco equity benchmark has been implemented to restrict investment managers from investing in tobacco related stocks.

- STC have requested that managers incorporate ESG comments and/or updates at the quarterly meetings (or include an update in their report)
- STC actively engage with managers on proxies where our economic benefit is at risk

SAM 09	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

SAM 09.1	For the listed equities where you have given your external managers a (proxy) voting mandate, indicate the approximate percentage (+/- 5%) of votes that were cast during the reporting year.
----------	---

We track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 5%)
--------------------------------

%
---

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--

- Of the total number of ballot items on which they could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which they could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which they could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

## Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets

### Selection

SAM 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

SAM 10.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
----------	---

General
---------

			<b>INF</b>
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet			<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation			<input type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above			<input type="checkbox"/>

#### Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

			<b>INF</b>
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above			<input type="checkbox"/>

#### Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

			<b>INF</b>
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies'/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above			<input type="checkbox"/>

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

The internal investment team engage with managers to understand how they approach governance in relation to infrastructure assets.

We receive expert reports in relation to environmental matters and other relevant ESG issues.

There is significant negotiations and focus on governance principles by the investment team.

There is detailed discussion in every investment proposal put to the Investment Committee.

Manager quarterly reports specifically address ESG for all infrastructure assets. This is closely monitored.

The investment team ensures that Board agenda's, for each asset, addresses relevant ESG matters.

## Monitoring

<b>SAM 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1-6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>SAM 12.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:
-----------------	--

General
---------

			INF
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers			<input type="checkbox"/>
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors			<input type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted financial performance			<input type="checkbox"/>
Request information on whether your manager's approach to ESG issues has impacted ESG performance			<input type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify			<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above			<input type="checkbox"/>

#### Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

			INF
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify			<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above			<input type="checkbox"/>

#### Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding



			<b>INF</b>
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund			<input type="checkbox"/>
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify			<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above			<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>SAM 12.2</b>	Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's dialogue and monitoring of external managers. [Optional]
-----------------	---

Request for ESG issues to be included in quarterly reports.

## Communication

<b>SAM 16</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>SAM 16.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.
-----------------	---

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# SAS Trustee Corporation

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

ACSI's member funds are united by the beliefs that:

- The short-term outlook of many factors in the investment system means that today's market prices may not capture all of the risks and opportunities inherent in the value of companies.
- Responsible exercise of ownership rights by long-term asset owners can assist in improving that value and consequent investment return outcomes for their members.
- The financial system is not always set up in the best long term interests of beneficiaries.

ACSI's mission is, therefore, to enhance sustainable long term value for the retirement savings that are entrusted to our Members as fiduciary institutional investors. ACSI achieves this by representing the collective rights and interests of Members through influencing companies, investors, government and opinion leaders.

## Process

### Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,4

LEA 07.1

Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

Yes

LEA 07.2

Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Specify the issues for the engagement
- Specify the objectives for the engagement
- Select the companies to be engaged with
- Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
- Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
- Other, specify

STC's CEO is on the Board of ACSI

No

LEA 07.3

Additional information. [Optional]

ACSI members, together with ACSI management, identify issues for engagement on an annual basis. Monitoring and review are done semiannually via progress reports and presentations.

While members do not "specify" the particular objectives for the engagement or companies to be engaged with, they do approve ACSI's choices.

Members also attend a number of engagements each year alongside ACSI staff. In many cases, several members attend a single meeting.

LEA 08

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

**LEA 08.2** Additional information. [Optional]

As a Board member of ACSI, STC looks closely at the role of ACSI and the value added by the organisation. STC monitors that the objectives of ACSI are in line with its own objectives. It is important to maintain confidence that ACSI can deliver long-term improvements through the activities undertaken.

ACSI presents progress reports and presentations semiannually as part of meetings of the ACSI Member Council, which is attended by all "full members" of ACSI. This forum is the formal opportunity to discuss ACSI's engagement activities. Members are also able to discuss activities on an ad hoc basis with ACSI staff.

**General processes for all three groups of engagers**

<b>LEA 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

**LEA 10.1** Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

**LEA 10.2** Additional information. [Optional]

ACSI provides semiannual engagement updates

**Communication**

<b>LEA 15</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 15.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.
-----------------	--

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

<b>LEA 15.8</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Given STC is a government entity public disclosure is not appropriate.

## (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

### Process

<b>LEA 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 17.1</b>	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
-----------------	---

	Approach
--	----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

	Based primarily on
--	--------------------

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
  - Our fund managers are allowed to vote in accordance with their own voting policy. The policy is reviewed but not signed off by STC.
  - We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

<b>LEA 17.2</b>	Additional information.[Optional]
-----------------	-----------------------------------

ACSI's voting advice is based on a set of Governance Guidelines which were specifically and collaboratively created by ACSI members to represent their interests. The Guidelines are used by management to arrive at their voting recommendations.

<b>LEA 18</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 18.1</b>	Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate what percentage your organisation reviewed, and the reasons for the review.
-----------------	---

**Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed**

- >20%
- 5-20%
- 1-5%
- <1%

**Reasons for review**

- Specific ESG issues
- Votes where a significant level of ownership is held
- Votes against management or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- Other, explain

<b>LEA 21</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 21.1</b>	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

<b>LEA 21.2</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

STC does not engage directly with companies. Its legislation does not permit that. STC's managers will generally discuss voting decisions with companies.

**Outputs and outcomes**

<b>LEA 22</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 22.1</b>	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

100 %

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

**LEA 22.2** If there are specific reasons why you did not vote certain holdings, explain these, and if possible, indicate the percentage of holdings affected by these factors. [Optional]

STC's active Australian equity managers are required to vote all STC's holdings. The 100% relates to this allocation rather than the total equity holding. The international equity managers are not mandated to vote as yet. STC is currently discussing how to make compulsory voting part of the mandates for its international equity managers.

- We do not track or collect this information

## Communication

<b>LEA 26</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**LEA 26.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries