



LEA 02	Disclosures Monetary	Reason Gateway	Principle PRI 1,2,3
<p>Type of engagement Individual/internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service provider <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific 		
<p>Type of engagement Collaborative engagements</p>			
<p>Type of engagement Service provider engagements</p>			

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2014/15

Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2014-15 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Private							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01

Mandatory

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02

Mandatory

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Switzerland

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

1310

OO 02.4	Additional information. [Optional]
---------	------------------------------------

n/a

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2014

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on, and advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		98	653	637	351
Currency	CHF				
Assets in USD		107	185	510	827

OO 04.5 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income – corporate	10-50%	0
Fixed income – government	<10%	0

Fixed income – other	<10%	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	<10%	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	<10%	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	10-50%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

	'Other (1)' specified
--	-----------------------

Convertibles

- Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- None of the above

OO 10.3

Additional information. [Optional]

2014 was the first year of effective application of UBP's Responsible Investment (RI) policy which has been in place since March 1, 2014. This policy is currently applicable for internally managed funds of the following asset classes: Global Equity, European Equity, Swiss Equity, Convertibles and Fixed Income. Effective March 1, 2014, we have implemented an on-going screening for controversies on our investable universe and we engage on selected cases identified during our screening process and that appear to fail to live up to the standards included in our RI policy. To support us in the screening and engagement processes, we have selected GES, a leading global engagement manager who is also a specialist research provider. Moreover, UBP uses the voting rights attached to investment whenever possible through an industry leading third party voting service, ISS.

An exclusion list is in place. The criteria of exclusion are based on implication of a company in the development, manufacturing or selling of cluster munitions.

OO 11

Mandatory

Gateway

General

OO 11.1

Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Fixed Income – other
- Private equity
- Commodities
- Hedge funds
- Cash
- Other (1)
- None of the above

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]

Convertibles

OO 12

Mandatory

Gateway

General

OO 12.1

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below.

This list is based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. You are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may

report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Fixed Income and Infrastructure are voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government

Closing module

- Closing module

OO 12.2 Additional information. [Optional]

n/a

Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

UBP has committed to integrate environmental, social and corporate governance considerations for a set of selected funds including Global Equity, European Equity, Swiss Equity, Convertibles and Fixed Income. UBP's Responsible Investment (RI) policy is in place since early 2014 and is currently applicable for internally managed funds of the asset classes referenced above. The policy outlines the general framework of meeting our obligations related to socially responsible investments, covering our approach to ESG analysis including screening, engagement and proxy voting as well as our reporting obligations.

Actions and results from our Responsible Investment policy are reviewed regularly by UBP Responsible Investment Committee (See Section OA 07.1).

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes
 No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
 No

OA 02.5 Additional information. [Optional]

UBP's Responsible Investment policy and other documents relevant to understand UBP's ESG integration approach are made available upon request.

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 03.1

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify Thematic (fund + mandates) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 03.2

Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]

UBP's Responsible Investment policy is applicable for internally managed funds of the following asset classes: Global Equity, European Equity, Swiss Equity, Convertibles and Fixed Income. Our screening, engagement and exclusion processes are applicable for those asset classes, whereas our proxy voting policy is only applicable to our internally managed Swiss Equity, Global Equity and European Equity funds.

OA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Back in 2012, UBP launched the "Convertibles Europe Responsible" fund under a French SICAV structure. As a first step, the investment universe is filtered according to an SRI Ranking Matrix grade, with extensive exclusion criteria on Alcohol, Tobacco, Gambling, Genetic Engineering, Pornography, Prostitution, Atomic energy, Weapons and Human rights. Then the fund has a best-in-class approach that seeks to select the best elements in each sector and incorporates 6 main criteria and 45 sub-criteria.

Moreover, UBP's Emerging Markets Fixed Income team incorporates ESG issues into the research and investment process of segregated accounts on request by clients. The team has experience in defining and applying ESG filters on a country level. This includes defining exclusion criteria (e.g. corruption, political freedom); selecting relevant indicators and setting exclusion thresholds. UBP also has experience in constructing portfolios under ESG criteria. This includes country, issuer and bond selection, as well as defining and adjusting performance benchmarks in accordance with the ESG criteria.

OA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

General

OA 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
[Optional]

UBP has developed a "conflicts of interest" policy implementing organisational, administrative and other measures in order to identify, prevent and manage potential conflicts of interest. UBP Senior Management is responsible for ensuring that these measures are applied. Specific tasks in the handling of conflicts of interest are carried out by Financial Control, Risk Management, the Compliance department, the Legal department, and Private Banking.

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

No

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
CEO and COO Institutional Clients

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

OA 08.2	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]
----------------	---

	Number
--	--------

5

OA 08.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

UBP Responsible Investment Committee (RICO) is composed of the Head of Investment Management, the Head of Institutional Clients, the COO of Institutional Clients and the Responsible Investment Officer, and is responsible to set the action plan, regularly review and assess those actions, ensure adequate resources are allocated and reporting duties are properly carried out.

UBP's dedicated Responsible Investment Officer is responsible for the oversight and the proper implementation of UBP's Responsible Investment policy.

UBP's internal investment teams such as the Convertible Bonds team, the Global & Absolute Return Fixed Income team, the Emerging Markets Fixed Income team, the Swiss and Global Equity team and the Europe Equity team are involved in the implementation of UBP's Responsible Investment policy.

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

OA 10.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Philius Foundation

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

UBP became a founding member of Philias in 2000. Philias is a foundation set up to create community partnership between companies and local social organisations. Philias Foundation's principal mission is to promote corporate social response and to help partners integrate this into their business. Philias sets up dialogues between the various social partners and looks at the best match what companies have to offer with the needs of local organisations.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

- Yes

OA 11.2	Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

- No

Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities


Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------


LEI 03.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.
-----------------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 33
--	---

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Percentage of active listed equity to which no incorporation strategy is applied - you may (estimate +/- 10%)	 67
---	--

	Total actively managed listed equities
--	--

100%

LEI 03.2	Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.
-----------------	---

We consider strong or improving corporate governance and capacity to manage and mitigate social and environmental risks as important factors to increase the sustainability of the CFROI profiles of our investments.

LEI 03.3

Where assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

Along to the company meetings where ESG considerations are actively addressed, on an on-going basis, the portfolio managers of the asset classes listed above consider the research results as provided by GES through a web-based and secured GES tool.

On an aggregated level, the Responsible Investment Officer provides a summary of all ESG issues encountered in the respective funds (for the asset classes listed above) on a monthly basis. Results are communicated accordingly to the portfolio managers and the RICO.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

As a signatory of the UN Principles for Responsible Investment, and in light of Switzerland's ratification of the Convention on Cluster Munitions and the subsequent changes to Swiss law, UBP amended its policies and guidelines pertaining to controversial weapons and put in place an Exclusion List.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify
see below

Description

In order to incorporate efficiently the ESG criteria into our investment process, UBP has selected a third party specialist research provider, Global Engagement Services ("GES"), to perform an on-going screening for controversies on the investable universe of our selected asset classes. This screening is based on a systematic assessment of companies' compliance with international conventions (e.g. UN Global Compact, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, etc.).

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------	---

When selecting its ESG data providers, UBP has carefully assessed the procedures, capacities and level of expertise of the companies shortlisted.

GES' screening criteria are based on a systematic assessment of companies' compliance with international conventions (e.g. UN Global Compact, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, etc.), with extensive research on specific ESG risks& opportunities in each sector.

Criteria are reviewed on a regular basis, approximately once a year.

Application of the exclusion list is another aspect of our screening approach. The criteria of exclusion are based on implication of a company in the development, the manufacturing or the selling of cluster munitions. It is compliant with the Dutch legislation on cluster munitions.

We do not exclude controversial weapons on the broad level, but only companies linked to cluster munitions. The definition of cluster munitions we apply is as per the Convention on Cluster Munitions.

UBP RICO reviews the exclusion list on a regular and if needed adhoc basis, and decides on all changes.

Clients are informed about changes upon request.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
 - Under the RICO supervision, annual revision and enhancement of the criteria of UBP ESG framework is performed.
- None of the above

LEI 07.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Our partner GES has been certified according to ARISTA 3.0., a voluntary Responsible Investment Research Standard since 2007.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEI 18.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

LEI 18.7 Additional information. [Optional]

UBP's Responsible Investment Policy is in place since March 1, 2014. As part of this policy, we will publish an annual report on our portfolio screening / engagement methodology and results as well as a summary of our investment activities in relation to ESG considerations.

We disclose our approach to ESG incorporation to clients or prospects willing to learn more about it, and upon their specific request.

Union Bancaire Privée, UBP SA

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
- No

LEA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Company engagement by internal staff is initiated when deemed appropriate as a result of an Investment Team's research process.

Our Investment Management teams are independent one from another, and they each have developed a specific investment process and style, which prove to be tailored to their asset class and investment purposes. However they share ideas and views, in dedicated internal forums and via online tools.

LEA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
- No

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA 04.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

Via the ongoing review and monitoring of the company's performance & outcomes, supplemented by the reports from GES when the company falls within GES coverage.

- No

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,4

LEA 07.1

Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Yes

LEA 07.2

Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Specify the issues for the engagement
- Specify the objectives for the engagement
- Select the companies to be engaged with
- Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
- Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
- Other, specify

No

LEA 07.3

Additional information. [Optional]

GES' engagement process is based on the findings from systematic screening of companies regarding their compliance to current international conventions on ESG issues. Having access to all the research data, we regularly check the coverage and the relevance of engagement activities with regard to our funds in scope of the RI policy.

Through GES' regular reporting and also via its online tool, we check the progress on the different engagement programmes.

LEA 08**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2,6****LEA 08.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEA 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

On a quarterly basis, UBP receives reporting on the engagement activities carried out by GES and checks progress on the different engagement programmes.

Moreover, investment professionals and the RI officer all have access to GES online tool and analysts to discuss / monitor any specific point with regard to engagement.

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input checked="" type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

LEA 10.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Through quarterly reporting GES provides full disclosure on the number of engagements conducted on our behalf.

Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 15.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 15.5 Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 15.6 | Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
upon demand only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

LEA 15.8 | Additional information. [Optional]

Upon demand, UBP provides an annual review of its engagement activities and the progress that has been made by the companies we are engaged with. This report contains the details of the selected engagement cases, the reasons for engagement and the activities conducted such as meetings, written correspondence or conference calls as well as important developments with the companies concerned.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 18	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 18.1	Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate what percentage your organisation reviewed, and the reasons for the review.
-----------------	---

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- >20%
- 5-20%
- 1-5%
- <1%

Reasons for review

- Specific ESG issues
- Votes where a significant level of ownership is held
- Votes against management or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- Other, explain

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Our service provider ISS is currently developing a range of services designed to help clients inform companies of the rationale when abstaining or voting against management resolutions.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

%

89

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 26.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 26.5 Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 26.6 Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
on an adhoc basis

We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries