



LEA 02	Objective: Mandatory	Reason for Interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for a transition plan</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for a transition plan</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> </ul>	
Collaborative engagements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for a transition plan</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> </ul>		
Service provider engagements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for a transition plan</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers</li> </ul>		

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2013/14

Alphinity Investment Management Limited

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

## PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	-	n/a							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	-	n/a							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	-	n/a	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	-	n/a	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	-	n/a							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	-	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

# Alphinity Investment Management Limited

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

<10%

10-50%

>50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory only services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

1

2-5

6-10

>10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

5

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

**OO 04.1** | Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

30/06/2013

**OO 04.2** | Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		5	830	000	000
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD		5	266	232	336

**OO 04.3** | Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

**OO 06.1** | To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	100	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0



Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 10.1**

Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 12.1**

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation
--------------------------------------

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

Engagements

(Proxy) voting

**Closing module**

Closing module

**Note:** Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

# Alphinity Investment Management Limited

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.3** Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

Alphinity rund two types of equity investment strategies:

- a) Socially Responsible Share Fund which is constrained by "ethical" considerations (negative screen of some industries and an active effort to invest in companies with high sustainability characteristics) and
- b) unconstrained general equity strategies which take ESG factors into account when assesing and valuing investee companies but do not rule companies in or out based on ESG factors alone.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

**OA 02.2** Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.fidante.com.au/im/AlphinitySRSF.htm>

- No

**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
- No

**OA 02.5** Additional information. [Optional]

pages 4 and 5 of the linked document describe the Fund restrictions

**OA 03** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

**OA 04** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **General**

**OA 04.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- Yes

Section 912A(1)(aa) of the Corporations Act requires Alphinity to have in place adequate arrangements for the management of conflicts of interest that may arise wholly, or partially, in relation to activities undertaken by it or its representatives in the provision of financial services as part of its financial services business. ASIC Regulatory Guide 181 sets out ASIC's general approach and expectations in relation to compliance with this obligation.

Our Policy aims to ensure that:

- Alphinity maintains the integrity of its advice and services to clients;
- Alphinity and its Representatives act efficiently, honestly and fairly;
- Alphinity and its Representatives comply with all relevant financial services laws, ASIC Policy and the requirements of Alphinity's AFSL; and
- Alphinity and its Representatives comply with their agency and fiduciary obligations under the common law.

A conflict of interest arises when an interest of a client is inconsistent with, or diverges from, an interest of Alphinity or its staff. It includes actual, apparent and potential conflicts of interest.

Competing interests may occur between:

- Alphinity and a client or clients;
- A Representative and a client or clients; or
- Two or more clients of Alphinity.

How do we ensure that conflicts of interest are appropriately managed?

The Board of Directors of Alphinity is committed to ensuring the adequacy of Alphinity's conflicts of interest arrangements and has appointed the Compliance Manager as the responsible person for implementing, reviewing and updating these arrangements as per the Policy. Alphinity manages its conflicts in accordance with a three-step conflicts management process that involves:

#### 1. Identifying conflict situations

A Register sets out those conflicts that have already been identified as those most likely to arise during the conduct of our business. All staff are required to advise a Director of Alphinity should they consider that a new conflict of interest has arisen. Upon review and consideration, the Policy and Conflicts Register will be updated as required. This includes a requirement that all staff declare any outside Directorships and business interests to the Directors, so that the Board can make an assessment of the likely impacts for Alphinity, and how the conflict should be addressed.

New clients and business proposals: Whenever Alphinity is entering into arrangements with a new client, or any other third party, the Board must consider, as part of the business proposal, whether any conflicts of interest may arise, and if so, how they are to be managed.

Annual review: The Board will review this Policy and the Conflicts register generally on an annual basis, and update it where considered necessary.

#### 2. Assessing the likelihood of the conflict compromising the quality of the financial services provided by Alphinity

Once identified, a conflict situation will be assessed by a Director to determine its ability to significantly compromise the quality of the services provided to clients. The quality of a financial service is significantly compromised if the financial service is of materially lesser quality than the Company would have been likely to provide had it

not been subject to the relevant conflict of interest' (ASIC Regulatory Guide 181).

### 3. Appropriately responding to the conflict situation

Once the impact of a conflict situation has been assessed, an appropriate response must be developed and implemented. An appropriate response will depend on the facts and circumstances surrounding the conflict situation. In determining which of the above responses (or combination thereof) is most appropriate, the following test should be applied: "Does the proposed response neutralise the negative effect of the conflict on the quality of the financial service provided to the client?" Where the answer to the above question is "yes", the proposed response is sufficient. Further action must be taken by Alphinity where the answer is "no".

Details of the conflict situation, and the proposed method of neutralising it, must then be recorded in the Conflicts Register.

#### The Conflicts Register

It is intended that the document continually evolve as conflicts are identified and managed by Alphinity. This document is maintained by the Compliance Manager.

#### Reporting to the Board

At each Board meeting, any new conflict situations that have arisen will be reported, along with the steps if any that have been taken to manage the conflict.

#### Adequate disclosure of Conflicts of Interest

If the Compliance Manager decides that Alphinity will manage a conflict of interest, Alphinity must disclose such conflict to the client(s) involved. Disclosure should ideally take place prior to Alphinity accepting any engagement to act for that client, or prior to providing that particular client with the work requested. Where prior disclosure is not possible, Alphinity must disclose such conflict as soon as reasonably practicable.

Disclosures must be made in writing, refer to the specific conflict in question, and:

- address any specific risks that may arise in relation to the conflict;
- set out any Representative remuneration if such remuneration includes commission;
- set out the measures Alphinity currently has in place, or intends to take, in order to minimise the risks of the conflict; and
- set out all other issues that may be material to the client.

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

No

## Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

**OA 08.1**

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

**Roles present in your organisation**

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

**OA 08.3**

Additional information. [Optional]

We consider all staff integral to the execution of responsible investment. This function is not devolved to any one person or team.

**Promoting responsible investment**
**OA 10**
**Mandatory**
**Core Assessed**
**PRI 4,5**



**OA 10.1**

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 11**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 4**

**OA 11.1**

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

**OA 11.2**

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

- No

# Alphinity Investment Management Limited

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

### Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

**LEI 03.1**

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	 99
--	--------

- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	 1
--	-------

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

**LEI 03.2**

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

The Socially Responsible Share portfolio has mandated industry exclusions in addition to which Alphinity has chosen to make an extra assessment of a company's suitability on the basis of sustainability scoring.

<b>LEI 03.3</b>	If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, describe briefly how these are used in combination. [Optional]
-----------------	---

The portfolios without a Socially Responsible remit (ie general equity funds) utilise ESG in assessing the fundamental value of the company. This might include specific financial impacts, a risk adjustment to valuation methodologies, or a blanket exclusion based on risk or sustainability.

**(A) Implementation: Screening**

<b>LEI 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEI 06.1</b>	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
-----------------	--

**Type of screening**

Negative/exclusionary screening

**Screened by**

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

<b>Description</b>
--------------------

For the Socially Responsible Share Fund only - other Funds are not screened

Positive/best-in-class screening

**Screened by**

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

For the Socially Responsible Share Fund only - other Funds are not formally screened

Norms-based screening

<b>LEI 06.2</b>	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
-----------------	---

Negative screens are mandated by the Fund's directors. Efforts in addition to that are informally decided on by investment the team as information comes to hand, including .  
 Positive screen: the the team seeks out companies which have a particularly positive impact on E, S, G or sustainability (a combination of ESG)

<b>LEI 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEI 07.1</b>	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

<b>LEI 07.2</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

The SRSF portfolio is reviewed monthly by an external ESG research provider for compliance with the Fund's restrictions. It also assesses the composition of the fund for individual E, S and G factors, compares these with "the market" and arrives at a sustainability dividend index relative to the market

**(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues**

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEI 11.1** Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

**LEI 11.2** Additional information. [Optional]

E, S and G are all considered for all funds but applied most stringently in the Socially Responsible Share Fund. For unconstrained Funds, ESG factors are considered more vigorously where the issues have the potential to impact significantly on value.

## Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEI 18.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

**LEI 18.3** Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI  
18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify  
**when required**
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Alphinity Investment Management Limited

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

**LEA 02.1** Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 03.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☉ Yes

<b>LEA 03.2</b>	Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.
-----------------	---

We first identify companies that could be good investment prospects

We check our ESG database EIRIS to make sure we are aware of issues that should be taken into consideration when engaging with the company or arriving at our valuation

We do not tend to engage with companies we don't own or are not considering for investment

No

<b>LEA 04</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 04.1</b>	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.
-----------------	--

- Yes
  - Yes, for all engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- No

<b>LEA 04.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

<b>LEA 04.3</b>	Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.
-----------------	---

Some engagement is very definite and has a goal we seek to achieve. Some is more along the lines of encouraging a company to improve (business practices, reporting, disclosure etc) which is more likely to evolve over time

No

<b>Process for engagements conducted via collaborations</b>			
---	--	--	--

<b>LEA 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 05.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 05.3</b>	Additional information.[Optional]
-----------------	-----------------------------------

We are willing to collaborate with other investors but it is on a case-by-case basis. We have not to this point collaborated with others on engagements but are willing to where relevant to our share holdings and we judge it likely to be more effective than our own engagement alone

<b>LEA 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 06.1</b>	Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 06.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 06.4</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We are willing to collaborate however, to this point in the company's short history, have not yet collaborated

### Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

<b>LEA 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,4</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 07.1</b>	Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	---

- Yes
- No

<b>LEA 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 08.1</b>	Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider
-----------------	--

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

### General processes for all three groups of engagers

<b>LEA 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 10.1</b>	Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input checked="" type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input checked="" type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

### Outputs and outcomes

<b>LEA 11</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	--	----------------------	--------------

**LEA 11.1** Indicate the number of companies with which your organisation engaged during the reporting year.

	<b>Number of companies engaged</b> (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)
Individual / Internal staff engagements	45

**LEA 11.2** Indicate what percentage of your engagements were comprehensive during the reporting year. [Optional]

<b>Type of engagement</b>	<b>% Comprehensive engagements</b>
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> > 50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> None

**LEA 11.5** Additional information. [Optional]

We have engaged with all of the companies in which we have invested

## Communication

**LEA 15** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2,6**

**LEA 15.1** Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

**LEA 15.3** Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

## Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

will disclose any information our clients request

**LEA  
15.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify

as often as requested

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

## (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

### Process

**LEA 17**

**Mandatory**

**Descriptive**

**PRI 2**

**LEA  
17.1**

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

### Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

### Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
  - our own voting policy
  - our clients requests or policy
  - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
  - We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 17.2	Additional information.[Optional]
----------	-----------------------------------

We use two proxy advisors but ultimately make our own decision on voting. Any vote adverse to board recommendation is clearly raised with the company for clarification and to encourage better future corporate behaviour.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
----------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

We generally do not abstain from votes. The only circumstance where we might abstain is when we have sold out of a company between papers being issued and the vote being taken.

## Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

**Communication**

<b>LEA 26</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

**LEA 26.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

**LEA 26.6** Additional information. [Optional]

We disclose voting to a client on request