




UNEP Finance Initiative
 Changing finance, financing change


United Nations Global Compact

An investor initiative in partnership with UNEP Finance Initiative and UN Global Compact

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⌛	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Private							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	-	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	-	n/a		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public						✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
FI 02	Breakdown of investments by credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 03	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
FI 04	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
FI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
FI 11	Review of ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
FI 13	Incorporation of ESG issues into analysis and decision making	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
FI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
FI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
FI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓				✓	
FI 19	Engagement with corporate issuers	✓	Private		✓					
FI 20	Engagement with government issuers	🔒	n/a		✓					

Indirect - Inclusive Finance				Principle							General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
IFI 01	Percentage of externally managed assets in inclusive finance managed by PIIF signatories	✓	Private								✓
IFI 02	Due diligence policies and/or procedures for the selection of external investment managers	✓	Public								✓
IFI 03	Including issues referred to in the PIIF	✓	Public								✓
IFI 04	Monitoring managers' implementation of the PIIF	✓	Public								✓
IFI 05	Managers provide information in line with established industry standards	-	n/a								✓
IFI 06	Disclosure of approach to RI in inclusive finance	✓	Public								✓
IFI 07	Contributing to promotion of RI in inclusive finance	-	n/a								✓

Christian Super

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- ☒ Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- ☐ Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- ☐ Insurance company
- ☐ Foundation or endowment
- ☐ Development bank
- ☐ Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- ☐ Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- ☒ 1
- ☐ 2-5
- ☐ 6-10
- ☐ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

15

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

30/06/2013

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			743	456	802
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD			671	563	679

OO 04.3

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- ☒ Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- ☐ Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06**Mandatory****Descriptive****General****OO 06.1**

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- ☐ Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- ☒ Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	>50%
Fixed income – corporate	<10%	<10%
Fixed income – government	0	<10%
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	<10%
Private equity	0	<10%
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	<10%
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	<10%

Inclusive finance	0	<10%
Cash	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	0	<10%
Other (2), specify	0	0

	'Other (1)' specified
--	-----------------------

Social Impact Bond

☐ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

OO 08	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Peering	General
-------	---	---------	---------

OO 08.1	Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.
---------	--

Market breakdown	% of AUM
Developed Markets	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 %
Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50 %

OO 09	Voluntary	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 09.1

Provide any additional information about your organisation, its mission, strategies, activities or investments which are important to contextualise your responsible investment activities.

Christian Super...

- Profit-for-Members
- An Industry Fund
- Growing steadily (since 1984)
- 20,000+ members
- Default Fund for over 2,900 organisations across Australia
- \$720 Million investment portfolio
- Strong Christian focus
- Non-denominational

Our Vision...

- To see members living life with financial health and understanding

Our values ...

As a Christian financial services organisation, our core values are based on our desire to be consistently obedient to a Biblical understanding of finance.

- We display integrity through ethical dealings with our clients, our providers and ourselves
- We are relational and value 'people over process'
- We are professional in the products, people and processes of the organisation
- We are intentional and prudent in all our activities
- We are innovative as an organisation and individuals

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
OO 10.1	Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers		
	<input type="checkbox"/> None of the above		
OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General

OO 11.1

Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- ☒ Fixed income – corporate
- ☒ Cash
- ☐ None of the above

OO 11.2

Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- ☐ Fixed income – corporate
- ☒ Fixed income – government
- ☒ Private debt
- ☒ Private equity
- ☒ Property
- ☒ Infrastructure
- ☐ Hedge funds
- ☒ Farmland
- ☒ Inclusive finance
- ☒ Other (1)
- ☐ None of the above

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]

Social Impact Bond

OO 12**Mandatory****Gateway****General****OO 12.1**

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

Core modules

- ☒ Organisational Overview
- ☒ Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- ☒ Engagements
- ☒ (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- ☒ Fixed Income - Corporate

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- ☒ Listed Equities
- ☒ Fixed Income - Government
- ☒ Private Debt
- ☒ Private Equity
- ☒ Property
- ☒ Infrastructure
- ☒ Farmland
- ☒ Other (1)
- ☒ Inclusive Finance

Closing module

- ☒ Closing module

Note: Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

Christian Super

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

☒ Yes

☐ No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

☒ Yes

☐ No

OA 01.3 Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

Overall responsibility for implementation of the Fund's RI and ESG strategies lies with the board. The board has appointed Ethics and Investment committees which both fulfill oversight functions. Additionally, the Fund has engaged internal investment professionals to conduct research into ESG issues. The Fund maintains a wide range of Ethical Investment Policies on specific issues (such as Alcohol, Gambling, Uranium, Water Stewardship, etc). There are roughly 20 such policies. In addition the Fund maintains an overarching Ethical Investment Policy outlining our basic framework for implementing Ethical, Responsible and ESG criteria across all the Fund's investments. The policies are implemented through negative and positive screening, ESG integration and themed responsible investment opportunities. The Fund works closely with its investment managers to ensure that its ethical and responsible strategies are implemented.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

☒ Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.christiansuper.com.au/why-we-are-different/ethical/ei-charter>

☐ No

OA 02.3

Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ Yes, all
- ☒ Yes, some

OA 02.4

List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
Philosophical Ethical Framework	http://www.christiansuper.com.au/resources/publications/ethics-statements/philosophical-ethical-framework-gordon-preece
Screening Process	http://www.christiansuper.com.au/ethic-statements-resources/christian-super-screening-process
Ethical Policies (topical)	http://www.christiansuper.com.au/why-we-are-different/ethical/topical-positions

☐ No

OA 03

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2

OA 03.1

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

General

OA 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

☒ Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

In accordance with APRA Prudential Standard SPS 521 - Conflicts of Interest, as a Registrable Superannuation Entities, Christian Super has a board approved conflicts management framework. We consider effective management of conflicts can be achieved using a number of mechanisms. These are listed below in the order that reflects Christian Super's preferred method for dealing with such matters:

1. Avoiding conflicts of interest;
2. Controlling conflicts of interest; or
3. Disclosing conflicts of interest.

Accordingly responsible officers or employee must not engage in any situation, arrangement or transaction which gives rise to a conflict of interest, without the approval, either through provisions contained within the Conflicts Management Policy or express approval.

A responsible officer may have a conflict of interest or an interest in a related party transaction if, and only if, the Board, having been notified by the responsible officer in accordance with this framework's disclosure requirements, passes a resolution permitting the responsible officer to have that conflict of interest or an interest in that related party transaction.

☐ No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05**Mandatory****Gateway/Core Assessed****General****OA 05.1**

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

☒ Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- ☒ At least once per year
- ☐ Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- ☒ Quarterly
- ☐ Biannually
- ☐ Annually
- ☐ Every two years or less
- ☐ It is not reviewed

☐ No

OA 05.4

Additional information. [Optional]

One of the Key Performance Indicators measures the extent to which the Responsible Investment Policies are implemented by each manager across the portfolio.

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- ☒ Board members or trustees
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- ☒ Portfolio managers
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Investment analysts
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ External managers or service providers
 - ☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Other role, specify
- ☐ Other role, specify

OA 08.2

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

6

OA 08.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Given that Responsible Investment is implemented across entire team, we have included all analysts (except Risk& Performance Analyst). We have one dedicated ESG Research Analyst, and one Analyst with 50% time allocation to Impact Investing, in addition to the RI responsibilities of every member of the team.

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

☒ Yes

OA 10.2

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- ☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
- ☐ Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- ☒ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☒ CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☒ CDP Forests

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period
(see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced

☒ CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period
(see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced

- ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- ☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ☐ Eumedion
- ☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- ☒ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period
(see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced

- ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- ☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- ☒ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period
(see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced

- ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- ☒ Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period
(see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☒ Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Involved in providing extensive feedback on Reporting Framework, as well as heavy involvement in Small Funds Initiative and Environmental& Social Themed Work Stream.

☒ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify

RIAA

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
☒ Moderate
☐ Advanced

- ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☐ United Nations Global Compact
☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Christian Investors Forum

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Climate Institute Asset Owners Working Group

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

ESG RA

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
☐ Moderate
☒ Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

CIO involved on Executive Committee.

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

☐ Basic

☒ Moderate

☐ Advanced

☐ No

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

☒ Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations

☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

☐ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

☒ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

☒ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

☐ Other, specify

☐ No

OA 11.3

Additional information. [Optional]

We encourage all investment managers to become signatories. We are also currently discussing with some other domestic asset owners the processes involved in being UN PRI signatories. We are also active participants in the ESG Research Australia body which aims to encourage brokers to incorporate ESG Research into their analysis and recommendations.

Christian Super

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

SAM 02

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SAM 02.1

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

☒ Yes

SAM 02.2

Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

☒ We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class

- ☒ Listed Equity (LE)
- ☐ Fixed income - corporate (FIC)
- ☒ Fixed income - government (FIG)
- ☒ Private equity (PE)
- ☒ Infrastructure (INF)
- ☒ Property (PR)
- ☒ Other asset classes

☒ We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

Asset class

- ☒ Listed Equity (LE)
- ☐ Fixed income - corporate (FIC)
- ☒ Fixed income - government (FIG)
- ☒ Private equity (PE)
- ☒ Infrastructure (INF)
- ☒ Property (PR)
- ☒ Other asset classes

☐ We use fiduciary managers

**SAM
02.3**

Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

- ☒ Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants
- ☒ Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them
- ☒ Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring
- ☐ We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

☐ No

SAM 03

Mandatory

Gateway

General

**SAM
03.1**

Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Private equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Private debt	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Farmland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

Overview

SAM 05

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2

SAM 05.1

Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	LE		FIG
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Thematic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	LE		
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

SAM 05.2

Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	LE	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 05.3	Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.
-----------------	---

	LE
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

Selection

SAM 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

SAM 06.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:
-----------------	---

	General
--	---------

	LE	FIG
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG incorporation

	LE	FIG
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	n/a
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Evaluate index providers' ESG incorporation when designing the index	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Engagements

	LE	
Discuss the managers' engagement processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the role managers have played in influencing companies' ESG practices and performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss how information gained through engagement is incorporated into investment decision-making	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other engagement issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Discuss the managers' voting processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through research for (proxy) voting is used in investment-decision making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (proxy) voting issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

Monitoring

SAM 08

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1

SAM 08.1

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

General

	LE	FIG
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG incorporation

	LE	FIG
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Engagements

	LE	
Review the ESG information relevant to the engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the number of engagements and their comprehensiveness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the type of role played (i.e. leading or supporting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Review the progress of ongoing engagements and/or outcomes of completed engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Other ways you monitor engagement activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Review the number or percentage of votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Request an explanation of reasons for votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss whether companies were informed of the reasons for votes against management recommendations or abstentions/withheld votes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of resolutions on ESG issues filed or co-filed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the changes in company practice (outcomes) that have been achieved from voting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor (proxy) voting activities, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

Discuss proxy voting practices and policies

Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets

Selection

SAM 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
SAM 10.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:		
	General		

	PE	PR	INF
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies'/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Monitoring

SAM 12	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
SAM 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:		
	General		

	PE	PR	INF
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Communication

SAM 16

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 6

**SAM
16.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

☒ Yes, we disclose information publicly

provide URL

<http://www.christiansuper.com.au/free-stuff/publications/annual-report-archives/annual-report-2013>

**SAM
16.2**

Indicate if the level of information you disclose to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients and/or beneficiaries.

☒ Yes

**SAM
16.3**

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

- ☐ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes
- ☐ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
- ☒ E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership
- ☐ Other, specify

☐ No

☐ Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only

☐ We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Christian Super

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1 Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☉ Yes

LEA
03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

Firstly, the largest 300 companies are ethically screened and rated across a large number of ESG parameters with potential influence-oriented engagements flagged at this point by the Fund's ethical research analysts. From this point, the ethical research analysts meet with the Chief Investment Officer to prioritise specific engagements and develop a plan of action. Ad hoc engagements are also pursued where these are referred to us from investor groups, ESG research agencies or community sector organisations.

☐ No

LEA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA
04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

☐ Yes

☒ No

LEA
04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, in all cases

☐ Yes, in the majority of cases

☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA
04.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

All engagements are logged in an internal engagement register which includes information on the status of the engagement (eg. follow up needed or response being reviewed) and the outcome of the engagement (eg. adequate response received or no company response)

☐ No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA
05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

☒ Yes

**LEA
05.2**

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

Potential engagement targets are collated through a weekly review of corporate monitoring reports and fortnightly review of the UNPRI clearinghouse. From this point, the ethical research analysts meet with the Chief Investment Officer to prioritise specific engagements and develop a plan of action. Ad hoc engagements are also pursued where these are referred to us from investor groups, ESG research agencies or community sector organisations.

☐ No

LEA 06

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

**LEA
06.1**

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities

☐ Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities

☐ Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities

☐ No

**LEA
06.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, in all cases

☐ Yes, in the majority of cases

☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA
06.3**

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

The Fund maintains a formalised engagement register in which all company engagements are logged, including information on the nature of the engagement, outcomes and necessary follow up actions. Regarding UNPRI collaborative engagements, we also utilise the register that the UNPRI secretariat keep for each working group.

☐ No

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,4

LEA 07.1	Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	---

☒ Yes

LEA 07.2	Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	--

- ☐ Specify the issues for the engagement
- ☐ Specify the objectives for the engagement
- ☐ Select the companies to be engaged with
- ☒ Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
- ☒ Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
- ☐ Other, specify

☐ No

LEA 08	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 08.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider
-----------------	--

Please select all that apply

- ☒ The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☒ The objectives of the engagement
- ☒ The rationale for engagement
- ☒ The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☒ Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- ☒ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- ☒ Next steps for engagement activity
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

LEA 10.1	Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input checked="" type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
LEA 15.1	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.		

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
☐ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
LEA 17.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.		

Approach

- ☒ We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.

	Based primarily on
--	--------------------

- ☒ our own voting policy
- ☐ our clients requests or policy
- ☐ other, explain
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
----------	---

- ☐ Yes, in most cases
- ☐ Sometimes, in the following cases:
- ☒ No
- ☐ Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

This is currently being reviewed with an intention to use voting against management as an opportunity for engagement.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	---

- ☒ We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- ☒ of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- ☐ of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- ☐ of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- ☐ We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 26.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.
----------	--

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
- ☒ We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 26.3	Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.
----------	---

	Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose
--	--

- ☐ All voting decisions
- ☐ Some voting decisions
- ☐ Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- ☒ Summary of votes only

	Indicate what level of explanation you provide
--	--

- ☐ Explain all voting decisions
- ☐ Explain some voting decisions
- ☐ Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- ☒ No explanations provided

LEA 26.4	Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.
----------	--

- ☐ Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- ☐ Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☒ Between quarterly and annually
- ☐ Less frequently than annually
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

Christian Super

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Fixed Income - Corporate

Implementation processes

FI 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

FI 04.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed corporate fixed income investments; and, (2) the breakdown of your actively managed corporate fixed income investments by incorporation strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- ☐ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☒ Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active corporate fixed income to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)

%

100

- ☐ Thematic + integration strategies
- ☐ Screening + thematic strategies
- ☐ All three strategies combined
- ☐ No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed fixed income - corporate

100%

FI 04.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular incorporation strategy.

As a values-driven organisation an integration strategy occurs naturally, and similarly a screening strategy is utilised on all investments

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-------------	-------

FI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active corporate fixed income investments.

Type of screening

☒ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- ☒ Product
- ☒ Activity
- ☒ Sector
- ☒ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☒ Corporate governance

Description

We actively avoid companies that:

Produce addictive or harmful goods or services
 Excessively damage the environment
 Utilise exploitation or predatory practices
 Seriously violate human rights

In industries like:

Gambling
 Pornography
 Weapons
 Alcohol& tobacco
 Child labour
 Embryonic stem cell research
 Fast food

☐ Positive/best-in-class screening

☐ Norms-based screening

FI 06.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

As a profit-for-members fund, we represent the values of our members with a Christian ethical foundation, and as such a universal screening criteria for all investments is developed from there. The criteria is reviewed on a monthly basis, and ad hoc, as critical issues arise

FI 07**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 1**

FI 07.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening in corporate fixed income is based on robust analysis.

- ☒ Comprehensive research is undertaken or sourced to determine issuers' ESG performance
- ☐ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- ☐ External research and data used to identify issuers to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- ☒ Information on ESG issues and/or ratings is updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- ☒ A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct ESG research reviews some or all screening decisions
- ☒ A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

FI 11**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 1****FI 11.1**

Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

Communication

FI 18**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2,6**

FI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in all fixed income investments.

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
- ☐ We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- ☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Christian Super

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect - Inclusive Finance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Selection

IFI 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

IFI 02.1

Indicate if you have due diligence policies and/or procedures in place for the selection of external investment managers in inclusive finance that take their approach to the following responsible investment issues (PIIF Principles) into account:

Actively supporting retail providers to innovate and expand the range of financial services available to low income people (Principle 1)

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Integration of client protection in investment policies and practices. (Principle 2)

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Treating investees fairly with appropriate financing that meets demand, clear and balanced contracts, and fair processes for resolving disputes. (Principle 3)

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

The inclusion of environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) issues in investment policies and reporting. (Principle 4)

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Active promotion of transparency in all aspects. (Principle 5)

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

Striving for a balanced long-term social and financial risk-adjusted return that recognises the interests of clients, retail providers and investors. (Principle 6)

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Collaborating to set harmonised investor standards that support the further development of inclusive finance. (Principle 7)

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

Contractual agreements and mandate design

IFI 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

IFI 03.1	When agreeing contracts and designing mandates with investment managers, in the process, indicate if you consider including the following issues referred to in the PIIF:
----------	---

	Actively supporting retail providers to innovate and expand the range of financial services to low-income people (Principle 1)
--	--

☒ Yes

	Describe and indicate how.
--	----------------------------

Covered in due diligence documentation - in particular Information Memorandum review and discussions with managers.

☐ No

	Integration of client protection in investment policies and practices (Principle 2)
--	---

☒ Yes

	Describe and indicate how.
--	----------------------------

Covered in due diligence documentation - in particular Information Memorandum review and discussions with managers.

☐ No

	Treating investees fairly with appropriate financing needs that meets demand, clear and balanced contracts and fair processes for resolving disputes (Principle 3)
--	--

☐ Yes

☒ No

	The inclusion of ESG issues in investment policies and reporting (Principle 4)
--	--

☒ Yes

	Describe and indicate how.
--	----------------------------

Covered in due diligence documentation - in particular Information Memorandum review and discussions with managers.

☐ No

	Active promotion of transparency in all aspects (Principle 5)
--	---

☐ Yes

☒ No

	Striving for a balanced long-term social and financial risk-adjusted return that recognises the interests of clients, retail providers and investors (Principle 6)
--	--

☒ Yes

	Describe and indicate how.
--	----------------------------

Covered in due diligence documentation - in particular Information Memorandum review and discussions with managers.

☐ No

	Collaborating to set harmonised investor standards that support the further development of inclusive finance (Principle 7)
--	--

☐ Yes

☒ No

Investment manager monitoring and reporting

IFI 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

IFI 04.1	Indicate if you monitor your current investment managers' implementation of the PIIF principles.
-----------------	--

☐ Yes

☒ No

IFI 04.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

We don't directly monitor implementation of PIIF, although monitoring does cover some of the aspects contained in PIIF.

Disclosure

IFI 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

IFI 06.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about your approach to responsible investment in inclusive finance.
----------	---

☒ Yes, we disclose publicly

provide URL

<http://www.christiansuper.com.au/why-we-are-different/ethical/topical-positions>

☐ Yes, we disclose to clients/beneficiaries only

☐ No