

IPM Informed Portfolio Management AB

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⌛	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	-	n/a							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

IPM Informed Portfolio Management AB

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

☒ Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

☐ <10%

☐ 10-50%

☒ >50%

☐ Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

☐ Other, specify

☐ Execution and advisory only services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Sweden

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

☐ 1

☒ 2-5

☐ 6-10

☐ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

46

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

☐ Yes

☒ No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General

OO 04.1 | Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

OO 04.2 | Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		7	313	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		7	313	000	000

OO 04.3 | Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- ☒ Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- ☐ Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	General

OO 06.1 | To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- ☒ Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	55	0
Fixed income – corporate	0	0
Fixed income – government	0	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	45	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

☐ Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

☐ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General

OO 10.1 Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- ☒ We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- ☒ We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- ☒ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- ☐ None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General

OO 11.1 Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- ☐ Hedge funds
- ☒ None of the above

OO 11.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Due to the nature of instruments traded (exchange traded futures on equity indices and on bonds and OTC short-dated foreign exchange forward contracts), we do not incorporate ESG issues in our hedge fund business.

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General

OO 12.1

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

Core modules

- ☒ Organisational Overview
- ☒ Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers**Direct - Listed Equity incorporation**

- ☒ Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- ☒ Engagements
- ☒ (Proxy) voting

Closing module

- ☒ Closing module

Note: Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

IPM Informed Portfolio Management AB

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

☒ Yes

☐ No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

☒ Yes

☐ No

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

☒ Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.ipm.se/responsible-investing/corporate-social-responsibility-esg/>

☐ No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

☐ Yes

☒ No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☒ Policy setting out your overall approach ☒ (Proxy) voting policy ☒ Engagement/active ownership policy ☒ Specific guidelines on corporate governance ☒ Specific guidelines on environmental issues ☒ Specific guidelines on social issues ☒ Asset class-specific guidelines ☒ Screening/exclusion policy ☐ Other, specify ☐ Other, specify	☐ Applicable policies cover all AUM ☒ Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM ☐ Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General

OA 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
☒ Yes ☐ No	

Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
☒ Yes	

OA 05.2	Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.
☒ At least once per year ☐ Less than once per year	

OA 05.3	Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.
☐ Quarterly ☒ Biannually ☐ Annually ☐ Every two years or less ☐ It is not reviewed ☐ No	

Governance and human resources

OA 08	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General

OA 08.1	Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

	Roles present in your organisation

- ☒ Board members or trustees
  - ☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
  - ☒ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
  - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - Deputy CEO**
    - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
    - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Portfolio managers
  - ☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
  - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Investment analysts
- ☒ Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
  - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ External managers or service providers
  - ☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
  - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Other role, specify
  - IPM ESG Committee**
    - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
    - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Other role, specify

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

☒ Yes

OA 10.2

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- ☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
- ☐ Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- ☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- ☐ CDP Climate Change
- ☐ CDP Forests
- ☐ CDP Water
- ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- ☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ☐ Eumedion
- ☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- ☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- ☒ Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

☒ Basic

☐ Moderate

☐ Advanced

☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify

☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)

☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)

☐ United Nations Global Compact

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

GES Engagement Forum

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

☒ Basic

☐ Moderate

☐ Advanced

☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

☐ No

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

☒ Yes

OA 11.2	Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

☒ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations

☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

☐ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

☐ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

☒ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

☐ Other, specify

☐ No

IPM Informed Portfolio Management AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
LEI 03.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.		

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- ☐ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☒ Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	%
	100

- ☐ Thematic + integration strategies
- ☐ Screening + thematic strategies
- ☐ All three strategies combined
- ☐ No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

1) Integration: As one of factors in our active equity products, we use a Governance & Quality factor which among others looks at aggressiveness of accounting standards used by companies. Aggressive accounting may be a sign of lax governance standards and/or unethical corporate practices. This metric is used to calculate a factor that will reduce the portfolio weight of companies applying aggressive accounting and increase the portfolio weight of companies showing more prudent accounting practices.

Our research and live trading results show that this screen consistently adds value over time.

2) Screening: We screen our active equity portfolios for their compliance with international conventions and guidelines on environment, human rights and business ethics such as UN Global Compact, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, ILO Core Labour Conventions, Environmental Conventions and Weapons-related Conventions. For this purpose we use GES Global Ethical Standard research process. As a result of the screening, all companies involved in cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines are directly excluded. All companies violating the well-established international norms and not responding to our engagement efforts are also excluded. To cover for the lost exposure due to exclusions, with the use of an optimizer we apply a best-in-class approach and overweight companies with similar characteristics but in compliance with the norms. Companies that have fully remedied all violations, adopted a responsible course of action and took proactive and precautionary approach to improve routines and prevent future violations are eligible for re-inclusion.

LEI 03.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, describe briefly how these are used in combination. [Optional]

See LEI 03.2.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06**Mandatory****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 06.1**

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- ☐ Negative/exclusionary screening
- ☒ Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- ☐ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☐ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☒ Corporate governance

Description

To cover for the lost exposure due to norms-based exclusions, with the use of an optimizer IPM applies a best-in-class approach and overweights companies with similar characteristics but in compliance with the norms.

- ☒ Norms-based screening

Screened by

- ☒ UN Global Compact Principles
- ☒ Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- ☒ International Labour Organization Conventions
- ☒ United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- ☒ OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- ☒ Other, specify

Weapons-related Conventions

Description

We screen the equity portfolios for their compliance with international conventions and guidelines on environment, human rights and business ethics such as UN Global Compact, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, ILO Core Labour Conventions, Environmental Conventions and Weapons-related Conventions.

As a result of the screening, all companies involved in cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines are directly excluded. All companies violating the well-established international norms and not responding to our engagement efforts are also excluded.

Companies that have fully remedied all violations, adopted a responsible course of action and took proactive and precautionary approach to improve routines and prevent future violations are eligible for re-inclusion.

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

The IPM ESG Committee decides on engagement, exclusion and re-inclusion cases at IPM. The Committee meets at least on a semi-annual basis. The basis for the analysis is the GES Global Ethical Standard research process. However, the Committee will also consider other relevant information in their analysis of recommendations.

The IPM ESG Committee follows the established guidelines for engagement, exclusion and re-inclusion decisions. According to these guidelines, all companies involved in cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines are directly excluded. All companies violating UN Global Compact etc. and which do not show clear signs of progress within 1 year from first violation reported, or do not fully remedy the violation within 3 years are excluded. Companies that fully remedy all violations are eligible for re-inclusion. These are operating guidelines, and the IPM ESG Committee may deviate from them if it decides that the behaviour of the companies warrant quicker exclusion or further patience before taking action.

Relevant changes to the client portfolios are communicated in client reports/calls etc.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.

- ☒ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- ☒ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- ☒ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- ☒ Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- ☒ A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- ☐ A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- ☒ Other, specify
An independent Risk Office is among others responsible for daily checks to ensure that the portfolios are in compliance with ESG exclusion lists.
- ☐ None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	☐ We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly ☐ We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly ☒ We do not review environmental issues
Social	☐ We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly ☐ We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly ☒ We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	☒ We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly ☐ We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly ☐ We do not review corporate governance issues

Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6

LEI 18.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

☒ We disclose it publicly

	Provide URL

<http://www.ipm.se/responsible-investing/corporate-social-responsibility-esg/>

LEI 18.2	Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

☐ Yes

☒ No

LEI 18.3	Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and/or the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

Reporting to public	Reporting to clients/beneficiaries
☒ Broad approach to ESG incorporation ☐ Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used	☐ Broad approach to ESG incorporation ☒ Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI
18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

Reporting to public	Reporting to clients/beneficiaries
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	☐ Quarterly or more frequently
☒ Between quarterly and annually	☒ Between quarterly and annually
☐ Less frequently than annually	☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Other, specify	☐ Other, specify

☐ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

☐ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

IPM Informed Portfolio Management AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1 Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	☐ To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) ☒ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues ☒ To encourage improved ESG disclosure ☐ Other, specify ☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☐ To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) ☒ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues ☒ To encourage improved ESG disclosure ☐ Other, specify ☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☐ To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) ☒ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues ☒ To encourage improved ESG disclosure ☐ Other, specify ☐ We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☉ Yes

LEA
03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

We engage in a dialogue with all the companies in investment universe of our equity funds violating UN Global Compact principles and other well-established international guidelines and conventions as identified by our service provider GES.

☐ No

LEA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA
04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, for all engagement activities

☐ Yes, for the majority of engagement activities

☐ Yes, for a minority of engagement activities

☐ No

LEA
04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, in all cases

☐ Yes, in the majority of cases

☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA
04.3**

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

The IPM ESG Committee is responsible for overseeing all responsible investment efforts at IPM. The objective of the IPM ESG Committee is to provide advice and guidance on IPM's activities within the area of responsible investment and to decide on engagement, exclusion and re-inclusion cases at IPM.

The basis for the analysis and recommendations made by the Committee is the GES Global Ethical Standard research process, provided by GES. However, the Committee will also consider other relevant information in their analysis of recommendations (including results of IPM's own and collaborative engagement efforts).

The Committee meets at least on a semi-annual basis. At each meeting special attention is drawn to new confirmed cases on the GES Engage Cases List. Companies in our investment universe that have been officially documented systematically and severely breaching any of UN Global Compact's 10 Principles and other well-established international guidelines and conventions are put on special watch. The Committee will carefully study these companies in order to verify any signs of progress in improving their conduct. Lack of improvements over a period of 1 year (neither satisfying 1 out of 4 GES revision criteria per year, nor presenting any documented proof that confirms significant improvements) or remaining on the GES Engage Cases List for more than 3 years is deemed a sufficient reason for the IPM ESG Committee to recommend exclusion of that company from the investment universe.

All companies excluded from the investment universe will remain excluded until they are recommended for re-inclusion by GES, unless the Committee decides there are extraordinary circumstances that warrant an earlier re-inclusion. Only circumstances related to an improvement in company's conduct will be taken into account.

All companies which are included in the Re-Include Cases List will be reviewed by the Committee for possible recommendation for re-inclusion in the investment universe.

☐ No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

**LEA
05.1**

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

☒ Yes

**LEA
05.2**

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

We would get involved in collaborative engagements only if they concern companies in our investment universe that have been officially documented systematically and severely breaching any of UN Global Compact's 10 Principles and other well-established international guidelines and conventions as identified by our service provider GES.

☐ No

LEA 06

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

**LEA
06.1**

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities

☐ Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities

☐ Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities

☐ No

**LEA
06.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, in all cases

☐ Yes, in the majority of cases

☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA
06.3**

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

Any meaningful results of IPM's own and collaborative engagement efforts would be considered in the analysis of recommendations made by the IPM ESG Committee.

☐ No

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,4

**LEA
07.1**

Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

☒ Yes

**LEA
07.2**

Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☐ Specify the issues for the engagement
- ☐ Specify the objectives for the engagement
- ☐ Select the companies to be engaged with
- ☒ Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider
- ☒ Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider
- ☐ Other, specify

☐ No

LEA 08

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

**LEA
08.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider

Please select all that apply

- ☐ The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☐ The objectives of the engagement
- ☐ The rationale for engagement
- ☐ The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☒ Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- ☒ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- ☒ Next steps for engagement activity
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ None of the above

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

**LEA
10.1**

Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☒ Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full ☐ Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements ☐ No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers ☐ No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Collaborative engagements	☒ Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full ☐ Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements ☐ No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers ☐ No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	☒ Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full ☐ Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements ☐ No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers ☐ No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Communication

LEA 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA
15.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

☒ We disclose it publicly

please provide URL

<http://www.ipm.se/responsible-investing/corporate-social-responsibility-esg/>

LEA
15.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

☒ Yes

LEA
15.3

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.

Engagement information disclosed

- ☒ Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- ☒ Number of engagements
- ☒ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- ☒ Breakdown of engagements by region
- ☒ An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- ☒ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- ☐ Other information

**LEA
15.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.

- ☐ Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- ☐ Disclosed quarterly
- ☒ Disclosed annually
- ☐ Disclosed every two years or less
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ No
- ☐ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- ☐ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 2

**LEA
17.1**

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- ☐ We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- ☒ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

	Based primarily on
	☒ the service provider voting policy signed off by us ☐ our own voting policy ☐ our clients requests or policy ☐ other, explain

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
	☐ Yes, in most cases ☐ Sometimes, in the following cases: ☒ No ☐ Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
	☒ We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

	%

73

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
	☐ of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions ☐ of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted ☒ of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

**LEA
22.2**

If there are specific reasons why you did not vote certain holdings, explain these, and if possible, indicate the percentage of holdings affected by these factors. [Optional]

- Share-blocking
- Overall cost effectiveness
- Not recalling lent stocks for voting

☐ We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

**LEA
26.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

☒ We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://www.ipm.se/responsible-investing/corporate-social-responsibility-esg/>

**LEA
26.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

☒ Yes

**LEA
26.3**

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- ☐ All voting decisions
- ☐ Some voting decisions
- ☐ Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- ☒ Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- ☒ Explain all voting decisions
- ☐ Explain some voting decisions
- ☐ Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- ☐ No explanations provided

LEA
26.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- ☐ Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- ☐ Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☒ Between quarterly and annually
- ☐ Less frequently than annually
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ No
- ☐ We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- ☐ We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries