



| LEA 02 | Discipline: Mandatory | Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3 |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more detailed information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG expertise <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff | <p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/internal staff engagements</p> | |
| | <p>Collaborative engagements</p> | <p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more detailed information <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG expertise <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements |
| | <p>Service provider engagements</p> | <p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more detailed information <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG expertise <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2013/14

Kagiso Asset Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| ⚠ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|---|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Additional information about organisation | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | RI activities for listed equities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | RI activities in other asset classes | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |

| Overarching Approach | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|----------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OA 01 | RI policy and other guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 02 | Publicly available policies / documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | ✓ | |
| OA 03 | Policy components and coverage | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| OA 04 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 07 | Governance, management structures and RI processes | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 08 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 09 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 10 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| OA 11 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| OA 12 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | ✓ | Private | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| OA 13 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| OA 14 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| OA 15 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 16 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 17 | RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| OA 18 | Innovative features of approach to RI | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OA 19 | Internal and external review and assurance of responses | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 02 | Description of ESG incorporation | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 03 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Types of screening applied | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Description of ESG integration | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 14 | ESG issues in index construction | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 15 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 16 | Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 17 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 18 | Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Objectives for engagement activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 07 | Role in engagement process | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 08 | Monitor / discuss service provider information | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 09 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | ✓ | Private | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Engagements on E, S and/or G issues | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Examples of ESG engagements | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 16 | Description of approach to (proxy) voting | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 17 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Confirmation of votes | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Securities lending programme | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 22 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 23 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 24 | Shareholder resolutions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 25 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 26 | Disclosing voting activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |

| Direct - Fixed Income | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-----------------------|---|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| FI 01 | Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 02 | Breakdown of investments by credit quality | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| FI 03 | Description of ESG incorporation | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 04 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 05 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 06 | Types of screening applied | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 07 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 08 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 09 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 10 | Description of ESG integration | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 11 | Review of ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 12 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 13 | Incorporation of ESG issues into analysis and decision making | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 14 | ESG issues in index construction | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 15 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 16 | Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 17 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 18 | Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ |
| FI 19 | Engagement with corporate issuers | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| FI 20 | Engagement with government issuers | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |

Kagiso Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 01 | Mandatory | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

<10%

10-50%

>50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory only services

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
| OO 02 | Mandatory | Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

South Africa

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

1

2-5

6-10

>10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

43

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| OO 03 | Mandatory | Descriptive | General |
|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 04 | Mandatory | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------|

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | 63 | 427 | 194 | 863 |
| Currency | ZAR | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | 6 | 141 | 269 | 815 |

OO 04.3 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

| OO 06 | Mandatory | Descriptive | General |
|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | >50% | 0 |
| Fixed income – corporate | <10% | 0 |
| Fixed income – government | 0 | 0 |
| Fixed income – other | 0 | 0 |
| Private debt | 0 | 0 |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | <10% | 0 |
| Hedge funds | 0 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------|------|---|
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | <10% | 0 |
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

| OO 10 | Mandatory | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 10.1 Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

| OO 11 | Mandatory | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 11.1 Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Commodities
- Cash
- None of the above

| OO 12 | Mandatory | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|

OO 12.1 The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed Income - Corporate

Closing module

- Closing module

Note: Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

Kagiso Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| OA 01 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| OA 02 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 6 |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

OA 02.2 Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.kagisoam.com/institutional-investors/unpri/>

- No

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| OA 03 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 1,2 |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| OA 04 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | General |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|

| | |
|---------|---|
| OA 04.1 | Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. |
|---------|---|

Yes
 No

Objectives and strategies

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| OA 05 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|

| | |
|---------|--|
| OA 05.1 | Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities. |
|---------|--|

Yes

| | |
|---------|--|
| OA 05.2 | Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment. |
|---------|--|

At least once per year
 Less than once per year

| | |
|---------|---|
| OA 05.3 | Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment. |
|---------|---|

Quarterly
 Biannually
 Annually
 Every two years or less
 It is not reviewed
 No

Governance and human resources

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| OA 08 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | General |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|

OA 08.1 Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

OA 08.2 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Number

0

Promoting responsible investment

| | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| OA 10 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 4,5 |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|

OA 10.1 Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

Yes

OA 10.2 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We recently became a signatory of the CDP.

- CDP Forests

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We recently became a signatory of the CDP.

- CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We recently became a signatory of the CDP.

- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

No

OA 11

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

OA 11.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

Kagiso Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|
| LEI 03 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|

LEI 03.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)

100 %

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies
- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

We conduct ESG research as part of our bottom up research process and, as such, analysts are required to incorporate ESG research as part of the research framework.

(A) Implementation: Screening

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| LEI 06 | Mandatory | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

| | Description |
|--|-------------|
|--|-------------|

We screen the above using our ESG scorecard.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEI 06.2 | Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made. |
|-----------------|---|

The screening criteria was developed in conjunction with our data providers and sell-side research providers. We are now in the process of reviewing the criteria used in our scorecards.

| | | | |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEI 07 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEI 07.1 | Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis. |
|-----------------|---|

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Communication

LEI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEI 18.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Kagiso Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| LEA 02 | Mandatory | Gateway | PRI 1,2,3 |
|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 02.1 | Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions. |
|----------|---|

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|--|---|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers |

Process

Process for engagements run internally

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 03 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 03.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff. |
|-----------------|---|

- Yes
- No

| | | | |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 04 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 04.1 | Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities. |
|-----------------|--|

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- No

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 04.2 | Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements. |
|-----------------|---|

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 04.3 | Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities. |
|-----------------|---|

We speak to management directly, so in most cases we would get written confirmation of our engagement requests and we have developed internal assessment tools to monitor company progress on various indicators.

- No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

| | | | |
|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| LEA 10 | Mandatory | Gateway/Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 10.1 | Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with. |
|-----------------|--|

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|---|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements |

Communication

LEA 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 15.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 15.3

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 15.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| LEA 17 | Mandatory | Descriptive | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 17.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on. |
|----------|---|

| | |
|--|----------|
| | Approach |
|--|----------|

We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| | Based primarily on |
|--|--------------------|

- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain

We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 21 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 21.1 | Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations. |
|----------|---|

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 22 | Mandatory | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 22.1 | For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. |
|----------|---|

We do track or collect this information

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) |
|--|--------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| | % |
|--|---|

94

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA
26.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA
26.3

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA
26.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

Kagiso Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

