



RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2013/14

OPSEU Pension Trust



UNEP Finance Initiative
Changing finance, financing change



United Nations Global Compact

An investor initiative in partnership with UNEP Finance Initiative and UN Global Compact

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⌛	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Private							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Private		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public						✓	

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	⚙	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	⚙	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct – Private Equity				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	✓	Private							✓
PE 02	Typical level of ownership	✓	Private							✓
PE 03	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓					✓	
PE 04	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓					
PE 05	Fund placement documents and RI	🔒	n/a	✓			✓		✓	
PE 06	Formal commitments to RI	🔒	n/a				✓			
PE 07	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PE 08	ESG advice and research when selecting investments	✓	Private	✓						
PE 09	ESG issues in investment selection process	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PE 10	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Private	✓		✓				
PE 11	Encouraging improvements in investees	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 12	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
PE 13	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	✓	Public		✓					
PE 14	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
PE 15	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	✓	Private		✓					
PE 16	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	✓	Private		✓	✓				
PE 17	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	✓	Private		✓					
PE 18	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 19	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	✓	Private	✓		✓				
PE 20	Disclosure of ESG information to public and clients/beneficiaries	✓	Public						✓	
PE 21	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	🔒	n/a						✓	

Direct - Property				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PR 01	Breakdown of investments by equity and debt	✓	Private							✓
PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	✓	Private							✓
PR 03	Largest property types	✓	Private							✓
PR 04	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓					✓	
PR 05	Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy	✓	Public	✓					✓	
PR 06	Fund placement documents and RI	🔒	n/a	✓			✓		✓	
PR 07	Formal commitments to RI	🔒	n/a				✓			
PR 08	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PR 09	ESG advice and research when selecting investments	✓	Private	✓			✓			
PR 10	Examples of ESG issues in investment selection process	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PR 11	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Private	✓		✓				
PR 12	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
PR 13	ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers	✓	Public				✓			
PR 14	ESG issues in post-investment activities	✓	Public		✓					
PR 15	Proportion of assets with ESG targets that were set and monitored	✓	Public		✓	✓				
PR 16	Certification schemes, ratings and benchmarks	✓	Private		✓					
PR 17	Proportion of developments and refurbishments where ESG issues were considered	✓	Public		✓					
PR 18	Proportion of property occupiers that were engaged with	✓	Public		✓					
PR 19	Proportion of green leases or MOUs referencing ESG issues	✓	Private		✓					
PR 20	Proportion of assets engaged with on community issues	🔒	n/a		✓					
PR 21	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PR 22	Examples of ESG issues that affected your property investments	✓	Private	✓		✓				
PR 23	Disclosure of ESG information to public and clients/beneficiaries	✓	Public						✓	

Direct - Infrastructure				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
INF 01	Breakdown of investments by equity and debt	✓	Private							✓
INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	✓	Private							✓
INF 03	Largest infrastructure	✓	Private							✓
INF 04	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓					✓	
INF 05	Responsible investment policy for infrastructure	✓	Public	✓					✓	
INF 06	Fund placement documents and RI	🔒	n/a	✓			✓		✓	
INF 07	Formal commitments to RI	🔒	n/a				✓			
INF 08	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
INF 09	ESG advice and research when selecting investments	✓	Private	✓			✓			
INF 10	Examples of ESG issues in investment selection process	✓	Public	✓		✓				
INF 11	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Private	✓		✓				
INF 12	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
INF 13	ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators	✓	Public				✓			
INF 14	ESG issues in post-investment activities	✓	Public		✓					
INF 15	Proportion of assets with ESG performance targets	✓	Public		✓					
INF 16	Proportion of portfolio companies with ESG/sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
INF 17	Type and frequency of reports received from investees	✓	Private		✓	✓				
INF 18	Proportion of maintenance projects where ESG issues were considered	✓	Public		✓					
INF 19	Proportion of stakeholders that were engaged with on ESG issues	✓	Private		✓					
INF 20	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
INF 21	Examples of ESG issues that affected your infrastructure investments	✓	Private	✓		✓				
INF 22	Disclosure of ESG information to public and clients/beneficiaries	✓	Public						✓	
INF 23	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	🔒	n/a						✓	

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- ☒ Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- ☐ Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- ☐ Insurance company
- ☐ Foundation or endowment
- ☐ Development bank
- ☐ Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- ☐ Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Canada

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- ☐ 1
- ☒ 2-5
- ☐ 6-10
- ☐ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

147

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		16	000	000	000
Currency	CAD				
Assets in USD		15	190	328	759

OO 04.3

Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- ☒ Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- ☐ Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06**Mandatory****Descriptive****General****OO 06.1**

To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- ☐ Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown
- ☒ Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	10-50%
Fixed income – corporate	0	<10%
Fixed income – government	0	10-50%
Fixed income – other	0	<10%
Private debt	<10%	0
Private equity	<10%	<10%
Property	<10%	10-50%
Infrastructure	10-50%	<10%
Commodities	0	<10%
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0

Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	<10%
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- ☒ We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- ☒ We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- ☒ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- ☐ None of the above

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- ☒ Private debt
- ☒ Private equity
- ☒ Property
- ☒ Infrastructure
- ☐ None of the above

OO 11.2	Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – corporate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – government <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed Income – other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private equity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Property <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure <input type="checkbox"/> Commodities <input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above	
OO 11.3	Additional information. [Optional]

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 12.1	The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.
----------------	---

Core modules

- ☒ Organisational Overview
- ☒ Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

	Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
--	---

- ☒ Engagements
- ☒ (Proxy) voting

	Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules
--	---

- ☒ Private Equity
- ☒ Property
- ☒ Infrastructure

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- ☒ Listed Equities
- ☒ Fixed Income - Corporate
- ☒ Fixed Income - Government
- ☒ Private Equity
- ☒ Property
- ☒ Infrastructure

Closing module

- ☒ Closing module

Note: Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
OA 01.1	Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.		
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
OA 01.2	Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.		
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
OA 01.3	Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]		

OPTrust's overarching approach to responsible investing is articulated in our Statement of Responsible Investing Principles (SRIP). As a major Canadian institutional investor with a long-term time horizon, OPTrust recognizes that ESG issues have the potential to affect the Plan's investment performance. With that view, OPTrust established a range of measures to identify, monitor and assess ESG related risks and opportunities as part of its investment activities. The SRIP is intended to be used as a guiding principle document and outlines an adaptable framework for all asset classes. While the application of the SRIP will vary among our different asset classes, we expect that the processes used for making decisions about both internally and externally managed assets will incorporate the principles contained within the statement.

OPTrust's Board of Trustees approves the SRIP, which is reviewed annually. The Chief Investment Officer is responsible for ensuring that OPTrust adheres to this policy and approves the responsible investing strategy and activities. Responsibility for implementing the SRIP lies with each investment team.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
OA 02.1	Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.		
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes		
OA 02.2	Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.		

	URL
--	-----

<http://www.optrust.com/documents/Investments/Statement-of-Responsible-Investing-Principles.pdf>

☐ No

OA 02.3	Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.
----------------	---

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, all

☐ Yes, some

OA 02.4	List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.
----------------	---

Policy or document name	URL
Proxy Voting Guidelines	http://www.optrust.com/documents/investments/Proxy-Voting-guidelines.pdf
Proxy Voting Record	http://www.optrust.com/investments/responsible-investing.asp
SIP& P	http://www.optrust.com/documents/Investments/Statement-of-Investment-Policies-and-Procedures.pdf
Annual Report	http://www.optrust.com/annualreports/default.asp

☐ No

OA 02.5
Additional information. [Optional]

OPTrust's approach to Responsible Investing is addressed in three of its public investment policies.

1. OPTrust's Statement of Investment Policies and Procedures (SIP&P) provides broad investment policies and procedures for the management of the assets of the Fund. The SIP&P addresses ESG issues at a high level and states our belief that ESG factors can affect the financial performance of an investment and that internal and external investment managers should consider material ESG issues when selecting investments on behalf of the Fund.

2. OPTrust's overarching approach to responsible investing is articulated in our Statement of Responsible Investing Principles (SRIP). This document describes our general approach and also addresses specific areas of concern including corporate governance, the environment, workers' rights, and fundamental human rights. While the application of the SRIP will vary among our different asset classes, we expect that the processes used for making decisions about both internally and externally managed assets will incorporate the principles contained within the statement.

3. Our Proxy Voting Guidelines describe in detail how OPTrust is likely to vote on a wide range of ESG issues.

OA 03
Mandatory
Core Assessed
PRI 1,2
OA 03.1

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04
Mandatory
Core Assessed
General
OA 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

☒ Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

The management of potential conflicts of interest is addressed in four OPTrust policies.

The SIP&P requires trustees, external investment advisors, OPTrust employees and all agents and advisors to act in the best interests of the Plan and its members. It details requirements to disclose all conflicts of interest and subsequent steps to manage conflicts. The SIP&P also outlines procedures to be followed in the event of conflicts between OPTrust's investment policy and that of our investment managers pooled funds.

All OPTrust employees are required to follow the Code of Conduct which defines prohibited conduct, requirements for disclosure of real and perceived conflicts of interest and steps to managing any conflicts.

OPTrust has a Personal Trading Policy which provides rules for certain employee's personal trading activities and prohibits insider trading.

OPTrust's Ethical Wall Policies and Procedures also prohibits insider trading.

☐ No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05**Mandatory****Gateway/Core Assessed****General****OA 05.1**

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

☒ Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

☒ At least once per year

☐ Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

☐ Quarterly

☒ Biannually

☐ Annually

☐ Every two years or less

☐ It is not reviewed

☐ No

OA 05.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- ☒ Board members or trustees
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☐ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Group Head, Managing Directors

- ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
- ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Portfolio managers
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Investment analysts
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ External managers or service providers
 - ☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Other role, specify
- ☐ Other role, specify

OA 08.2	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]
Number	

3

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 10.1	Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.
----------------	---

☒ Yes

OA 10.2	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

- ☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
- ☐ Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- ☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- ☒ CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ CDP Forests
- ☒ CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- ☒ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Eumedion
- ☒ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- ☒ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- ☒ Principles for Responsible Investment

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- ☐ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☒ Advanced

	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
--	--

Staff played active role on PRI Steering Committee on Instructure. This committee finished its work in 2013.

- ☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- ☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- ☐ United Nations Global Compact
- ☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Canadian Coalition for Good Governance

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
--	--

- ☐ Basic
- ☒ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We contribute research and commentary to the CCGG's board engagement meetings.

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Pension Investment Association of Canada

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
☒ Moderate
☐ Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

OPTrust staff sit on the corporate governance committee of this organization which involves the creation of guidance documents and comment letters on behalf of PIAC members.

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

REALpac's Corporate Responsibility & Sustainability Committee

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced

☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

ESG PE Disclosure Framework

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
☒ Moderate
☐ Advanced

☐ No

OA 10.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

OA 11.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
----------------	--

☒ Yes

OA 11.2	Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
----------------	--

- ☒ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- ☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- ☒ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- ☒ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- ☒ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- ☒ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- ☒ Other, specify

Speak regularly to staff and Trustees of other pension plans regarding RI and make suggestions on activities and approaches they could pursue.

☐ No

OA 11.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

OPTrust supported education programs for graduate students at U of T's Rotman School of Business and acted as a case study for students.

For our private equity and infrastructure investments, we do not use broker/dealers and investment consultants. We do use legal advisers and forensic investigators for due diligence with regards to regulatory risks, outstanding litigation, past litigation, and negative media coverage and to address potential concerns with labour and human rights. We also use consultants to identify potential environmental considerations. We have provided informal education and guidance on RI to some of our smaller investment managers, including some who have subsequently become UN PRI signatories, with our support. The most significant external education/training we have promoted - and our biggest contribution to better transparency in 2013 - is the ESG PE Disclosure Framework, where we sat on the working group. With regards to in-house research, we have prepared separate analyses of select RI issues in conjunction with and as part of our existing investment processes.

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

SAM 02

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SAM 02.1

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

☐ Yes

☒ No

SAM 03

Mandatory

Gateway

General

SAM 03.1

Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - corporate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Private equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

Overview

SAM 05

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 1,2

SAM 05.1

Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	LE	FIC	FIG
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies		FIG
Screening		<input type="checkbox"/>
Thematic		<input type="checkbox"/>
Integration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above		<input type="checkbox"/>

SAM 05.2

Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	LE	FIC
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SAM 05.3

Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	LE
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

Selection

SAM 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
SAM 06.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:		
	General		

	LE	FIC	FIG
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG incorporation

	LE	FIC	FIG
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a	n/a
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Evaluate index providers' ESG incorporation when designing the index	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Engagements

	LE	FIC
Discuss the managers' engagement processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in influencing companies' ESG practices and performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through engagement is incorporated into investment decision-making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other engagement issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

Our manager selection process does not incorporate weightings of any kind. Many factors, including ESG are incorporated into our selection process for choosing equity and fixed income investment managers and are evaluated in a holistic manner.

SAM 06.2	Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's selection approach for listed assets. [Optional]
-----------------	---

When selecting new investment managers, OPTrust expects candidates to demonstrate an understanding of ESG issues and show some evidence that ESG issues are considered in their decision making process. However, OPTrust does not hire or terminate managers on this basis alone, nor do our external equity or fixed income managers have specific performance metrics based on ESG.

Monitoring

SAM 08	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

SAM 08.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
-----------------	---

	General
--	----------------

	LE	FIC	FIG
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	ESG incorporation
--	--------------------------

	LE	FIC	FIG
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Engagements

	LE	FIC
Review the ESG information relevant to the engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the number of engagements and their comprehensiveness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the type of role played (i.e. leading or supporting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the progress of ongoing engagements and/or outcomes of completed engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor engagement activities, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

ESG Incorporation - OPTrust conducts ESG portfolio reviews using ESG ratings/scores and measures our equity managers' performance against their respective performance benchmark on an annual basis. Results are discussed and considered in the quarterly due diligence process. These scores are also used to evaluate Fixed Income managers with Corporate debt holdings.

Engagement - we ask our managers if they engaged any of our portfolio companies on ESG issues each quarter. We discuss these results with our external managers during quarterly due diligence meetings. We do not require our managers to engage on our behalf, but we ask for them to discuss with us when they do.

Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets

Selection

SAM 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 1-6

**SAM
10.1**

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

General

	PE	PR	INF
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies'/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SAM 10.2	Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's selection approach for non-listed assets. [Optional]
-----------------	---

For further clarity, we note the following with relation to the general section above:

Deeper due diligence on a GP's governance and management of RI will be done by our internal staff where preliminary due diligence indicates the potential for material or reputational implications related to ESG issues. Where relevant we will meet with staff with RI responsibilities although this is most often a senior partner given the smaller GPs we tend to target. Our minimum RI expectation is that managers are proactive. We always discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives when this is relevant. Signatory status is public information and we determine whether managers are signatories as part of the due diligence process. Our agreed upon ESG-related practice is for our managers to communicate with us in a proactive manner when material ESG issues arise. We do not expect or require our managers to make regular disclosures related to RI. We do, however, review all ESG-related materials that are shared with our staff. We do not use a formal weighting process when assessing managers.

With regards to the investment selection process in particular, we note that we conduct detailed due diligence on underlying holdings of prior funds, including an assessment of any ESG issues that are considered material. In this scenario and in general, when ESG issues are of reputational importance or could be material to the investment returns we will assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment.

Finally, with respect to the first two actions under investment monitoring above, we do assess manager influence on and support for portfolio companies and we discuss past ESG identification and disclosure procedures when our due diligence determines ESG matters are relevant (materially or reputationally).

Monitoring			
SAM 12	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
SAM 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:		
	General		

	PE	PR	INF
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

	PE	PR	INF
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**SAM
12.2**

Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's dialogue and monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

For greater clarity, we will highlight examples when relevant to the investment strategy or asset in question. We review all RI reporting produced by our GPs. We have provided an overview of RI and the UN PRI to at least two GPs who have subsequently become UN PRI signatories. We have also discussed other collaborative initiatives when they are relevant to the investment strategy or asset being discussed. Corporate governance criteria is always relevant to our ongoing performance evaluation - other ESG issues may be relevant - and thus evaluated on an ongoing basis - depending on their relevance to the investment strategy or asset in question.

With regards to investment selection, these issues are discussed retrospectively and prospectively when relevant from a material or reputational perspective.

Post investment, we always request reports, examples, and details as noted above when the ESG issues are considered material or could have a reputational impact on OPTrust.

Communication

SAM 16

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 6

**SAM
16.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

☉ Yes, we disclose information publicly

provide URL

<http://www.optrust.com/investments/responsible-investing.asp>

provide URL

<http://www.optrust.com/AnnualReports>

**SAM
16.2**

Indicate if the level of information you disclose to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients and/or beneficiaries.

☒ Yes

**SAM
16.3**

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

☒ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes

☐ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf

☐ E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership

☒ Other, specify

OPTrust's SRIP and Proxy Voting policies are posted on our external website as is our proxy voting record.

☐ No

☐ Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only

☐ We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1 Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☉ Yes

LEA
03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

OPTrust has adopted a risk-based approach to engagement. To determine targets for corporate engagements OPTrust staff considers several factors including, but not limited to, potential material risks related to ESG issues, level of ownership, company share structure and probability of success.

☐ No

LEA 04

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA
04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, for all engagement activities

☐ Yes, for the majority of engagement activities

☐ Yes, for a minority of engagement activities

☐ No

LEA
04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

☒ Yes

☒ Yes, in all cases

☐ Yes, in the majority of cases

☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

LEA
04.3

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.

We are currently undertaking our first direct engagement and monitoring the results closely.

☐ No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.

☒ Yes

LEA 05.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

We prioritize collaborative engagements based on whether they address issues identified in our RI policy. We also look at the size of our holdings, the market, the industry and how material the impact is on our portfolio.

In Canada, the CCGG engages with approximately 50 companies each year. For those companies that fit with OPTrust's engagement strategy, responsible investing staff will provide ESG questions and information to CCGG staff to raise at the meeting. We then review the meeting notes (that are shared with all members) to monitor results.

☐ No

LEA 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 06.1

Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.

☒ Yes

- ☐ Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
- ☐ Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
- ☒ Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities

☐ No

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

☒ Yes

- ☐ Yes, in all cases
- ☒ Yes, in the majority of cases
- ☐ Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA
06.3**

Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

Progress is monitored and reported to management on a regular basis.
Evaluation of success is based on achieving objectives.

☐ No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

**LEA
10.1**

Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements</p>
Collaborative engagements	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements</p>

Communication

LEA 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

**LEA
15.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- ☐ We disclose it publicly
- ☐ We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- ☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 17.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
----------	---

Approach

- ☐ We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- ☒ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- ☐ the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- ☒ our own voting policy
- ☐ our clients requests or policy
- ☐ other, explain
- ☐ We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 18	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 18.1	Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate what percentage your organisation reviewed, and the reasons for the review.
----------	---

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- ☐ >20%
- ☒ 5-20%
- ☐ 1-5%
- ☐ <1%

Reasons for review

- ☐ Specific ESG issues
- ☐ Votes where a significant level of ownership is held
- ☒ Votes against management or abstentions
- ☐ Conflicts of interest
- ☒ Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- ☒ Other, explain

Contentious, high-profile votes, issues not covered by policy document.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- ☐ Yes, in most cases
☐ Sometimes, in the following cases:
☒ No
☐ Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- ☒ We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

99

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- ☒ of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
☐ of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
☐ of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
☐ We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 26.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.
-----------------	--

- ☒ We disclose it publicly

	provide URL
--	-------------

<http://www.optrust.com/investments/responsible-investing.asp>

**LEA
26.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

☒ Yes

**LEA
26.3**

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- ☒ All voting decisions
- ☐ Some voting decisions
- ☐ Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- ☐ Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- ☐ Explain all voting decisions
- ☐ Explain some voting decisions
- ☐ Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- ☒ No explanations provided

**LEA
26.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- ☐ Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- ☐ Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- ☒ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Between quarterly and annually
- ☐ Less frequently than annually
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ No
- ☐ We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- ☐ We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Direct – Private Equity

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

PE 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

PE 04.1 Indicate if your organisation's investment guidelines for private equity refer to responsible investment.

☒ Our investment guidelines do refer to responsible investment

PE 04.2 Describe how your organisation's investment guidelines outline your expectations on staff and portfolio companies' approach towards ESG issues [Optional].

We have an extensive RI policy for the entire pension plan. This RI policy is referenced in our investment guidelines for private equity. Specifically, the head of private equity is ultimately responsible for the analysis, identification, and mitigation of RI-related risks and opportunities. This responsibility cascades throughout the team and each team member in turn is responsible for RI monitoring. While we do not direct portfolio companies to adopt ESG practices we consider this to be best practice and have and will work with companies as they develop CSR programs, especially where our ownership stake is significant.

☐ Our investment guidelines do not refer to responsible investment

☐ We do not have investment guidelines

Pre-investment (selection)

PE 07	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------	-------

PE 07.1 Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting private equity investments.

☒ Yes

PE 07.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in private equity investment selection. [Optional]

Deal teams are responsible for flagging any initial ESG issues at the screening stage, working with the internal RI professional on a due diligence plan to address any issues, including obtaining formal approval for expenditures on external consultants as required, and detailing at the investment decision stage how these issues were mitigated. When necessary, the in-house RI specialist will be a member of the deal team bringing an opportunity forward for a decision. In addition, key ESG concerns are identified proactively on a country and regional basis. These concerns are then confirmed, dismissed, or modified as a result of work on individual opportunities. For example, we have identified labour rights concerns as particularly (but not exclusively) pertinent for some US states and all emerging and frontier markets.

☐ No

PE 09**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 1,3****PE 09.1**

Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the investment selection process and list up to three typical examples per issue.

ESG issues

☒ Environmental

List up to three typical examples of environmental issues

Regulatory compliance

Regulatory support for alternative energy

Controversies surrounding conventional energy production

☒ Social

List up to three typical examples of social issues

Labour rights

Human rights

Stakeholder Relations

☒ Governance

List up to three typical examples of governance issues

This is always an area of focus for us and, in this instance, included a systematic consideration of alignment of interests and negative controls.

Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

PE 13**Mandatory****Gateway/Core Assessed****PRI 2**

PE 13.1	Indicate whether your organisation incorporates ESG issues in investment monitoring of portfolio companies.
----------------	---

☒ Yes

PE 13.2	Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.
----------------	---

- ☒ >90% of portfolio companies
- ☐ 51-90% of portfolio companies
- ☐ 10-50% of portfolio companies
- ☐ <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 13.3	Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.
----------------	---

ESG issues

- ☐ Environmental
- ☐ Social
- ☐ Governance
- ☒ We do not set and/or monitor against targets

☐ No

PE 13.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

We work with our partners or management to ensure that material ESG issues are identified and mitigated. This continues throughout the investment monitoring stage of the process.

PE 14	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

PE 14.1	Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).
----------------	---

☒ Yes

PE 14.2

Indicate what percentage of your portfolio companies has an ESG/sustainability policy (or similar guidelines).

- ☒ >90% of portfolio companies
- ☐ 51-90% of portfolio companies
- ☐ 10-50% of portfolio companies
- ☐ <10% of portfolio companies
- ☐ 0% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

☐ No**PE 14.3**

Additional information. [Optional]

Communication**PE 20****Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 6****PE 20.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses ESG information on your private equity investments.

☒ Disclose publicly

provide URL

<http://www.optrust.com/annualreports/default.asp>**PE 20.2**

Indicate whether the type of ESG information you proactively provide to the public is the same as that you provide to your clients (LPs)/beneficiaries.

☒ Yes**PE 20.3**

Indicate the type of ESG information that your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or your clients (LPs)/beneficiaries.

- ☒ ESG information in relation to our pre-investment activities
- ☒ ESG information in relation to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities
- ☒ Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance
- ☐ Other, specify

**PE
20.4**

Indicate your organisation's typical frequency of disclosing ESG information to the public and/or your clients(LPs)/beneficiaries.

- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Semi annually
- ☒ Annually
- ☐ Every two years or less frequently
- ☐ Ad-hoc, specify

**PE
20.5**

Describe the ESG information and how your organisation proactively discloses it to the public and/or clients (LPs)/beneficiaries. [Optional]

We do not do routine disclosure. We have disclosed information about our process, including the role ESG analysis plays in the process for other purposes. In addition, we disclose on a discretionary basis information about ESG issues related to particular investments in our annual reports and on our website.

- ☐ No
- ☐ Disclose to investor clients (LPs)/beneficiaries only
- ☐ No proactive disclosure to the public or to clients (LPs)/beneficiaries

PE 20.6

Additional information. [Optional]

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Property

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

PR 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

PR 05.1 Indicate if your organisation has a Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy.

☒ Yes

PR 05.2 Provide a URL if your RPI policy is publicly available. [Optional]

<http://www.optrust.com/documents/Investments/Statement-of-Responsible-Investing-Principles.pdf>

☐ No

PR 05.3 Additional information. [Optional]

OPTrust's approach to RI in Real Estate investing is outlined in our overarching RI policy and referenced in specific internal policies.

Pre-investment (selection)

PR 08	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------	-------

PR 08.1 Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting property investments.

☒ Yes

PR 08.2 Provide a description of your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in property investment selection. [Optional]

As part of the formal due diligence and investment decision making process, the REG considers OPTrust's Statement of Responsible Investing Principles ("SRIP") and is mandated to identify and consider ESG issues. Third party engineering/consulting firms and internal staff complete sustainability/environmental and physical condition assessments. Third party legal counsel is requisitioned to complete legal and regulatory review.

☐ No

PR 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

PR 10.1

Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the property investment selection process and list up to three examples per issue.

ESG issues

☒ Environmental

List up to three typical examples of environmental issues

Historical and potential land and building contamination.

Capital improvements required to maintain or improve energy and environmental efficiency.

Review for relevant building certifications.

☒ Social

List up to three typical examples of social issues

Compliance with OPTrust's Statement of Responsible Investing Principles

☒ Governance

List up to three typical examples of governance issues

Compliance with OPTrust's Statement of Responsible Investing Principles.

Selection, appointment and monitoring third-party property managers

PR 13**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 4****PR 13.1**

Indicate if your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third-party property managers.

☒ Yes

PR 13.2

Indicate how your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third party property managers.

☒ Selection process of property managers incorporated ESG issues

☒ For all third party property managers

☐ For a majority of property managers

☐ For a minority of property managers

☒ Contractual requirements when appointing property managers includes ESG issues

☒ For all third party property managers

☐ For a majority of property managers

☐ For a minority of property managers

☒ Monitoring of property managers covers ESG responsibilities and implementation

☒ For all third party property managers

☐ For a majority of property managers

☐ For a minority of property managers

PR 13.3

Provide a brief description of your organisation's selection, appointment and monitoring of third party property managers. [Optional]

The REG screens third party property managers for ESG capabilities within their organization during its due diligence process and engages its existing managers to review ESG issues on a regular basis.

PR 13.4

Describe how your third party property managers contribute to the management of ESG issues for your property investments. [Optional]

The third party property manager's primary contribution is to implement BOMA BEST or LEED certification, and participate in available ESG programs.

☐ No

Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

Overview

PR 14

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 2

PR 14.1

Indicate if your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

☒ Yes

PR 14.2

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in the following post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

- ☒ We consider ESG issues in property monitoring and management
- ☒ We consider ESG issues in property developments and refurbishments
- ☒ We consider ESG issues in property occupier engagements
- ☐ We consider ESG issues in community engagements related to our properties
- ☐ We consider ESG issues in other post-investment activities, specify

PR 14.3

Describe how your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities related to your property assets. [Optional]

Where it pertains to property management, the REG attempts to qualify our properties for BOMA BEST or LEED certification. Properties are also enrolled in the Real Property Association of Canada ("REALpac") energy and water benchmarking programs. In addition, agreements for rooftop solar generation of electricity have been implemented.

☐ No

Property monitoring and management

PR 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,3

PR 15.1

Indicate the proportion of property assets for which your organisation, and/or property managers, set and monitored ESG targets (KPIs or similar) during the reporting year.

- ☐ >90% of property assets
- ☐ 51-90% of property assets
- ☒ 10-50% of property assets
- ☐ <10% of property assets

(in terms of number of property assets)

PR 15.2

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation, and/or property managers, typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues

☒ Environmental

List up to three example targets per issue

Monitor energy performance

Monitor water performance

Monitor GHG emissions

☒ Social

List up to three example targets per issue

Compliance with OPTrust's Statement of Responsible Investing Principles

☒ Governance

List up to three example targets per issue

Compliance with OPTrust's Statement of Responsible Investing Principles

☐ We do not set and/or monitor against targets

PR 15.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Where feasible, the REG's managers also monitor waste/recycling performance.

Property developments and refurbishments

PR 17

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

PR 17.1

Indicate the proportion of active property developments and refurbishments where ESG issues have been considered.

- ☒ >90% of active developments and refurbishments
- ☐ 51-90% of active developments and refurbishments
- ☐ 10-50% of active developments and refurbishments
- ☐ <10% of active developments and refurbishments
- ☐ N/A, no developments and refurbishments of property assets are active

(by number of active property developments and refurbishments)

PR 17.2

Indicate if the following ESG considerations are typically implemented and monitored in your property developments and refurbishments.

- ☒ Minimum environmental site selection requirements
- ☒ Minimum environmental site development requirements
- ☒ Sustainable construction materials
- ☒ Minimum water efficiency requirements
- ☒ Minimum energy efficiency requirements
- ☒ Energy generation from on-site renewable sources
- ☒ Waste management plans at sites
- ☒ Health and safety management systems at sites
- ☐ Construction contractors comply with sustainability guidelines
- ☐ Other, specify

Occupier engagement

PR 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

PR 18.1	Indicate the proportion of property occupiers your organisation, and/or your property managers, engaged with on ESG issues during the reporting year.
----------------	---

- ☐ >90% of occupiers
☐ 51-90% of occupiers
☒ 10-50% of occupiers
☐ <10% of occupiers

(in terms of number of occupiers)

PR 18.2	Indicate if the following practises and areas are typically part of your, and/or your property managers', occupier engagements.
----------------	---

- ☒ Distribute a sustainability guide to occupiers
☒ Organise occupier events focused on increasing sustainability awareness
☐ Deliver training on energy and water efficiency
☐ Deliver training on waste minimisation
☒ Provide feedback on energy and water consumption and/or waste generation
☒ Provide feedback on waste generation
☒ Carry out occupier satisfaction surveys
☐ Other, specify

Communication

PR 23	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

PR 23.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses ESG information on your property investments.
----------------	---

- ☒ Disclose publicly

	provide URL
--	-------------

<http://www.optrust.com/annualreports/default.asp>

PR 23.2	Indicate if your organisation uses property specific reporting standards to disclose information related to your property investments' ESG performance.
----------------	---

- ☐ Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Construction & Real Estate Sector Supplement (CRESS)
☐ Other property reporting standards, specify
☒ No property specific reporting standards are used

PR 23.3	Indicate if the level of ESG information you provide to the public is the same as the level you provide to your clients/beneficiaries.
----------------	--

- ☒ Yes

**PR
23.4**

Indicate the type of ESG information that your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or your clients/beneficiaries.

- ☐ ESG information on how you select property investments
- ☐ ESG information on how you monitor and manage property investments
- ☐ Information on your property investments' ESG performance
- ☒ Other, specify

OPTrust's Annual Report includes information on how our Responsible Investing policy is implemented across our investments, including Real Estate.

**PR
23.5**

Indicate your organisation's typical frequency of disclosing ESG information to the public and/or your clients/beneficiaries.

- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Semi annually
- ☒ Annually
- ☐ Every two years or less frequently
- ☐ Ad-hoc, specify
- ☐ No
- ☐ Disclose to clients/beneficiaries only
- ☐ No proactive disclosure to the public or to clients/beneficiaries

OPSEU Pension Trust

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Infrastructure

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

INF 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

INF 05.1 Indicate if your organisation has a responsible investment policy for infrastructure.

☒ Yes

INF 05.2 Provide a URL if your policy is publicly available. [Optional]

<http://www.optrust.com/documents/Investments/Statement-of-Responsible-Investing-Principles.pdf>

☐ No

INF 05.3 Additional information. [Optional]

The Statement of Responsible Investing Principles applies to all asset classes, including infrastructure.

Pre-investment (selection)

INF 08	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------	-------

INF 08.1 Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting infrastructure investments.

☒ Yes

INF 08.2 Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in infrastructure investment selection. [Optional]

Deal teams are responsible for flagging any initial ESG issues at the screening stage, working with the internal RI professional on a due diligence plan to address any issues including obtaining formal approval for expenditures on external consultants as required, and detailing at the investment decision stage how these issues were mitigated. When necessary, the in-house RI specialist will be a member of the deal team bringing an opportunity forward for a decision. In addition, key ESG concerns are identified proactively on a country and regional basis. These concerns are then confirmed, dismissed, or modified as a result of work on individual opportunities. For example, we have identified labour rights concerns as particularly (but not exclusively) pertinent for some US states and all emerging and frontier markets.

☐ No

INF 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

INF 10.1	Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the investment selection process and list up to three typical examples per issue.
----------	--

ESG issues

☒ Environmental

	List up to three typical examples of environmental issues
--	---

Regulatory Compliance

Regulatory Support for alternative energy

☒ Social

	List up to three typical examples of social issues
--	--

Labour Rights

Human Rights

Stakeholder Relations

☒ Governance

	List up to three typical examples of governance issues
--	--

This is always an area of focus for us and, in this instance, included structural consideration of alignments of interests and negative controls.

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators

INF 13	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

INF 13.1	Indicate if your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third-party operators.
----------	--

☒ Yes

INF 13.2

Indicate your organisation includes ESG issues in your selection, appointment and/or monitoring of third-party operators.

- ☒ Selection process of third-party operators incorporates ESG issues
 - ☒ For all third-party operators
 - ☐ For a majority of third-party operators
 - ☐ For a minority of third-party operators
- ☒ Contractual requirements when appointing third-party operators includes ESG issues
 - ☒ For all third-party operators
 - ☐ For a majority of third-party operators
 - ☐ For a minority of third-party operators
- ☒ Monitoring of third-party operators covers ESG responsibilities and implementation
 - ☒ For all third-party operators
 - ☐ For a majority of third-party operators
 - ☐ For a minority of third-party operators

INF 13.3

Provide a brief description of your organisation's selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators. [Optional]

Corporate governance is a critical element of our selection, appointment, and monitoring of third-party operators. Where other ESG issues have been identified as potentially material, these issues are incorporated as well.

INF 13.4

Describe how your third-party operators contribute to the management of ESG issues for your infrastructure investments. [Optional]

Our expectation is that any ESG issue with the potential for either a material impact on the asset in question or for a reputational impact on the asset or its investors (including OPTTrust) will be proactively identified to us and will be managed accordingly. Our program is based on direct and regular contact between team members and external managers (GP- and asset-level) and our team members are required to monitor material ESG issues, and the proposed mitigation or exploitation strategy, on a proactive basis.

☐ No

Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)

Overview

INF 14

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 2

INF 14.1

Indicate if your organisation, and/or operators, consider ESG issues in post-investment activities relating to your infrastructure assets.

☒ Yes

INF 14.2

Indicate how your organisation, and/or operators, considers ESG issues in the following post-investment activities relating to your infrastructure assets.

- ☒ We consider ESG issues in the monitoring and operation of infrastructure
- ☒ We consider ESG issues in infrastructure maintenance
- ☒ We consider ESG issues in stakeholder engagements related to our infrastructure
- ☐ We consider ESG issues in other post-investment activities, specify

INF 14.3

Describe how your organisation, and/or operators, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities related to your infrastructure investments. [Optional]

We work with our partners or management to ensure that material ESG issues are identified and mitigated when identified. This continues throughout the investment monitoring stage of the process.

☐ No

Infrastructure monitoring and operations

INF 15**Mandatory****Core Assessed****PRI 2****INF 15.1**

Indicate the proportion of infrastructure assets where your organisation, and/or operators, included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- ☒ >90% of infrastructure assets
- ☐ 51-90% of infrastructure assets
- ☐ 10-50% of infrastructure assets
- ☐ <10% of infrastructure assets

(in terms of number of infrastructure assets)

INF 15.2

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation, and/or operators, typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

- ☐ Environmental
- ☐ Social
- ☐ Governance
- ☒ We do not set and/or monitor against targets

INF 15.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We work with our partners or management to ensure that material ESG issues are identified and mitigated. This continues throughout the investment monitoring stage of the process.

INF 16	Mandatory	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------------	--------------

INF 16.1	Indicate if you track the proportion of your infrastructure investees that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).
-----------------	---

☒ Yes

INF 16.2	Indicate the proportion of your infrastructure investees that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).
-----------------	--

- ☐ >90% of infrastructure investees
☒ 51-90% of infrastructure investees
☐ 10-50% of infrastructure investees
☐ <10% of infrastructure investees
☐ 0% of infrastructure investees

(in terms of number of infrastructure investees)

INF 16.3	Describe how your organisation, and/or your operators, contribute to the infrastructure investees' management of ESG issues. [Optional]
-----------------	---

Our involvement in managing ESG issues directly depends on our position within the corporate structure of the asset in question. Where team members serve as board members, they are directly involved in developing, monitoring, and altering ESG and sustainability-related policies and related decisions. In all cases, we work with the managers of our assets to identify and manage material ESG issues or those that may have a reputational impact on the asset or on OPTrust.

☐ No

Infrastructure maintenance

INF 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

INF 18.1	Indicate the proportion of active infrastructure maintenance projects where ESG issues have been considered.
-----------------	--

- ☒ >90% of active maintenance projects
- ☐ 51-90% of active maintenance projects
- ☐ 10-50% of active maintenance projects
- ☐ <10% of active maintenance projects
- ☐ N/A, no maintenance projects of infrastructure assets are active

(in terms of number of active maintenance projects)

Communication

INF 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

INF 22.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses ESG information on your infrastructure investments.
-----------------	---

- ☒ Disclose publicly

	please provide URL
--	--------------------

<http://www.optrust.com/annualreports/default.asp>

INF 22.2	Indicate if the level of ESG information you provide to the public is the same as the level you provide to your clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	--

- ☒ Yes

INF 22.3	Indicate the type of ESG information that your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or your clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	--

- ☒ ESG information on how you select infrastructure investments
- ☒ ESG information on how you monitor and manage infrastructure investments
- ☒ Information on your infrastructure investments' ESG performance
- ☐ Other; specify

INF 22.4	Indicate your organisation's typical frequency of disclosing ESG information to the public and/or your clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	---

- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Semi annually
- ☒ Annually
- ☐ Every two years or less frequently
- ☐ Ad-hoc; specify

**INF
22.5**

Describe the ESG information and how your organisation proactively discloses it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries. [Optional]

We do not do routine disclosure. We have disclosed information about our process, including the role ESG analysis plays in the process, for other purposes (e.g., to provide an overview of our group and approach for potential partners). In addition, we disclose on a discretionary basis information about ESG issues related to particular investments by highlighting the ESG-related aspects of particular investments in our annual reports.

☐ No

☐ Disclose to clients/beneficiaries only

☐ No proactive disclosure to the public or to clients/beneficiaries