



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for clients' needs or to influence <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff	
Collaborative engagements		<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for clients' needs or to influence <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements	
Service provider engagements		<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition for clients' needs or to influence <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2013/14

Pension Fund City of Zurich (PKZH)

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Private							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	-	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	-	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	-	n/a		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Pension Fund City of Zurich (PKZH)

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation or endowment
- Development bank
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Switzerland

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

37.3

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		14	659	800	000
Currency	CHF				
Assets in USD		15	668	897	877

OO 04.3 Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1 To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	38.2
Fixed income – corporate	0	7.3
Fixed income – government	0	25
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	5.3
Property	0	9.8
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	2.5
Hedge funds	0	7.8
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0

Cash	0	2.8
Other (1), specify	0	1.3
Other (2), specify	0	0

'Other (1)' specified

Currency Hedge Overlay, Asset Allocation Overlay and Rest

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges
- Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

OO 10.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We work together with Ethos for Swiss companies and Hermes EOS for companies in other countries than Switzerland. Please see LEA 16.1 for further information on the proxy voting service provided by Hermes EOS.

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.2	Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.
----------------	--

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Private equity
- Property
- Commodities
- Hedge funds
- Cash
- Other (1)
- None of the above

	'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]
--	-----------------------------------

Currency Hedge Overlay, Asset Allocation Overlay and Rest

OO 11.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers covering both our global equity and corporate bond positions. Please see LEA 1.1 for further information on the engagement service provided by Hermes EOS.

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1	The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.
----------------	---

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

	Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
--	---

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government
- Property

Closing module

- Closing module

Note: Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

Pension Fund City of Zurich (PKZH)

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
- No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
- No

Die PKZH fördert die Nachhaltigkeitspolitik von Unternehmen und Anlagestiftungen, indem sie

- die Aktionärsrechte ausübt und den Dialog mit dem Management sucht mit dem Ziel, die ökonomische, soziale und ökologische Nachhaltigkeit zu fördern.
- Falls weder durch den Dialog noch durch die Ausübung der Aktionärsrechte eine Verbesserung von Verletzungen erreicht wird, prüft die PKZH den Ausschluss aus ihrem Anlageuniversum.
- Dialog und Ausübung der Aktionärsrechte werden nach Möglichkeit in Zusammenarbeit mit andern Investoren vorgenommen und an spezialisierte externe Firmen delegiert.
- Vermögensverwaltungsmandate mit Titelselektion aufgrund nachhaltiger Kriterien unterstehen den gleichen Selektionskriterien wie aktive Mandate.

Vorgaben des Bundesrechts und der Anlagegrundsätze der PKZH

Die PKZH ist gesetzlich verpflichtet, auch bei nachhaltigen Vermögensanlagen einen marktkonformen Ertrag anzustreben und die Ausübung der Stimmrechte zu regeln. Die PKZH will durch ihre Nachhaltigkeitspolitik keine Verschlechterung des Rendite-Risiko-Verhältnisses und keine Beeinträchtigung der Diversifikation erreichen. Zudem sollen die Massnahmen die PKZH nicht unverhältnismässig beanspruchen und zu hohen Kosten führen.

Die PKZH ist bestrebt, die Nachhaltigkeitspolitik unter Einhaltung der oben genannten Vorgaben umzusetzen.

Förderung der nachhaltigen Entwicklung

Die PKZH will in ihrer Rolle als Investorin und Eigenkapitalgeberin in Unternehmen und Anlagestiftungen eine nachhaltige Entwicklung fördern. Dazu übt sie bei Unternehmen ihre Aktionärsrechte aus und sucht den Dialog mit dem Management (Engagement). Dies gilt analog auch für Anlagestiftungen und ähnliche Anlagevehikel, in welche die PKZH investiert ist. Die PKZH fördert die ökonomische, soziale und ökologische Nachhaltigkeit, indem sie eine gute Corporate Governance^[1], die Einhaltung internationaler Menschen- und Arbeitsrechte sowie die Einhaltung ökologischer Standards (allgemein: z. B. Reduktion des CO₂-Ausstosses; im Aktienbereich: z. B. UN Global Compact; im Immobilienbereich: z. B. Minergie-Standard) fordert.

Zusammenarbeit und Erfahrungsaustausch mit anderen Investoren

Die PKZH hält nur unmassgebliche Beteiligungen an Unternehmen. Um Unternehmensleitungen dennoch wirksam beeinflussen zu können, sucht sie zur effizienten Förderung der nachhaltigen Unternehmensentwicklungen ein gemeinsames Vorgehen mit anderen Investoren. Die PKZH ist auch auf internationaler Ebene bestrebt, den Erfahrungsaustausch und die Zusammenarbeit mit anderen Investoren zu suchen. Diese Zusammenarbeit erfolgt International u. a. als Unterzeichnerin der «UN Principles for Responsible Investments». Ist die PKZH eine massgebliche Investorin, übt sie ihren Einfluss direkt aus (z. B. Vertretung im Stiftungsrat einer Anlagestiftung).

Delegation an externe spezialisierte Firmen

Die Ausübung der Aktionärsrechte und das Engagement werden an externe spezialisierte Firmen übertragen. Dies stellt sicher, dass es aufgrund der grossen Anzahl von Beteiligungen nicht zu einer unverhältnismässigen Beanspruchung der Kassenorgane und der Geschäftsstelle kommt.

Ausschlusspolitik

Die PKZH prüft den Ausschluss eines Unternehmens aus ihrem Anlageuniversum, wenn dieses in grober Weise die Einhaltung ökonomischer, sozialer oder ökologischer Standards verletzt und es weder durch die Ausübung der Aktionärsrechte noch durch anhaltenden Dialog davon abgehalten werden kann. Der Ausschluss eines Unternehmens kommt jedoch nur als Ultima-Ratio-Entscheidung infrage.

Qualifikation nachhaltiger Vermögensverwaltungsmandate als aktive Mandate

Vermögensverwaltungsmandate mit einer Titelselektion aufgrund nachhaltiger Kriterien werden von der PKZH als aktive Mandate - unabhängig von einer aktiven oder indexierten Bewirtschaftung - qualifiziert. Die Vergabe eines solchen Mandats untersteht damit den gleichen Selektionskriterien wie andere aktive Mandate.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 02.1	Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.
---------	---

Yes

OA 02.2	Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.
---------	--

URL

<https://www.pkzh.ch/content/pkzh/de/index/infothek/Rechtsgrundlagen/Reglemente1.html>

No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 03.1	Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.
---------	--

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
OA 04.3	Additional information. [Optional]

There is no standardised process in place. We don't foresee any significant conflicts of interest. We have strong checks and balances in our investment decision process, we have no internal asset management and our compensation is not linked to performance. When an conflict of interest occurs the Investment Committee decides spontaneously. We also enquire possible conflict of interests with all our external managers. So far any conflict has been reported.

Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	
OA 05.2	Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.
<input checked="" type="radio"/> At least once per year <input type="radio"/> Less than once per year	

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Every two years or less
 - It is not reviewed
- No

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

OA 08.2

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. [Optional]

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

Yes

OA 10.2

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Responsible Investment
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Ethos Engagement Pool in Switzerland

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Hermes EOS, UK

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

No

OA 10.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Our engagement service provider, Hermes EOS, is involved in the following key networks on our behalf.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association (ACGA)
- Assogestioni (Italy)
- Canadian Coalition of Good Governance (CCGG)
- Carbon Disclosure Project & Water Disclosure Project
- Corporate Reporting Users' Forum (Europe)
- Council of Institutional Investors (USA)
- Dansif (Denmark)
- DSW (German Shareholder Association)
- Eumedion (Netherlands)
- European Investors' Working Group
- Eurosif (Europe)
- FIR (French Social Investment Forum)
- Forest Footprint Disclosure Project
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- International Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- KOSIF (Korea)
- National Association of Pension Funds (UK)
- UKSIF
- UN Environment Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)

OA 11 Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 4

OA 11.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes
- No

Pension Fund City of Zurich (PKZH)

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

SAM 02

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

**SAM
02.1**

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

**SAM
02.2**

Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class

- Listed Equity (LE)
- Fixed income - corporate (FIC)
- Fixed income - government (FIG)
- Private equity (PE)
- Property (PR)
- Other asset classes

We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

Asset class

- Listed Equity (LE)
- Fixed income - corporate (FIC)
- Fixed income - government (FIG)
- Private equity (PE)
- Property (PR)
- Other asset classes

We use fiduciary managers

**SAM
02.3**

Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants

Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them

Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring

We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

No

SAM 03	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------	-----------	---------	---------

SAM 03.1	Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring
-----------------	--

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

SAM 03.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We invest in investment trust. We are members of the board of trustees of that trust and are therefore able to implement our green building strategie and the affordable housing strategy.

Private equity (PE), property (PR) and infrastructure (INF) - non-listed assets

Selection

SAM 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

SAM 10.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:
-----------------	---

	General
--	---------

	PR	
Review the manager's/general partner's responsible investment policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the manager's governance and management of responsible investment	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assess the external manager's reporting and how it will help you to monitor that it is acting consistent with the agreed-upon ESG-related policies and practices during the life of the fund	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review the manager's responsible investment disclosure, including PRI reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PR	
Assess the manager's policies, processes and systems for identifying ESG-related value drivers and managing material ESG-related risks pre-investment	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other pre-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

		PR	
Understand if and how the manager influences and supports its portfolio companies/assets' management of ESG-related risks and pursuit of ESG-related opportunities		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Discuss examples of how managers have previously identified and addressed ESG issues in their portfolios on an ongoing basis		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assess the manager's/general partner's approach to managing and disclosing material incidents at the manager / General Partner and underlying holdings		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other post-investment aspects reviewed in your selection process, specify		<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Monitoring

SAM 12	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
SAM 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager, typically do any of the following:		
	General		

	PR	
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives or organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Investment selection (pre-investment) of underlying holding

	PR	
Discuss how the analysis of ESG issues affected investment decisions during the reporting period	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other ways ESG issues are incorporated in the pre-investment process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Investment monitoring (post-investment) of underlying holding

		PR	
Request reports on the ESG characteristics of the manager's underlying holdings and discuss related developments that may impact holdings in the fund		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Request examples of ESG issues identified within the portfolio and action taken in response		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Request details of how ESG factors were considered when preparing to exit from investments		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other ways ESG issues are monitored in the post-investment process, specify		<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Communication

SAM 16

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 6

**SAM
16.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Pension Fund City of Zurich (PKZH)

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 02

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI
1,2,3

LEA
02.1

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA
02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

See LEA 1.1 for further information on the engagement service provided by Hermes EOS.

Process

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,4
LEA 07.1	Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes			
LEA 07.2	Indicate what role you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specify the issues for the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Specify the objectives for the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Select the companies to be engaged with <input type="checkbox"/> Participate directly in the engagements with your service provider <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Actively monitor and review the activities of the service provider <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify			
<input type="radio"/> No			
LEA 07.3	Additional information. [Optional]		

We actively monitor and review the activities of our service providers Ethos and Hermes EOS through quarterly calls and regular reports.

LEA 08	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
LEA 08.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider		
Please select all that apply			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The objectives of the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> The rationale for engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Progress towards achieving engagement goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Next steps for engagement activity <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above			

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

LEA 10.1	Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

LEA 10.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Ethos' and Hermes EOS' regular reporting provides full disclosure on the number of engagements conducted on our behalf.

Communication

LEA 15	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 15.1	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.
-----------------	--

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
-----------------	---

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 18	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-------------	-------

LEA 18.1	Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate what percentage your organisation reviewed, and the reasons for the review.
----------	---

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- >20%
- 5-20%
- 1-5%
- <1%

Reasons for review

- Specific ESG issues
- Votes where a significant level of ownership is held
- Votes against management or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- Other, explain

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
----------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

Ethos and Hermes discuss the votes with the management.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	---

We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

We do not track or collect this information

LEA 22.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

Our service provider, Hermes EOS, submits vote instructions on all ballots available to vote in the portfolios for which we have subscribed to their proxy voting service. We vote on all shares in Switzerland that we receive voting instructions from Ethos.

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEA
26.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

**LEA
26.6**

Additional information. [Optional]

We provide a link to our service providers.