



LEA 02	Disclosures Mandatory	Reason for interaction	Principle PRI 1,2,3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company relevant ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p>		
Collaborative engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company relevant ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p>		
Service provider engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company relevant ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2013/14

PanAgora Asset Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	-	n/a							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	-	n/a							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	-	n/a	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	-	n/a	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	-	n/a							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	🔒	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

PanAgora Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

<10%

10-50%

>50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory only services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

1

2-5

6-10

>10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

105

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 | Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

OO 04.2 | Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		30	527	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		30	527	000	000

OO 04.3 | Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 06.1 | To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income – corporate	<10%	0
Fixed income – government	<10%	0
Fixed income – other	0	0
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	<10%	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	10-50%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

'Other (1)' specified

Asset Allocation

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 10.1	Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.
---------	--

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

OO 10.3	Additional information. [Optional]
---------	------------------------------------

PanAgora tests differing responsible investment factors for efficacy within our models. PanAgora has committed to continue this Responsible Investment research in the spirit of being a signatory of the Principles. At this time, our research has noted efficacy with regard to a number of factors we would deem to be related to corporate governance within a number of our strategies. These factors are incorporated into certain strategies, as appropriate. To date, we have not noted positive results through our backtests regarding Environmental or Social factors. PanAgora is committed to continued testing of differing responsible investment factors for efficacy within its various investment models and would consider the implementation of factors deemed to be profitable, as appropriate, as that research continues. . Additionally, PanAgora currently subscribes to ISS' Sustainability proxy voting policy in addition to the standard ISS policy, from which clients may choose.

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Hedge funds
- Other (1)
- None of the above

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]

Asset Allocation

OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1 The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- (Proxy) voting

Closing module

- Closing module

Note: Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

At PanAgora Asset Management, we believe that attractive risk-adjusted returns can be achieved through a disciplined, systematic approach to investing that capitalizes on the insights of talented investment professionals. Further, we believe a process deploying quantitative techniques based upon sound fundamental insights - whether they relate to investor behavior, a company's operations, macro-economic signals, micro structure variables, or other trends in the marketplace - is likely to generate more persistent performance than less disciplined approaches or those that rely too heavily upon statistical techniques without fully understanding the underlying factors that influence the price movement of a given stock, bond, or other instrument. Finally, we believe that continuous innovative research is the foundation of any successful investment strategy. Markets are constantly evolving and in order to maintain a competitive edge, successful investors must challenge themselves to uncover distinguished sources of alpha and portfolio construction and trading techniques

PanAgora Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Overarching Approach

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	------------------------------	----------------

OA 01.1 Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes
 No

OA 01.2 Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes
 No

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

OA 02.1 Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes
 No

OA 02.3 Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
 No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

OA 03.1 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 03.2 Comment on any variations or exceptions in the coverage of your responsible investment policy. [Optional]

With regard to PanAgora's Proxy Voting, PanAgora has subscribed to the ISS Sustainability Proxy Voting Policy with regard to select PanAgora private funds and in order to give clients who have expressed concerns related to ESG issues the option to have their proxies voted in a manner consistent with those concerns.

OA 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

PanAgora also adheres to customized screens to fit any client-specific guidelines or restrictions. We have elected to note this separately and not within the selections included in OA3.1 as such guidelines do not apply to all AUM.

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

OA 04.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

Regarding client conflicts of interest, the PanAgora Chief Compliance Officer and portfolio management teams are responsible for identifying whether conflicts do or may exist, ensuring that conflicts are addressed in a fair and equitable manner and ensuring that conflicts are adequately disclosed to our clients.

PanAgora is a fiduciary that owes each of its clients a duty of care and loyalty. PanAgora is required to manage each client's portfolio and to execute securities transactions for clients in a manner that is most favorable under the circumstances. Accordingly, it is PanAgora's policy to identify circumstances and relationships between PanAgora, its personnel, clients and other affiliates that are or could potentially be deemed a conflict of interest. PanAgora will assess the impact of such conflict on its clients and devises policies and associated procedures to address such a conflict of interest. PanAgora's policies and procedures will be fair and equitable for PanAgora and its clients. PanAgora clients will not be at a disadvantage to the firm or its affiliates and we will make full disclosure of any conflicts to our clients.

Regarding conflict within the firm, PanAgora employees have a dedicated Human Resources and Benefits specialist available to address a variety of issues relating to conflict. Additionally, PanAgora has established Ethics phone lines that are available to employees, which are maintained and staffed by a third-party service provider. PanAgora also utilizes an independent Ombudsman, who is available to all employees of PanAgora, to report business matters that they believe constitute ethical concerns or questionable practices.

No

Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

At least once per year

Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Every two years or less
 - It is not reviewed
- No

OA 05.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Given PanAgora's commitment to the UNPRI and the fact that innovative research is a primary objective to our Firm, we conduct research regarding both ESG and non-ESG issues on an annual basis. PanAgora's investment teams perform continuous research, including the testing of ESG factors, across a number of our investment strategies. When factors are found to be profitable in a given strategy's model, they are implemented as appropriate.

Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Chief Compliance Officer

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

Promoting responsible investment

OA 10	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 10.1

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

Yes

OA 10.2

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

No

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

OA 11.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes
- No

PanAgora Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities


Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1 Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.


ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)




Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)



Screening + Integration strategies




Thematic + integration strategies

Screening + thematic strategies

All three strategies combined

No incorporation strategies applied



Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

As a quantitative investment manager, research of new factors, both ESG and non-ESG, is paramount to the continued success of PanAgora's investment strategies. As part of that research and our commitment to the UNPRI, PanAgora's investment teams test differing responsible investment factors for efficacy within their respective models. Factors, including those that are ESG-related, are only implemented within specific strategies if found to have efficacy within the strategy's respective model. We consider assets within these strategies to be "Integration Alone" assets.

Importantly, PanAgora also takes ESG factors into account when making investment decisions when so directed by our clients through adherence to any client-provided ESG restricted security and/or sector lists. In such instances, all restricted lists are coded within PanAgora's automated compliance system and monitored on a pre and post-trade basis by PanAgora's Compliance team.

In instances where a client may be invested in a strategy where the model already includes profitable ESG factors in addition to having provided a restricted list, we consider these assets to be a combination of "Screening + Integration".

After rigorous backtesting of responsible investment factors, some of PanAgora's investment teams have concluded that ESG signals do not add value over and above the existing models and have thus decided not to implement them into the respective strategy at this time. We would consider assets that are managed in strategies in which ESG signals have not been implemented and no client-provided restricted lists are being applied to be "No incorporation strategies applied".

In instances where assets are invested in a strategy in which ESG signals have not tested to be profitable and have thus not been implemented, however, a client-provided restricted list is in place, we consider these assets to be "Screening alone".

(A) Implementation: Screening**LEI 06****Mandatory****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 06.1**

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening Negative/exclusionary screening**Screened by**

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Sector, country/geographic region (i.e. UNSC-sanctioned countries), and environmental or social screening is done through client-provided restricted lists applied to their respective portfolios.

At this time, our research has noted efficacy in some of our investment models with regard to a number of factors we would deem to be related to Corporate Governance. These factors are incorporated into certain strategies, as appropriate.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify
Office of Foreign Assets Control

Description

As a Firm, PanAgora undertakes Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) reviews prior to accepting a new client. Further PanAgora does not invest in OFAC sanctioned countries

LEI 06.2 Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

Please refer to our previous responses

LEI 07	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 07.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify

PanAgora currently adheres to customized screens to fit any client-specific guidelines or restrictions. Typically these are received in the form of a client-provided list or
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues
--

LEI 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEI 11.1	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

LEI 11.2 | Additional information. [Optional]

As a quantitative investment manager, research of new factors, both ESG and non-ESG, is paramount to the continued success of PanAgora's investment strategies. As part of that research and our commitment to the UNPRI, PanAgora's investment teams test differing responsible investment factors for efficacy within their respective models. Factors, including those that are ESG-related, are only implemented within specific strategies if found to have efficacy within the strategy's respective model.

PanAgora is committed to continued testing of differing responsible investment factors for efficacy within its various investment models and would consider the implementation of factors deemed to be profitable, as appropriate, as that research continues.

Communication

LEI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

LEI 18.1 | Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

LEI 18.5 | Additional information. [Optional]

PanAgora provides information regarding our UNPRI reporting assessment and an updated copy of our UN PRI Policy upon request. Further PanAgora provides compliance certifications to clients when requested, some of these clients' accounts being those to whom a client-provided ESG restricted list or screen is being applied.

PanAgora Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Process

LEA 17

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA
17.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain

LEA
17.2

Additional information.[Optional]

While the majority of our accounts utilize ISS' standard proxy voting policy, clients may elect to use ISS' Sustainability policy.

LEA 21

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA
21.1

Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 22.1 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

98

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

We do not track or collect this information

Communication

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

LEA 26.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 26.3 Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA
26.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
 - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
 - Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

LEA
26.6

Additional information. [Optional]

PanAgora proactively requests that ISS, our proxy voting provider, send voting summary reports to any of our clients requesting them.