



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues	
Collaborative engagements		<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements	
Service provider engagements		<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage corporate transition or identify the need for additional or other capacity <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers	

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2013/14

STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

## PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Private							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	✓	Private	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	✓	Private				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Private		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 02	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private	✓						
FI 02	Breakdown of investments by credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 03	Description of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
FI 04	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
FI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Description of ESG integration	✓	Private	✓						
FI 11	Review of ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
FI 13	Incorporation of ESG issues into analysis and decision making	✓	Public	✓						
FI 14	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Private	✓						
FI 15	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
FI 16	Incorporation of ESG issues has improved financial/ESG performance and reduced risk	✓	Private	✓						
FI 17	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
FI 18	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓
FI 19	Engagement with corporate issuers	✓	Private		✓					
FI 20	Engagement with government issuers	✓	Private		✓					

Direct - Property				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PR 01	Breakdown of investments by equity and debt	✓	Private							✓
PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
PR 03	Largest property types	✓	Private							✓
PR 04	Description of approach to RI	🔒	n/a	✓					✓	
PR 05	Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy	✓	Public	✓						✓
PR 06	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓		✓	
PR 07	Formal commitments to RI	✓	Private				✓			
PR 08	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PR 09	ESG advice and research when selecting investments	✓	Private	✓			✓			
PR 10	Examples of ESG issues in investment selection process	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PR 11	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Private	✓		✓				
PR 12	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
PR 13	ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers	🔒	n/a				✓			
PR 14	ESG issues in post-investment activities	✓	Public		✓					
PR 15	Proportion of assets with ESG targets that were set and monitored	✓	Public		✓	✓				
PR 16	Certification schemes, ratings and benchmarks	✓	Private		✓					
PR 17	Proportion of developments and refurbishments where ESG issues were considered	✓	Public		✓					
PR 18	Proportion of property occupiers that were engaged with	🔒	n/a		✓					
PR 19	Proportion of green leases or MOUs referencing ESG issues	🔒	n/a		✓					
PR 20	Proportion of assets engaged with on community issues	✓	Private		✓					
PR 21	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PR 22	Examples of ESG issues that affected your property investments	✓	Private	✓		✓				
PR 23	Disclosure of ESG information to public and clients/beneficiaries	✓	Public						✓	



# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

<10%

10-50%

>50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory only services

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

South Africa

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

1

2-5

6-10

>10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

671

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

**OO 04.1** | Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2013

**OO 04.2** | Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		545	000	000	000
Currency	ZAR				
Assets in USD		52	769	037	890

**OO 04.3** | Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

**OO 06.1** | To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income – corporate	10-50%	<10%
Fixed income – government	<10%	<10%
Fixed income – other	<10%	<10%
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	<10%	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	10-50%	<10%
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

## Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 10.1** Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG issues into investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers
- None of the above

**OO 10.2** Indicate if your combined internally and externally managed listed equities are 10% or more of your total AUM.

- Yes, our total listed equities are 10% or more of our total AUM
- No, our total listed equities are less than 10% of our total AUM

OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 11.1** Indicate if in the reporting year you incorporated ESG issues into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices in the following internally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Fixed Income – other
- Property
- Cash
- None of the above

**OO 11.2** Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.

- Fixed income – corporate
- Fixed income – government
- Fixed Income – other
- Cash
- None of the above

<b>OO 12</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------

**OO 12.1** The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Property

**RI implementation via external managers**

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government

**Closing module**

Closing module

**Note:** Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.3** Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

STANLIB has incorporated ESG thinking into its research process for all shares that it analyses. We have a 25 question questionnaire that needs to be answered with most of the questions dealing with governance issues such as Transparency, Board Independence, Remuneration, Ownership and Accountability, and Strategy and Execution of the strategy. More recently we have started evaluating companies in terms of their commitment to environmental and social issues and questions such as the following need to be answered. In Addition to the Stewardship Pillar, we have a Proxy Policy in place that deals with how we vote on each and every client proxy. The Analyst will vote the proxy, and in the cause of any dispute or an issue where we do not have a precedent, the issue is Escalated to the Head of Research and the Managing Director of Asset Management

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes

**OA 02.2** Provide a URL to your responsible investment policy.

URL

<http://www.stanlib.com/Institutional/AboutInstitutional/Pages/CRISAConsiderations.aspx>

- No



**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes
  - Yes, all
  - Yes, some

**OA 02.4** List these other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment that are publicly available and their URLs.

Policy or document name	URL
Proxy voting	<a href="http://stanlib.com">http://stanlib.com</a>

- No

**OA 03** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

**OA 04** **Mandatory** **Core Assessed** **General**

**OA 04.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- Yes

OA 04.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process. [Optional]

STANLIB has a Conflict of Interest Policy in terms of Financial Advisors and Intermediary Services Act (Act No. 37 of 2002 - "the FAIS Act") to address any conflict of Interest in the investment process. In terms of the FAIS Act SYANLIB must take all necessary steps to eliminate any practices and/or services that may create a conflict between their interests and the interests of an existing or potential client. In addition, the Code requires that where it is not possible to avoid any act, action or reward that may create a potential conflict of interest, appropriate steps must be taken to mitigate the impact and it must be appropriately disclosed to the client at the earliest reasonable opportunity. In addition, our internal Proxy Voting Policy also addresses conflict resolution.

No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 05.1

Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.

Yes

OA 05.2

Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.

- At least once per year
- Less than once per year

OA 05.3

Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

- Quarterly
- Biannually
- Annually
- Every two years or less
- It is not reviewed

No

## Governance and human resources

OA 08

Mandatory

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

OA 08.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

## Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - MD and Head of Research**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify
- Other role, specify

## Promoting responsible investment

OA 10

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

OA 10.1

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

Yes

OA 10.2

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

No

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 11.1** Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

**OA 11.2** Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

We have participated in Responsible Investment/ESG issue-related organisations or collaborative initiatives.

No

# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

SAM 02

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 4

**SAM 02.1**

Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

**SAM 02.2**

Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class

Listed Equity (LE)

Fixed income - corporate (FIC)

Fixed income - government (FIG)

Other asset classes

We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

We use fiduciary managers

**SAM 02.3**

Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants

Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them

Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring

We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

No

**SAM 02.4**

Additional information. [Optional]

We used independent Asset consultants that assisted in selecting external Asset managers, in this case Threadneedle and Brandywine who are responsible for our Listed Equity, Fixed Income - Corporate and Fixed income-government

SAM 03

Mandatory

Gateway

General

<b>SAM 03.1</b>	Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring
-----------------	--

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - corporate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fixed income - government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)**

**Overview**

<b>SAM 05</b>	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2
---------------	-----------	---------	---------

<b>SAM 05.1</b>	Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:
-----------------	---

**Active investment strategies**

Active investment strategies	LE	FIC	FIG
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Thematic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>SAM 05.2</b>	Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.
-----------------	---



	LE	FIC
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**SAM 05.3** Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	LE
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Selection**

**SAM 06** Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 1-6

**SAM 06.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

General

	LE	FIC	FIG
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## ESG incorporation

	LE	FIC	FIG
Evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assess how the manager incentivises brokers to provide ESG research	<input type="checkbox"/>	n/a	n/a
Assess managers' ESG incorporation strategies and ability to identify and manage ESG issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss with managers how ESG issues have impacted specific investment decisions and, where relevant, stock or portfolio performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ESG incorporation issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Engagements

	LE	FIC
Discuss the managers' engagement processes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the role managers have played in influencing companies' ESG practices and performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through engagement is incorporated into investment decision-making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other engagement issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### (Proxy) voting

	<b>LE</b>
Discuss the managers' voting processes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss how information gained through research for (proxy) voting is used in investment-decision making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (proxy) voting issues in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Monitoring

**SAM 08**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 1**

**SAM 08.1**

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

**General**

	<b>LE</b>	<b>FIC</b>	<b>FIG</b>
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## ESG incorporation

	LE	FIC	FIG
Request information on ESG incorporation in specific investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor ESG incorporation, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Engagements

	LE	FIC
Review the ESG information relevant to the engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the number of engagements and their comprehensiveness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the type of role played (i.e. leading or supporting)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the progress of ongoing engagements and/or outcomes of completed engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor engagement activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## (Proxy) voting

	<b>LE</b>
Review the number or percentage of votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Request an explanation of reasons for votes cast	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss whether companies were informed of the reasons for votes against management recommendations or abstentions/withheld votes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of resolutions on ESG issues filed or co-filed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Discuss the changes in company practice (outcomes) that have been achieved from voting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other ways you monitor (proxy) voting activities, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

## Communication

**SAM 16**

**Mandatory**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 6**

**SAM 16.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

### Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

LEI 03.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)

100 %

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy.

We view ESG as a tool to engage with companies.

LEI 03.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, describe briefly how these are used in combination. [Optional]

For our coverage universe we arrive at an ESG score and use this to rank companies as well as engage with them.



## (A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06

Mandatory

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

### Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

#### Screened by

- Product  
 Activity  
 Sector  
 Country/geographic region  
 Environmental and social practices and performance  
 Corporate governance

Description

### Ranking tables

- Positive/best-in-class screening

#### Screened by

- Product  
 Activity  
 Sector  
 Country/geographic region  
 Environmental and social practices and performance  
 Corporate governance

Description

### Ranking tables

- Norms-based screening

<b>LEI 06.2</b>	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
-----------------	---

Used in our internal ranking processes.

<b>LEI 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEI 07.1</b>	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

### (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

<b>LEI 11</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEI 11.1</b>	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

## Communication

LEI 18

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 18.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEI 18.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 18.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	---------	-----------

**LEA 02.1** Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**LEA 03.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☉ Yes

<b>LEA 03.2</b>	Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.
-----------------	---

The Research team carries this out as part of their company analysis.

No

<b>LEA 04</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 04.1</b>	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.
-----------------	--

- Yes
  - Yes, for all engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- No

<b>LEA 04.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

<b>LEA 04.3</b>	Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.
-----------------	---

We keep a record of the issues raised and subsequent progress or lack there of.

No

### Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

<b>LEA 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 05.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.
-----------------	---

Yes

<b>LEA 05.2</b>	Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.
-----------------	---

Part of the Research process.

No

<b>LEA 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 06.1</b>	Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.
-----------------	--

- Yes
  - Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities
- No

<b>LEA 06.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.
-----------------	--

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

<b>LEA 06.3</b>	Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.
-----------------	---

By actions that companies take post our engagements.

No

**General processes for all three groups of engagers**

<b>LEA 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	------------------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 10.1</b>	Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

## Communication

<b>LEA 15</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 15.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.
-----------------	--

We disclose it publicly

please provide URL

<http://stanlib.com>

<b>LEA 15.2</b>	Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	---

Yes

<b>LEA 15.3</b>	Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.
-----------------	--

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

How we have voted consistent with our policy and incorporation of UNPRI principles.



<b>LEA 15.4</b>	Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.
-----------------	---

- Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

**(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**

**Process**

<b>LEA 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 17.1</b>	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
-----------------	---

	Approach
--	----------

We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.

	Based primarily on
--	--------------------

- our own voting policy
- our clients requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

<b>LEA 21</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 21.1</b>	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

## Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 22.1

For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

## Communication

LEA 26

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 26.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

<http://stanlib.com>

LEA 26.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEA 26.3

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA  
26.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
  - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
  - Quarterly or more frequently
  - Between quarterly and annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Other, specify
- No
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
  - We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Fixed Income

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

### Fixed Income - Corporate

#### Implementation processes

FI 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

FI 04.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed corporate fixed income investments; and, (2) the breakdown of your actively managed corporate fixed income investments by incorporation strategy or combination of strategies.
---------	--

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening + Integration strategies

Percentage of active corporate fixed income to which the strategy is applied (estimate +/- 10%)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td style="width: 50px;">%</td> <td style="width: 100px;">100</td> </tr> </table>	%	100
%	100		

- Thematic + integration strategies
- Screening + thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- No incorporation strategies applied

Total actively managed fixed income - corporate
---

100%

FI 04.2	Describe your primary reasons for choosing a particular incorporation strategy.
---------	---

Insufficient investment opportunities in South Africa Fixed Income Corporate market to adopt sustainability themed investing

#### (A) Implementation: Screening

FI 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	-------------	-------

FI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active corporate fixed income investments.

**Type of screening**

Negative/exclusionary screening

**Screened by**

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Credit Charter developed internally

Positive/best-in-class screening

**Screened by**

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Credit Charter developed internally

Norms-based screening

**Screened by**

- UN Global Compact Principles
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

N/A

Description
-------------

N/A

<b>FI 06.2</b>	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------------	---

Credit Charter developed internally, minimal review quarterly

<b>FI 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>FI 07.1</b>	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure that screening in corporate fixed income is based on robust analysis.
----------------	---

- Comprehensive research is undertaken or sourced to determine issuers' ESG performance
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify issuers to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Information on ESG issues and/or ratings is updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct ESG research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

### (C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

<b>FI 11</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>FI 11.1</b>	Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.
----------------	---

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues
Social	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues
Corporate Governance	<input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues

## Fixed income - Government

FI 13	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**FI 13.1** Indicate if you incorporate ESG issues in investment analysis and decision making for your government fixed income investments.

- Yes  
 No

**FI 13.5** Additional information. [Optional]

Our funds allow only South African investments, therefore, we only look at South African Government

## Communication

FI 18	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**FI 18.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in all fixed income investments.

- We disclose it publicly  
 We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only



**FI 18.3**

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

**FI 18.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
  - Between quarterly and annually
  - Less frequently than annually
  - Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# STANLIB Asset Management Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Property

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

PR 05	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1-6
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**PR 05.1** Indicate if your organisation has a Responsible Property Investment (RPI) policy.

- Yes
- No

**PR 05.3** Additional information. [Optional]

This policy is not as yet in writing, however we have agreed to the principles and are now in the process of formalising them.

## Fundraising of property funds

PR 06	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,4,6
-------	-----------	---------------	-----------

**PR 06.1** Indicate if your fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPMs) or similar) refer to responsible investment aspects of your organisation.

- Yes

**PR 06.2** Indicate how your fund placement documents (PPMs or similar) refer to the following responsible investment aspects of your organisation:

- Policy and commitment to responsible investment
  - Always
  - In a majority of cases
  - In a minority of cases
- Approach to ESG issues in pre-investment processes
  - Always
  - In a majority of cases
  - In a minority of cases
- Approach to ESG issues in post-investment processes
  - Always
  - In a majority of cases
  - In a minority of cases

<b>PR 06.3</b>	Describe how your organisation refers to responsible investment for property funds in fund placement documents (PPMs or similar). [Optional]
----------------	--

Sustainability is a key component of our due diligence and valuation process, we always incorporate ESG factors when reviewing and selecting potential property investments.

No

**Pre-investment (selection)**

<b>PR 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------	--------------

<b>PR 08.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting property investments.
----------------	--

- Yes
- No

<b>PR 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1,3</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>PR 10.1</b>	Indicate which E, S and/or G issues are typically considered by your organisation in the property investment selection process and list up to three examples per issue.
----------------	---

**ESG issues**

Environmental

	List up to three typical examples of environmental issues
--	---

Electricity & water- involved in energy efficient initiatives in the portfolio.  
 We understand the impact on the environment and comply with environmental standards.  
 Waste/emissions are managed in the portfolio.

Social

	List up to three typical examples of social issues
--	--

Satisfactory relationship with communities.  
 Suppliers adhere to our business standards.  
 Working, health & safety standards are good.

Governance

	List up to three typical examples of governance issues
--	--

Effective executive leadership.  
 Board-stake holder management and Independence on decision making bodies.  
 Strategic direction.

**Post-investment (monitoring and active ownership)**

## Overview

PR 14

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI 2

PR 14.1

Indicate if your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

Yes

PR 14.2

Indicate whether your organisation, and/or property managers, considers ESG issues in the following post-investment activities relating to your property assets.

- We consider ESG issues in property monitoring and management
- We consider ESG issues in property developments and refurbishments
- We consider ESG issues in property occupier engagements
- We consider ESG issues in community engagements related to our properties
- We consider ESG issues in other post-investment activities, specify

Sustainability initiatives relating to “greening” of properties.

No

## Property monitoring and management

PR 15

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 2,3

PR 15.1

Indicate the proportion of property assets for which your organisation, and/or property managers, set and monitored ESG targets (KPIs or similar) during the reporting year.

- >90% of property assets
- 51-90% of property assets
- 10-50% of property assets
- <10% of property assets

(in terms of number of property assets)

PR 15.2

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation, and/or property managers, typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

### ESG issues

- Environmental

List up to three example targets per issue

Implementing green initiatives at all current properties, as well as on our developments and refurbishments.

Social

List up to three example targets per issue

Targeted investments in low-income economically active nodes- Mitchells Plain.

Governance

List up to three example targets per issue

Strategy is continuously monitored and updated  
On a monthly basis.

And Independence on decision making bodies

We do not set and/or monitor against targets

**PR 15.3** Additional information. [Optional]

All the above are monitored and are included in key performance indicators.

## Property developments and refurbishments

<b>PR 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

**PR 17.1** Indicate the proportion of active property developments and refurbishments where ESG issues have been considered.

- >90% of active developments and refurbishments
- 51-90% of active developments and refurbishments
- 10-50% of active developments and refurbishments
- <10% of active developments and refurbishments
- N/A, no developments and refurbishments of property assets are active

(by number of active property developments and refurbishments)

<b>PR 17.2</b>	Indicate if the following ESG considerations are typically implemented and monitored in your property developments and refurbishments.
----------------	--

- Minimum environmental site selection requirements
- Minimum environmental site development requirements
- Sustainable construction materials
- Minimum water efficiency requirements
- Minimum energy efficiency requirements
- Energy generation from on-site renewable sources
- Waste management plans at sites
- Health and safety management systems at sites
- Construction contractors comply with sustainability guidelines
- Other, specify

## Communication

<b>PR 23</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 6</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>PR 23.1</b>	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses ESG information on your property investments.
----------------	---

- Disclose publicly
- Disclose to clients/beneficiaries only
- No proactive disclosure to the public or to clients/beneficiaries