



LEA 02	Disclosures	Monetary	Principles
<p>By providing investors the most up-to-date and comprehensive information for use in their investment decisions, investors can better understand the risks and opportunities associated with the companies in which they invest. This information can help investors make more informed decisions and, in turn, help companies improve their performance and create long-term value.</p>	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Monetary</p>	<p>Principles PRI 1, 2, 3</p>
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company relevant to ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p>		

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2013/14

### UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2013-14 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted. This results in some Principles not being explicitly highlighted. For instance, Principle 1 and 2 cannot be implemented without implementing Principle 3, but there are cases when Principle 3 is not explicitly highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

## PRI disclaimer

This document is based on information reported by signatories and responses have not been independently audited by the PRI Secretariat, PRI working groups, or any other third party. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to its accuracy and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	n/a							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Additional information about organisation	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓

Overarching Approach				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OA 01	RI policy and other guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
OA 02	Publicly available policies / documents	✓	Public						✓	
OA 03	Policy components and coverage	✓	Public	✓	✓					
OA 04	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
OA 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
OA 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
OA 07	Governance, management structures and RI processes	✓	Private							✓
OA 08	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
OA 09	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
OA 10	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
OA 11	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
OA 12	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
OA 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Private	✓						
OA 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
OA 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
OA 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
OA 17	RI/ESG in execution and/or advisory services	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
OA 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
OA 19	Internal and external review and assurance of responses	✓	Private							✓

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Description of RI considerations	-	n/a	✓					✓	
SAM 02	Role of investment consultants	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 03	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public							✓
SAM 04	Breakdown of passive, active quant and active fundamental	✓	Private							✓
SAM 05	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 06	Selection processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 07	Appointment considerations (listed assets)	-	n/a				✓			
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed assets)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 10	Selection processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 11	Appointment considerations (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a				✓			
SAM 12	Monitoring processes (non-listed assets)	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 13	Description of RI considerations in 'other' asset classes	🔒	n/a	✓						✓
SAM 14	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						✓
SAM 15	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Private		✓					
SAM 16	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 16	Description of approach to (proxy) voting	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 17	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 25	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	

# UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

**OO 01.1** Select the category which best represents your primary activity.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation or endowment
- Development bank
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Other, specify  
Voluntary Employee Benefit Association  
[501(c)(9)]

OO 02	Mandatory	Peering	General
-------	-----------	---------	---------

**OO 02.1** Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

**OO 02.2** Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

**OO 02.3** Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

86

OO 03	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

**OO 03.1** Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	-----------------	---------

**OO 04.1** | Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2012

**OO 04.2** | Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		55	000	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		55	000	000	000

**OO 04.3** | Indicate the level of detail you would like to provide about your asset class mix.

- Approximate percentage breakdown to the nearest 5% (e.g. 45%)
- Broad ranges breakdown (i.e. <10%; 10-50%; >50%)

OO 06	Mandatory	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	-------------	---------

**OO 06.1** | To contextualise your responses to the public, indicate how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- Publish our asset class mix as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	>50%
Fixed income – corporate	0	10-50%
Fixed income – government	0	10-50%
Fixed income – other	0	<10%
Private debt	0	0
Private equity	0	<10%
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	<10%
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	<10%



Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	<10%
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

○ Publish our asset class mix as per attached file (the following image formats can be uploaded: .jpg, .jpeg, .png, .bmp and .gif)

## Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Gateway	General
<b>OO 10.1</b>	Select the responsible investment activities your organisation implemented, directly and/or indirectly, for listed equities in the reporting year.		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We address ESG incorporation, engagement and/or (proxy) voting in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We engage with companies on ESG issues via our staff, collaborations or service providers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above		
OO 11	Mandatory	Gateway	General
<b>OO 11.2</b>	Indicate if in the reporting year you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes in the following externally managed asset classes.		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – corporate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – government <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed Income – other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private equity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Property <input type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hedge funds <input type="checkbox"/> Forestry <input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above		
OO 12	Mandatory	Gateway	General

**OO 12.1**

The modules and sections that you will be required to complete are listed below. They are based on the percentages provided in your AUM breakdown and your responses to the gateway indicators. Note, you are only required to report on asset classes that represent 10% or more of your AUM. You may report voluntarily on any applicable modules or sections by selecting them from the list. Direct - Fixed Income and Infrastructure are always voluntary.

**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Overarching Approach (including assets which do not have a separate module)

**RI implementation directly or via service providers****Direct - Listed Equity active ownership**

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

**RI implementation via external managers****Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers**

- Listed Equities
- Fixed Income - Corporate
- Fixed Income - Government
- Private Equity
- Property
- Hedge funds

**Closing module**

- Closing module

**Note:** Please make sure your response to this indicator is complete and confirmed before you progress. Your response will determine which tailored modules and sections you will be presented with.

# UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Overarching Approach

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Responsible investment policy

OA 01	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

**OA 01.1** Indicate if you have a responsible investment policy.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.2** Indicate if you have other guidance documents or more specific policies related to responsible investment.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 01.3** Provide a brief description of the key elements of your responsible investment policy or, if you do not have a policy, of your overall approach to responsible investment. [Optional]

The Trust has a set of ESG related questions it requires investment managers to answer both during the evaluation process prior to being hired, and for those managers who are hired, on an annual basis thereafter. In addition to the universal set of questions the Trust has specific ESG standards for certain types of investments. The Trust has been successful in prompting many of its managers to develop their first ESG policies or to enhance their existing policies. The Trust also has policies on proxy voting, which address the Trust's positions on a number of ESG issues, and a broader corporate governance policy that addresses corporate governance engagement.

OA 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 02.1** Indicate if your responsible investment policy is publicly available.

- Yes  
 No

**OA 02.3** Indicate if your other policies or guidance documents related to responsible investment are publicly available.

- Yes  
 No

OA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

**OA 03.1** Indicate the components/types and coverage of your responsible investment policy and guidance documents.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement/active ownership policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on corporate governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on environmental issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific guidelines on social issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening/exclusion policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

OA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	---------------	---------

OA 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

Yes  
 No

## Objectives and strategies

OA 05	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	-----------------------	---------

OA 05.1	Indicate if your organisation sets objectives for its responsible investment activities.
---------	--

Yes

OA 05.2	Indicate how frequently your organisation sets or revises objectives for responsible investment.
---------	--

At least once per year  
 Less than once per year

OA 05.3	Indicate how frequently your organisation formally reviews performance against its objectives for responsible investment.
---------	---

Quarterly  
 Biannually  
 Annually  
 Every two years or less  
 It is not reviewed  
 No

**OA 05.4** Additional information. [Optional]

Corporate governance objectives are set frequently based on engagement priorities. (Also see "Direct - LEA" module for more information.)

Investments is actively developing a formal process for measuring performance against its objectives for responsible investment.

**Governance and human resources**

<b>OA 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	------------------------------	----------------

**OA 08.1** Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

**Roles present in your organisation**

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - Asset Class Managing Directors**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Portfolio managers
  - Investment analysts
  - Dedicated responsible investment staff
  - External managers or service providers
  - Other role, specify
  - Other role, specify

**Promoting responsible investment**

<b>OA 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 4,5</b>
--------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

OA 10.1

Indicate if your organisation is a member of and/or participant in any collaborative organisation or initiatives that promote responsible investment.

Yes

OA 10.2

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Association for Sustainable & Responsible Investment in Asia
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Chief Corporate Governance Officer sits on CII's Board of Directors.

- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
  - Moderate
  - Advanced
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period  
(see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period  
(see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period  
(see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify  
**Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)**

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year  
(see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

The Chief Corporate Governance Officer, Executive Director, and staff contributed significant expertise to the development of peer-to-peer, health care industry-specific standards on material issues that are not currently represented in companies' financial filings (integrated reporting). Specifically, the Trust participated in the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment & Supplies Industry Working Groups.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

No

OA 11	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	---------------	-------

**OA 11.1** Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

**OA 11.2** Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Other, specify

No

**OA 11.3** Additional information. [Optional]

Although still early, staff has identified those investment managers who are signatories to PRI and is encouraging those who are not to consider becoming a signatory.

# UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

SAM 02	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

**SAM 02.1** Indicate if your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

Yes

**SAM 02.2** Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers. [Optional]

We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

### Asset class

- Listed Equity (LE)
- Fixed income - corporate (FIC)
- Fixed income - government (FIG)
- Private equity (PE)
- Infrastructure (INF)
- Property (PR)
- Other asset classes

We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

We use fiduciary managers

**SAM 02.3** Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

Responsible investment is included in the selection process for investment consultants

Consultants' responsibilities in relation to responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them

Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring

We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

No

SAM 03	Mandatory	Gateway	General
--------	-----------	---------	---------

**SAM 03.1** Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Listed equity (LE), fixed income corporate (FIC) and fixed income government (FIG)

Overview

SAM 05	Mandatory	Gateway	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	---------	---------

**SAM 05.1** Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you encourage or require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	LE		
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	LE		
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

**SAM 05.2** Indicate if - on your externally managed assets - you engage directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>	
We engage directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
We require our external managers to engage on our behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**SAM 05.3** Indicate if - on your externally managed listed equities - you cast your (proxy) votes directly, via service providers, or via your external manager.

	<b>LE</b>
We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via service providers on our externally managed assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
We require our external managers to cast our (proxy) votes on our behalf	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Selection**

**SAM 06** Mandatory Core Assessed PRI 1-6

**SAM 06.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the manager selection process for listed assets, typically do any of the following:

General

	<b>LE</b>		
Review the manager's responsible investment policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss managers' governance and management of responsible investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Meet staff with responsible investment responsibilities to assess their skills and competence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss minimum responsible investment expectations that managers must meet	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the role managers have played in collaborative initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Ask whether the organisation is a signatory to the PRI and/or other relevant organisations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting to clients and/or the public, including PRI reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Discuss the type of ESG reporting you expect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assign specific weighting to ESG factors in your manager evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects in your selection process, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

None Specified

**Monitoring**

<b>SAM 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

**SAM 08.1** Indicate whether your organisation, and/or your investment consultant, in the dialogue and monitoring of your external manager typically do any of the following:

General

	LE		
Include responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Highlight examples of good responsible investment practice by other managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's responsible investment reporting, for example PRI-generated responsible investment reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Encourage your managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in collaborative projects with other investors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Include responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other general aspects of your monitoring, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		

## Communication

SAM 16

Mandatory

Core Assessed

PRI 6

**SAM  
16.1**

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 02

Mandatory

Gateway

PRI  
1,2,3

LEA  
02.1

Indicate your reasons for interacting with companies on ESG issues and indicate who carries out these interactions.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research) <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA  
02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The Trust engages companies on ESG issues to:

Maximize long-term shareholder value;

Model responsible ownership; and

Set best practices and establish systematic reforms across companies and sectors.

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe how you identify and prioritise engagements.

The Trust tailors its engagement approach to individual companies versus adopting a one-size-fits-all method, with a focus on the unique governance challenges faced by corporations in specific industries. The Trust closely follows the "quiet diplomacy" model of engagement, under which staff do not publicly discuss ongoing dialogues with companies unless there is an agreement between parties to do so. We believe this is the best way to maintain good relationships with our portfolio companies while effectively addressing corporate governance issues that may impact shareholder value.

No

LEA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

The UAW Trust engagement issues include environmental (e.g. stranded assets), social (human rights, global supply chain, political spending) and governance (e.g. executive compensation, director independence, audit oversight and board diversity).

LEA 04	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

Yes

Yes, for all engagement activities

Yes, for the majority of engagement activities

Yes, for a minority of engagement activities

No

<b>LEA 04.2</b>	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

<b>LEA 04.3</b>	Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities.
-----------------	---

The program sets benchmarks and monitors progress against those benchmarks. In addition, we keep a comprehensive tracker internally, which is updated daily, as well as engagement-specific trackers, which are updated as needed.

- No

<b>LEA 04.4</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Settlements across sectors focused on agreements that successfully established corporate governance best practices. While the Trust settled the majority of its engagements in the reporting year, staff routinely used the knowledge gained from the engagements to expand existing initiatives in addition to launching new initiatives (e.g. an initiative on corporate political spending and lobbying engagement at the federal level that developed into an engagement on corporate political activity on the state level related to biosimilars; an engagement on supply chain integrity and sustainability developed into an engagement focusing on the supply chain in the manufacture and distribution of pharmaceuticals; insight gained during an engagement on misconduct-related clawbacks at pharmaceutical companies was applied to requests for clawback disclosure in the financial services and retail sectors).

**Process for engagements conducted via collaborations**

<b>LEA 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 05.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements.
-----------------	---

- Yes

<b>LEA 05.2</b>	Describe how you identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.
---------------------	---

The Corporate Governance Program's top priority is to promote corporate governance best practices at companies in which the Trust invests to protect and enhance long-term shareholder value for the benefit of our retiree members.

Since the Trust leads a majority of the collaborative engagements in which it participates, the identification and prioritization of collaborative engagements flows from the Trust's identification and prioritization of engagements more generally.

Please also refer to the description provided in LEA 03.2.

No

<b>LEA 05.3</b>	Additional information.[Optional]
---------------------	-----------------------------------

The Trust's large investor coalition engagements generally include a wide range of participating funds, including funds domiciled abroad, Taft-Hartley funds, state pension funds, faith-based investors, SRI funds, and other VEBAs. In the reporting year, the Trust led five investor coalitions: two coalitions related to risk management and risk mitigation issues with a focus on the health care industry, two coalitions engaging companies on misconduct-related clawbacks, and one coalition on human capital management in the retail sector. The Corporate Governance Program sets engagement goals at least annually and monitors its progress toward these goals periodically.

<b>LEA 06</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 06.1</b>	Indicate if the collaborative engagements in which you are involved have defined objectives.
---------------------	--

- Yes
  - Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities
- No

**LEA 06.2** Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases

**LEA 06.3** Describe how you monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

The Trust usually leads the collaborative engagements it participates in. When the Trust does take a leadership role, the Trust evaluates and monitors engagements based on the following benchmarks: (1) success in settling with engagement companies through changed corporate behavior; (2) ability to create leadership roles for other investors and provide a larger forum for the exchange of ideas among investors on a dedicated issue; and (3) success in establishing meaningful corporate governance-related reforms and best practices to protect and enhance long-term shareholder value.

- No

**General processes for all three groups of engagers**

LEA 10	Mandatory	Gateway/Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	-----------------------	-------

**LEA 10.1** Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track our engagements but can provide a reasonable estimate of our engagement numbers <input type="radio"/> No, we do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

<b>LEA 10.2</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Engagements are tracked and updated on a daily basis.

## Communication

<b>LEA 15</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2,6</b>
---------------	------------------	----------------------	----------------

<b>LEA 15.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.
-----------------	--

We disclose it publicly

please provide URL

<http://www.uawtrust.org/Home/about/News/news/sb.cn>

<b>LEA 15.2</b>	Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	---

Yes

<b>LEA 15.3</b>	Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.
-----------------	--

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

<b>LEA 15.4</b>	Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information.
-----------------	---

- Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed every two years or less
- Other, specify

Information is disclosed periodically, as appropriate.

- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

<b>LEA 15.6</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

The Trust releases information about its engagements through the news media and other public forums, including the annual and semi-annual meetings of high-profile investor groups (Council of Institutional Investors, ICGN, UN PRI, etc.)

## (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

### Process

<b>LEA 17</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 17.1</b>	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions and what this approach is based on.
-----------------	---

#### Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make our own voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

#### Based primarily on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
  - our own voting policy
  - our clients requests or policy
  - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
  - We hire service provider(s) which make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 17.2	Additional information.[Optional]
-------------	-----------------------------------

The Trust developed proxy voting policies for domestic companies (U.S. and Canada), which are used by the Trust's third-party proxy voting firm to cast ballots on the Trust's behalf. The third-party proxy voting firm also votes proxies for non-domestic companies using policies approved by the Trust. An independent, third-party firm audits votes cast by the third-party proxy voting firm annually to ensure votes are cast in accordance with Trust-approved policies. Trust staff also periodically reviews votes cast by the third-party proxy voting firm on an ad-hoc basis as part of its compliance and monitoring responsibilities.

LEA 21	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1	Indicate if you ensure that companies are informed of the rationale when you and/or the service providers acting on your behalf abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
  - votes in selected markets
  - votes on certain issues (all markets)
  - votes for significant shareholdings (all markets)
  - other, explain
- No
- Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 21.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-------------	------------------------------------

The Trust disclosed proxy votes to companies in advance of, and following, annual general or special meetings on an ad-hoc basis, generally for those meetings where such disclosure is deemed to have a material impact on a company's behavior going forward. The Trust also publicly announced its votes ahead of certain higher-profile meetings and sent letters to top health care companies explaining "no" votes on Say on Pay proposals and votes on other key governance issues. Many of the letters led to meetings with senior executive staff, including the CEO, and board members.

## Outputs and outcomes

LEA 22	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	---------------	-------



**LEA 22.1** For listed equities where you and/or your service provider has the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
  - of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
  - of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

**Communication**

LEA 26	Mandatory	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	---------------	---------

**LEA 26.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries